

**Sport Committee Meeting Minutes
June 11 & 12, 2007
Brudenell Resort, PEI**

In attendance: Diane St-Denis (Chair) Simon Fraser University; Pat Murray (Marketing Representative), York University; Lisen Moore (QSSF), McGill University; James Keogh (CWUAA), University of Victoria; Ken Schildroth (OUA), York University; Al Scott (AUS), Dalhousie University; Adam Steiner (Student-Athlete Voice); Sheila-Ann Newton, CIS Office

1. Approval of agenda

**MOTION 1 (L.Moore/J. Keogh)
To approve the agenda as circulated.**

Carried

2. Approval of minutes

**MOTION 2 (K. Schildroth/J. Keogh)
To approve the minutes of the May 29th conference call.**

Carried

3. Follow-up to Coaches Association Motions

a) Cross Country –

Motion Ion vote sheet

To amend the 2005 motion to say: If the CIS adopts an 8 team funding policy, that individual travel pool funding for Cross Country would be the Gold and Silver medalist in each conference if they are not funded on a team.

Athletic Director	For - 22	Against - 0	Abstain - 2
Head Coach	For - 24	Against - 0	Abstain – 0

Motion passed at the November 2006 Board of Directors meeting:

12-06-03 St-Denis/Wilson

Carried

That the CIS Cross Country Travel Pool funding formula of 2 berths to Canada West, 2 berths to OUA, 1 berth to QSSF and 1 berth to AUS (2-2-1-1) be maintained and two additional teams be funded. Selection of the additional funded teams will be based on the previous years results with the highest placing conference(s) that did not receive funding under the 2-2-1-1 funding formula that year other than the host conference for the competition year up to a maximum of three per conference.

Feedback from Doug Lamont, President of the Coaches Association:

The intent of the motion was to change the policy regarding funding for individuals to CIS CC. In the policy, it states that the top 3 finishers not on a funded team would be funded to CIS. This motion limits funding to the Gold and Silver medalist from the conference if they are not on a funded team.

Basically, it reduces the number of individuals that will be funded to CIS, so that there would be more available for teams.

Discussion Highlights:

- Concern that this will impact the smaller schools with a limited number of athletes.
- Eliminates 4 bronze medalists (from current season) and funds a team instead (from the previous season).
- It's never been clear how the travel pool funding was devised – needs to be transparent.
- Was there enough discussion at the coaches association level and with the AD's?

- Philosophical discussion (teams vs. individuals).
- That 3rd place finisher at the regional association level is a student-athlete that is competing at an elite level, this is an individual sport as opposed to a team sport.
- More teams could be represented by the medalists then if it all goes to another team.

ACTION: Motion to go forward to the Board of Directors. Sport Committee does not support this recommendation. Motion that was passed at the November 06 Board meeting should be maintained.

b) Men's Basketball –

Motion 8 on vote sheet – Committee requested more information on who Ryan Csada is and the purpose of what he is doing? Is this simply an honorarium as Coaches Associations can't "hire" as they are sub-committees of CIS.

Rehire Ryan Csada to send the scores, records, and present top ten rankings to each coach via e-mail again each week.

Athletic Director	For - 22	Against - 2	Abstain - 9
Head Coach	For - 27	Against - 2	Abstain - 4

Feedback from James Hillis, President of the Coaches Association:

It is just an honorarium. He was paid \$400 (? I believe) to summarize all scores from the week and send out an e-mail to all coaches with the following information:

1. Carleton, Win vs Brock (87-70), win vs Ottawa (88-87), 13-1, 23-2 overall, 1st in OUA west 2. St. F.X., Win vs UCCB (90-80), loss vs Dalhousie (99-90), 10-3, 15-6 overall, 2nd in AUS Etc.

It was to streamline the voting process as it was felt that some coaches at times voted without knowing ALL scores. It was an easy and cheap fix to the problem.

We didn't do it last year and it was missed.

Based on the above information the Sport Committee agreed this motion is pre-approved.

c) Women's Basketball –

Motion 4 on vote sheet –S. Newton to investigate what is currently happening. Also tie in process for Hockey Canada, Volleyball Canada and any other NSO's who charge a fee.

That CIS submit one membership fee to Canada Basketball on behalf of men's and women's university basketball.

Rationale: The Men's and Women's Basketball Coaches Association are sub-committees of the CIS Sport Committee. It is redundant to have two sub-committees pay membership fees to Canada Basketball, so CIS will consolidate this into one membership payment from CIS.

Athletic Director	For - 33	Against - 2	Abstain - 0
Head Coach	For - 32	Against - 2	Abstain - 1

Additional information:

Canada Basketball was charging \$500.00 to the CIS Women's Basketball Coaches Association and \$500.00 to the CIS Men's Basketball Coaches Association as affiliate members (i.e. they have a vote at the CB table). CIS will be forwarding a letter to Canada Basketball indicating that

there should be only one \$500.00 fee charged to CIS, which \$250.00 will be drawn from each the Men's and Women's Coaches Association accounts.

Provincial Branches of Hockey Canada charge individual CIS hockey playing institutions fees (men's and women's fees are separate). These fees are to be paid annually to ensure membership with Hockey Canada. Includes use of HC officials (insurance liability, etc.)

Volleyball Canada informed CIS that their Board of Directors passed a motion to charge annual fees to CIS schools similar to the current process with Hockey Canada.

ACTION:

- CIS needs to develop via the liaison person – what's part of the membership fee. Need to include the development role CIS schools play.
- Volleyball Canada was to forward an information letter to each CIS school. These letters have not been received. Communications is key. Investigate what happened to these letters.

d) Women's Hockey –

MOTION 3 (J. Keogh/L. Moore) *Motion 1 on vote sheet*

CARRIED

To amend I.5 c) of the playing regulations to read:

Must have been a rookie of the year nominee or all-rookie team member from the sport conference (if such a team exists) and final voting must adhere to the conferences rank order.

Rationale: As the All-Rookie team is based on 3 positions, having only rookie of the year nominees could lead to not being able to fill the positions (i.e. if every conference's rookie of the year nominee is a goaltender then there are no names to pull from for forward and defense).

Athletic Director	For - 17	Against - 0	Abstain - 1
Head Coach	For - 18	Against - 0	Abstain - 0

MOTION 4 (A. Scott/P. Murray)

CARRIED

To approve the recommended updates to Policy 60 as per Annex A.

e) Swimming

Motion 2 on vote sheet – S. Newton to research when the initial pilot started and when was it to end. Also motion requires rationale for why they wish to extend the pilot and until when. That the pilot project of Thursday – Saturday for days of the meet continue.

Athletic Director	For - 19	Against - 2	Abstain - 0
Head Coach	For - 20	Against - 1	Abstain - 0

Feedback from Mike Blondal, President of the Coaches Association:

Original motion was passed in 2006. It was based on teams were arriving on Tuesday/Wednesday anyways and running it Thursday to Saturday allowed teams to travel home on Sunday, to be back in school on Monday.

It was suggested at the meeting that it would be a 2-year pilot. To be rediscussed at the Coaches Association meeting in February 2008.

2006 motion:

Motion 5 – SUPPORTED BY SPORT COMMITTEE AND THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS.
(MAY 2006)
That the 2007 CIS Swimming Championships be held on Thursday, February 22-Saturday,
February 24th.

Athletic Director	For - 15	Against - 11	Abstain - 3
Head Coach	For - 17	Against - 8	Abstain - 4

ACTION: S. Newton to check with UBC re Thursday to Saturday vs. Friday to Sunday.

Discussion highlights:

- We need to better understand why Thursday to Saturday is better. (If its team arrival then we need to complete some research.)
- Why the pilot project?

ACTION: S. Newton to go back to the Coaches Association to seek additional detailed rationale.

Note: Sport Committee did not approve a pilot project originally.

- f) Recommendation re New Policy 20.20 (50% rule)

Annex B

MOTION 5 (L. Moore/P. Murray)

CARRIED

**To recommend to the Board of Directors that the following be added to policy 20.20:
20.20.4.7 No more than 50% of the teams within a Sport Conference can
participate in a CIS Championship. Coaches Associations would be tasked with
identifying an alternate method to award the additional berths.**

- g) Wrestling Motions

Motion 1 on vote sheet – NOT ENOUGH SUPPORT TO MOVE FORWARD.

That the CIS Coaches consider multiple qualifying events, which would include regional tournaments in addition to the Conference Championships as a method to qualify for the CIS Nationals.

Rational: Provide for an additional opportunity to qualify in case of such things as illness or injury.

Athletic Director	For - 5	Against - 5	Abstain - 0
Head Coach	For - 5	Against - 5	Abstain - 0

Comments from Sport Committee: Motion did not include how? May have merit but we need more information.

Motion 2 on vote sheet - DEFEATED ON VOTE SHEET

That the CIS coaches consider that the number of entries be increased to allow for 12 men and 10 women from each team to compete in the National Championships.

Rational: Increases the number of athletes at the national tournament.

Athletic Director	For - 3	Against - 7	Abstain - 0
-------------------	---------	-------------	-------------

Head Coach For - 4 Against - 6 Abstain - 0

Motion 3 on vote sheet – PRE-APPROVED

That the criteria for the Student-Athlete Community Service award also include student-athlete community service, as well as academic and athletic accomplishment.

Rational: These criteria would fit the intent of this award.

Athletic Director For - 10 Against - 0 Abstain - 0
Head Coach For - 10 Against - 0 Abstain - 0

Motion 4 on vote sheet – PRE-APPROVED (as amended)

That each conference award winner is forwarded to the ~~CIS office~~ Awards Chairperson and the CIS coaches to vote on the Award at the CIS National Championships.

Rational: To provide coaches more opportunity to review the award winners before voting.

Athletic Director For - 10 Against - 0 Abstain - 0
Head Coach For - 9 Against - 0 Abstain - 1

Motion 5 on vote sheet – PRE-APPROVED Note: Coaches Association needs to articulate “how”. Also suggested Coaches Association refer to other sports to seek out examples.

To amend the regulations to allow for the Championship Committee to select the Robert Pugh Fair Play Award.

Rational: Provides the championship committee a greater opportunity for input.

Athletic Director For - 10 Against - 0 Abstain - 0
Head Coach For - 10 Against - 0 Abstain - 0

Motion 6 on vote sheet – OUT OF ORDER. CIS HAS AN OPEN BIDDING PROCESS.

To consider the CIS National Championships to be held in Southern Ontario for the two year period of 2010 and 2011. The location would then be reviewed.

Rational: Concern expressed by schools from the east coast about the extreme cost to attend championships held in Western Canada.

Athletic Director For - 4 Against - 5 Abstain - 1
Head Coach For - 5 Against - 3 Abstain - 2

4. Non-Compliance Policies

The Board of Directors approved the following clarification at their April Meeting:

"Note: The sanctions are institutional based, and not sport specific.

Infractions are to be documented from year to year, and will accumulate irrelevant of the year or sport."

They also noted that b) (ii) was unrealistic and should be removed from the policy. The Sport Committee is being asked to have another look at this policy.

- a) First offense:
 - (i) A fine equivalent to the costs which would have been incurred by the institution to ensure compliance, in any case, no less than \$1,000.
 - (ii) On probation for two years (sport specific).

b) Second offense:(i) A fine equivalent to the costs which would have been incurred by the institution to ensure compliance, in any case, no less than \$5,000.
(ii) The matter will be forwarded to the Disciplinary Committee for review.

~~_____ (ii) The member will be ineligible to compete at the subsequent
_____ year's National Championship.~~

e) Third offense: ~~_____ (i) The matter will be forwarded to Disciplinary Committee for
_____ review.~~

MOTION 6 (K. Schildroth/A. Steiner)
To approve the changes as recommended above.

Carried (1 abstention)

5. Financial Management Guidelines for Coaches Association Funds

MOTION 7 (L. Moore/P. Murray)
To approve the recommendations and additions to policy 80.40 as outlined in Annex C.

Carried

It was noted that for the 2007-08 season will continue to operate as per the current practises. The above process will be implemented at the completion of the 07-08 season.

<p>ACTION: S. Newton to develop a template to assist the Coaches Association with their annual expenses and expected expenditures.</p>

It was reported that there are still three coaches associations who have not yet forwarded their accounts to the CIS office. These include:

- Men's Soccer
- Men's Volleyball
- Wrestling

It was agreed that fees for these coaches associations will not be charged in the upcoming season until such time as the funds included in the outstanding accounts are received by the CIS Office.

A. Scott agreed to speak to Pat Nearing, current President of the Men's Soccer Coaches Association and Dan Ota current President of the Men's Volleyball Coaches Association.

K. Schildroth to check with Nic Cipriano at McMaster re the Wrestling Coaches Association.

6. Date Change for Men's & Women's Hockey Championships

Committee reviewed feedback received from Regional Association representatives as well as the Championship Hosts. See Annex D.

Discussion highlights:

- There are other options out there that may not have been discussed.
- Did CW consider a different playoff format for that one year? Talked around it but no one came up with a viable alternative.
- One suggestion was we don't send a conference all-star team so not all the burden is on one conference.
- Looking at a two week suspension of league play.
- On the women's side there's already so many other events going on it could be a huge challenge.

MOTION 8 (J. Keogh/A. Scott)

Carried (5-1)

That the Sport Committee recommend to the Board of Directors that the date of the 2009 Women's Hockey Championship be on March 19th to 22nd and the 2009 CIS Men's Hockey Championship be on March 26th to 29th.

7. Review Process for Policy 20.20

S.Newton provided a summary of the process followed in the last review of the CIS Task Force principles (June 2005).

It was agreed that the next review should commence in the upcoming season to ensure the review is completed by June 2008 (review to be conducted every 3 years).

Brief outline of next steps:

- Ask Regional Associations and Coaches Associations.
- Need to ensure we ask if the principles outlined in policy 20 are still relevant and why? Would need feedback early in April.
- Feedback prepared and presented to Sport Committee in April 2008.
- Frame the discussion at the Coaches Association meetings to answer the questions (maintaining the fundamental championship policies for the membership – the non-negotiable.) Does it work? Why? (Quantify, clarify and justify the current models.)
- Are there any recommendations?
- Need to present different models.
- May need to establish a mini version of the Task Force committee.

Note: COC has a performance evaluation matrix that could be helpful. P. Murray to forward matrix to S. Newton.

Timeframes:

- October – Sport Cmt. Conference call (S. Newton to develop the initial framework, questions, etc.)
- Regional Associations meet with their Coaches Associations to brainstorm some alternate models./ May require a pre-season coaches meeting (craft a memo, send out to SC members first, then to RA to assist with the dialogue).
- November - QSSF
- Nov.Dec – OUA
- Feb. – CW/AUS

8. Framework for the appointment/hiring of commissioners

Several Coaches Associations are investigating the idea of hiring commissions. Can a sub-committee of CIS do this? Are there any protections that should be put in place from an organizational standpoint?

Discussion highlights:

- This type of position is not currently captured within the CIS policies and is not captured with the term “staff”. It is however a common practise to contract out “discreet” work (ex. Stats).
- Our policies do reference “casual positions and short-term contracts”.
- Should be communicating with the Coaches Associations that if they want this they must go through the human resource policy.
- Should we be worried about those who are not directly connected/accountable to the association? Operating, working for the Coaches Associations but not necessarily looking out for the best interest of CIS.
- Who's giving them direction, who are they reporting to.

- CIS staff sport coordinator concept can work but doesn't necessarily fill the needs of the Coaches Associations.
- Policy 70.20.3.4 should be shared with the coaches association.

ACTION: S. Newton & M. McGregor to develop policy recommendations to cover off these types of positions in our policies. Should also look at developing a job description for a commissioner type position as a template. This should include a direct link to report back to Sport Committee.

9. Evaluation Summary for CIS Championships

S. Newton presented two different championship summary models. Committee supported the use of option 1 and recommended we start using this model in the 2007-08 season.

10. New Business

a) East-West Bowl Update

M. McGregor provided some additional comments to the continuing discussion with Sport Committee around the East-West Bowl Game.

- This event is not unlike sport congresses.
- From a liability perspective – it is covered the same way as with our other events.
- CIS insurance that we have for championships would include these types of events.

Discussion highlights:

- If there are profits being made from the event where is the money going? What is it being used for? CIS members are being asked to pay to participate yet there's a profit so why are we still paying?
- Who's verifying that the student-athlete is an student in good standing? Do they have insurance, who's making the final selections, what if the athlete has graduated, what is covered, what isn't covered. There are too many questions that haven't been answered. Not enough information sharing.
- Need to have an annual report.
- Need a point of contact to sit down and have a face to face meeting to seek the answers of these questions. Clarify process.

ACTION: Sport Committee advises the CIS staff to continue work on clarifying these questions.

11. Adjournment

MOTION 9 (A. Steiner/J. Keogh)
To adjourn the meeting.

Carried

ANNEX A

Desired outcome: To discuss and approve recommended changes to policies 60.20.2.3, 60.20.2.4 and 60.30.2.5.

60.20.2.3 All-Canadians

60.20.2.3.1 Team Sports

- There can be a 1st team and a 2nd team selected for each sport.
- The number of All-Canadians selected per team must be based on and can not exceed the number of athletes competing on the field of play as outlined in published Canadian Interuniversity Sport regulations.
- To win the national award the athlete must have been named to the All-Star team in their Sport Conference, if such a team is named **and final voting must adhere to the conferences rank order.**
- Final selection process is at the discretion of the Coaches Association.

Rationale: Ensures the work completed by the Regional Association is respected.

60.20.2.4 Player of the Year (Team Sports)

- A Player of the Year will be selected in all Canadian Interuniversity Sport team sports.
- Player of the Year nominees must be members of the Canadian Interuniversity Sport **1st or 2nd** All-Canadian Team.
Exception: In sports that select a positional All-Canadian team, Player of the Year nominees do not have to be on either CIS All-Canadian teams but must have been a member of their conference All-Star team.
- To win the national award the athlete must have won the player of the year award in their Sport Conference, if such an award exists.
- Final selection process is at the discretion of the Coaches Association.

Rationale:

- a) In sports where there may not be as level a playing field across the country, it ensures that all Player of the Year nominees are on at least one of the All-Canadian teams. Coaches Associations are struggling to have all 4 Sport Conference nominees on the first team All-Canadians when there may only be 5 to 7 positions available.*
- b) Provides direction for Football and Hockey who select their All-Canadians based on positions. Currently policy eliminates Player of the Year nominees from some Sport Conferences.*

60.20.2.6 Rookie of the Year

60.20.2.6.1 Team Sports

- A Rookie of the Year will be selected in all Canadian Interuniversity Sport sports.
- Nominated athletes must be 21 years of age or under as of September 1st in the academic year in question.
- Nominated athletes must not have consumed any eligibility as defined by Canadian Interuniversity Sport regulations.
- To win the national award the athlete must have won the rookie of the year award in their Sport Conference, if such an award exists.
- Final selection process is at the discretion of the Coaches Association.

60.30.2.5 All-Rookie Team

- Selection based on season performance.
- Must have been a Rookie of the Year nominee **and/or a member of their All-Rookie Team in their Sport Conference, if such a team is named** and final voting must adhere to the conferences rank order.
- Nominated athletes must be 21 years of age or under as of September 1st in the academic year in question.
- Nominated athletes must not have consumed any eligibility as defined by Canadian Interuniversity Sport regulations.

Rationale: If the All-Rookie team consists of more than 4 players then there needs to be a mechanism to ensure a complete team is picked.

MOTION (L. Moore/P. Murray)

CARRIED

Add to 60.30.2.5. - Total number of All-Rookie team members must be based on and cannot exceed the number of athletes competing on the field of play as outlined in published Canadian Interuniversity Sport regulations.

ANNEX B

Desired outcome: To review recommendation to add a policy to section 20.20.

Current policy:

20.20.1.6 In National Championship Tournaments, no more than 50% of the teams shall be from a single Regional Association unless one or more of those Regional Association members are from a Sport Conference which includes members from a second Regional Association.

Issue:

In a few CIS Championships the potential exists for a Sport Conference, with a limited number of teams participating, to have more than 50% of those teams qualify (through additional berths) for the CIS Championships. Sports where the potential exists include:

- | | |
|--------------|--|
| M Basketball | AUS – 8 teams participate = max. of 3
OUA – 16 teams participate = max of 4
QSSF – 5 teams participate = max of 3
CWUAA – 14 teams participate = max of 4 |
| W Basketball | AUS – 8 teams participate = max. of 3
OUA – 16 teams participate = max of 4
QSSF – 5 teams participate = max of 3
CWUAA – 14 teams participate = max of 4 |
| W Hockey | AUS – 8 teams participate = max of 3
OUA – 9 teams participate = max of 3
QSSF – 4 teams participate = max of 3
CWUAA – 6 teams participate = max of 3 |
| M Volleyball | AUS – 3 teams participate = max. of 3
OUA – 11 teams participate = max of 5
QSSF – 4 teams participate = max of 4
CWUAA – 10 teams participate = max of 5 |
| W Volleyball | AUS – 9 teams participate = max. of 5
OUA – 14 teams participate = max of 5
QSSF – 5 teams participate = max of 5
CWUAA – 11 teams participate = max of 5 |

ANNEX C

Desired outcome: To approve a new policy for the use of Coaches Association funds.

Current CIS policies:

80.40.10 COACHES ASSOCIATIONS

80.40.10.1 Membership

These Associations act as advisors to the Sport Committee. The coaches of Canadian Interuniversity Sport may combine to form an association for each sport offered by Canadian Interuniversity without regard to gender, except that a separate Men's and Women's Coaches Association may be recognized.

80.40.10.2 Responsibilities

Coaches Association shall have the authority to make decisions which conform with Canadian Interuniversity Sport values, principles and policies, and which take into consideration NSO and International Federation regulations, subject to financial impact restrictions, and subject to an oversight review by the Sport Committee on the following topics:

- a) draws
- b) pools
- c) seedings, trials and heats
- d) selection criteria (guidelines for top 10)
- e) procedures and protests
- f) game and practice times
- g) overtime and time-out
- h) field of play rules in effect
- i) scoring and standards
- j) equipment and facility
- k) video
- l) uniforms
- m) depth charts
- n) facility requirements
- o) selection of All-Canadian and major award winners
- p) planning and organizing of the Sport Congress
- q) elections of Coaches Association executive committee
- r) helping to plan and organize international opportunities
- s) interface with National Championship hosts on technical field of play
- t) researching the establishment of coaching certification standards for international activities
- u) representation to the Sport Committee
- v) coaches professional development
- w) setting fees for the Coaches Association (within established Canadian Interuniversity Sport policy limits)

Coaches Associations may provide input or feedback to the Sport Committee on the following topics. The Coaches Association input on these topics will be put into the mix along with other input which includes marketing, finance, sport development, host input and geo-political considerations.

- a) team composition

- b) team travel funding
- c) participating teams at National Championships
- d) composition of the National Championships Bid Book
- e) feedback on the National Championship Bids
- f) officiating selection process and fees
- g) Championship Management Committee composition
- h) Jury of Appeal
- i) dates of championships and time of games/events
- j) eligibility
- k) financial awards

80.40.10.3 Decision Making for Items without Financial Impact

Decisions taken by Coaches Associations related to items a) through x) above areas shall be final, and shall normally be implemented in the subsequent academic year provided:

- a) a quorum (2/3rds of the participating schools) of the Coaches Association members were in attendance at the time the decision was discussed and taken. This could be either at a Coaches Meeting in person where 66% of the coaches are in attendance, or via conference call where 66% of the coaches are on the line, or by e-mail correspondence and vote where 66% of the coaches respond.
- b) a “Backgrounder” was prepared by the Coaches Association executive or management committee and circulated at least 14 days in advance of the meeting where the decision was taken. The backgrounder shall be reviewed by the Sport Committee as part of the oversight process.
- c) the decision respects and harmonizes with Canadian Interuniversity Sport values, policies, and principles as established by the Board, Sport Committee, and the membership from time to time, and is consistent with human rights and other legislation. The Sport Committee will monitor the harmonization of the decision with the above noted items as part of the oversight process.
- d) the decision is reviewed and approved by the Vice President Sport or designate.
- e) Coaches Association input will be circulated through vote sheets.

80.40.10.4 Decision Making for Items with a Financial Impact

- a) Decision must satisfy the conditions in 80.40.10.3 a), b) and c) above.
- b) If the topic under discussion is a technical field of play one, AND the impact of the decision could have a financial impact upon universities or the Canadian Interuniversity Sport, then the Coaches Association shall forward their recommendations to the Sport Committee (Examples of decisions that have a financial impact: recommending a new statistics package, going from two officials to three officials). The Sport Committee shall weigh the requests from all Coaches Associations, and consider the overall financial impact and balance that against the financial realities of the day, and make a final decision.
- c) The designated Canadian Interuniversity Sport staff person who works with that particular Coaches Association and the President of the Coaches Association shall make the determination of what constitutes a financial impact. Decisions which will result in new/additional expenditures to universities exceeding \$500, will be forwarded to the Sport Committee.

Coaches Association input on the above subjects will be circulated through vote sheets.

80.40.10.5 Procedures

- 80.40.10.5.1 Each Association may choose a chairperson (President) and recommend rules respecting the Canadian Interuniversity Sport Playing Regulations.
- 80.40.10.5.2 Each Association may charge fees not exceeding \$100.00 per member institution. Any proposed fee increase shall be submitted with rationale to the Canadian Interuniversity Sport Sport Committee.
- 80.40.10.5.3 A Member who has declared participation in a sport must pay the Coaches Association Fees for that sport. The CIS office shall collect these fees with other membership fees and hold them in trust. CIS will pay coaches association expenses from the appropriate funds provided the expense is authorized by two members of the coaches association executive. The expenditures will be periodically reviewed by the CIS Sport Committee.
- 80.40.10.5.4 Coaches Association Meetings should normally be arranged to coincide with Canadian Interuniversity Sport National Championship in cooperation with the Host convener.
- 80.40.10.5.5 Minutes and Vote Sheets shall be circulated to all Director of Athletics and Canadian Interuniversity Sport Coaches for voting and to the Canadian Interuniversity Sport Office.
- 80.40.10.5.6 ~~Each Association which charges a fee shall include a copy of the financial statements and proposed budget with the minutes of their Association.~~

Recommended additions to Policy 80.40.10:

- 80.40.10.5.6 Each Association which charges a fee must submit the following to Sport Committee for its approval at the June meeting**
- a) A budget summarizing the account activities for the previous year.**
 - b) A budget outlining anticipated expenditures and revenues for the upcoming season.**
- 80.40.10.5.7 Established annual expenses for coaches association include:**
- a) All-Canadian/Awards Selection Conference Calls**
 - b) president or designate attending NSO meetings**
 - c) annual fees to NSO**
 - d) president or designate attending CIS annual general meeting (once every 2 years); CIS bid selection meeting (once every 2 years); CIS National Championship, if not on a participating team (to sit on Championship Management Committee and handle the selection of Tournament All-Stars, etc. as outlined in the playing regulations).**
 - e) awards (purchase of plaques for additional awards, eg. Defensive Player of the Year)**
 - f) Coaches Association meeting expenses (meeting room, food, audio visual)**
 - h) To purchase of new computerized statistical package for CIS business.**
- 80.40.10.5.8 Guidelines for the use of funds towards a special project and/or initiative:**
- a) contribution to FISU (eg. coaches travel, selection camps, training camps, officials travel, etc.)**
 - b) contribution towards the hosting of a Sport Congress**
 - c) contribution to the development and printing of a Canada First document**
 - d) other sport enhancements such as webcasting, video/streaming, IT, etc.**
- Note: Special initiatives that arise can be submitted to Sport Committee for approval as required.**

80.40.10.5.9 Coaches Associations that do not submit annual budgets (see 80.40.10.5.6) will not be permitted to charge annual fees.

80.40.10.5.10 Coaches Associations that do not demonstrate a plan for the use of funds and have achieved a maximum threshold retained in their account (i.e. double their annual expenses) will not be permitted to charge annual fees until such a time as a budget and plan is presented and approved by Sport Committee. Note: The CIS Office will assist the Coaches Associations in establishing their annual expenses. This will be determined based on an average of expenses accumulated over the last 3 years (will include inflation).

ANNEX D

Desired outcome: To come to a decision on the request from the International Committee to move the date of the 2009 Men's and Women's CIS Hockey Championships.

Background: Regional Associations representatives were asked to forward feedback on the above following their annual meetings. Below is a summary of the feedback received.

AUS

Some brief follow up from AUS respecting the motion from the International Committee to change the dates for the 2009 CIS Men's and Women's Hockey Championships due to the timing of the 2009 FISU Games in China.

- there was concern expressed about the impact on preparation for final exams for our student athletes.
- there was concern expressed about the impact about the impact on the annual year end athletic banquets on our campuses.
- there was concern expressed about the impact on our men's and women's hockey schedules.

That being stated, it is possible for AUS to agree to the following:

- the Women's Hockey Championships be moved not later than March 19-22. 21 game schedule with a championship tournament (best 8 teams advancing)**
- the Men's Hockey Championships be moved not later than March 26-29 (28 game schedule; best 2 out of 3 semi's – best of 5 final)**

To accommodate the above, AUS would consider some or all of the following options:

- consider a later start date to our fall and/or winter schedules.
- incorporate one or more free weekends into our schedules for exhibition play with NCAA, QSSF or OUA.
- possibly revise our current playoff formats for that year.

In any event, that is the summary of the feedback from AUS.

QSSF

Women's Hockey

Concordia is not against moving the dates.
McGill is against moving the dates.
No input from Carleton/Ottawa.

In general, we have determines that moving the dates will most likely interfere with the departure of athletes in 2009 for the World Championships of Hockey (in Europe).

Men's Hockey

Canada West issue

OUA

Below is the feedback received regarding the proposed FISU games date change.

From this information,

OUA supports FISU and will adapt as required to facilitate participation.
However there has been no clear preference expressed as to how to accommodate the situation.

Hockey Women – FISU Date Change Feedback

I have only received a couple of messages from the coaches indicating support for the changes that would be required. Although we have not nailed down exactly what that would be, there is a willingness to work it out. The experience for our women's hockey players to be involved in this event is viewed as a great opportunity for the sport and should be supported. So we will work out the logistics to league schedule and playoff schedules.

“I would be in favour of starting our regular season later in the 2008-09 season. This would enable us to push our OUA playoffs back in the schedule and enable any OUA athletes to attend the FISU games without a huge impact on their teams. I would be in favour of either:

- A) Having a bye week where there are no regular season games, or*
- B) Having our last week of regular season play, falling on the last weekend in February/beginning of March.”*

Men’s Hockey feedback – re date change of FISU games

I think making a schedule change makes sense. I would suggest we may delay the playoff start dates so that there isn't a 2 week gap after the Queen's Cup before the Nationals
or make the Queens Cup 2 out of 3
or make the playoff series 3 out of 5

I would also suggest we delay the start of the season except that is likley too late with ice bookings and schedules made.

CWUAA

- not many options
- we will accept moving to the later date on the men’s side because we don’t see any other options
- lots of discussion

2009 WOMEN’S HOCKEY CHAMPIONSHIP HOST

From: Krista McKenna [mailto:kmckenna@stfx.ca]

Sent: May 7, 2007 10:15 AM

To: Sheila-Ann Newton

Subject: WH CIS 2009

Hi Sheila-Ann,

After further discussion with Leo, Jerrett and some others around X and the Keating Centre, if we do have the option of hosting the women’s hockey CIS championships from March 19-22, 2009 we would prefer this weekend rather than the last weekend in March. It’s so late in the year for us for that final weekend and we totally forgot that our year end is March 31st, so just keep me posted. Obviously anything earlier we would also be in favor of. Thanks,
Krista

Krista McKenna
Assistant to the Athletic Director
Sports Information Director
StFX Department of Athletics

2009 MEN'S HOCKEY CHAMPIONSHIP HOST

Lakehead University is prepared to host the championship of either weekend. Moving it to a week later could actually be more beneficial to them as it allows them more time to prepared. From a facility standpoint there are no issues.

Will accommodate the direction provided by the membership.

Tom Warden
Lakehead University

International Committee Motion to Sport Committee regarding the dates of the 2009 Men's and Women's Hockey Championship

Motion #1: To change the dates of the 2009 CIS Men's Ice Hockey Championship from March 19-22, 2009 to March 26-29, 2009.

Motion #2: To change the dates of the 2009 CIS Women's Ice Hockey Championship from March 5-8, 2009 to March 26-29, 2009.

This will require an exception to CIS policy 20.30.3.1.3 regarding CIS National Championship Schedules. The policy currently states, "The last National Championship in the winter must be played on or prior to the 29th Sunday following Labour Day Monday." If the motions are accepted, both championships would occur on the 30th Sunday following Labour Day in 2009. Both championships would fall on the weekend of the final eight in the 2009 NCAA men's basketball championship.

Rational:

1. FISU has awarded the 2009 Winter Universiade to Harbin, China. The sports menu offered at these games will include men's hockey and (for the first time) women's hockey.
2. Canada has been a driving force for the inclusion of women's hockey in the Universiade and has lobbied FISU since 1998 to that end.
3. Due to the extreme weather conditions in Harbin during the month of January FISU has agreed to move the Universiade from its traditional dates. The average temperature in Harbin in January is regularly between -25°C to -35°C , which is too cold for the Fédération Internationale de Ski (FIS) to sanction the Alpine and Nordic events at the games. Therefore the tentative dates of the 2009 Winter Universiade are February 18th to February 28th, when the temperature is warm enough to hold the Alpine and Nordic events.
4. If Canada sends both a men's and women's hockey teams to the games and the dates of the games remain the same, the earliest date those athletes and coaches attending would return to Canada would be March 1st, 2009.
5. The Women's Ice Hockey Championship is currently scheduled from March 5-8 in 2009. If the date of the championship remains the same and Canada does send a women's team to the Universiade, universities would be required to release players during essentially all the regional association playoffs in order to attend.

6. However if the dates of the Women's Ice Hockey Championship were changed to March 26-29, 2009, it would allow for three weeks of playoff competition to occur before the start of the championship. Canada West requires four weeks to complete its playoffs and determine its regional champion, however the AUS, the OUA and the QSSF only require three weeks to conduct their playoffs and determine their regional association champion.
7. The Men's Ice Hockey Championship is currently scheduled from March 19-22, 2009. It is Canada West's turn to send a team to the Universiade. If the date of the championship remains the same and Canada West does send a men's team to the Universiade, those universities would be required to release players during the first two weeks of their three week playoff schedule in order to attend.
8. However if the dates of the Men's Ice Hockey Championship were changed to March 26-29, 2009, it would allow for three weeks of playoff competition to occur before the start of the championship. Canada West requires three weeks to complete its playoffs and determine its regional champion and therefore no student athletes attending the Universiade would be required to miss any of the Canada West playoff competition.