

**SPORT COMMITTEE MEETING
MINUTES
JUNE 8 & 9, 2004
MONT STE-ANNE, QC**

1. Introductions:

Diane St-Denis, Simon Fraser University, Vice President Sport
Dick White, University of Regina, Vice President Marketing
Barb Mullaly, University Prince Edward Island, Chair Finance Committee
Jill Jeffrey, Saint-Mary's University, AUS representative
Tony Addona, Bishop's University, QSSF representative
Ken Schildroth, York University, OUA representative
Kim Gordon, University of Alberta, CW Representative
Strachan Hartley, Male Student-Athlete Voice
Kelly Matheson, Female Student-Athlete Voice
Sheila-Ann Newton, Manager of Events and Programs (non-voting)

Ex-officios:

Claude Berube, University of Manitoba, Track & Field Coaches Association
Keith Pruden, Concordia University, Women's Basketball Coaches Association
Dan Hennigar, Dalhousie University, Cross Country Coaches Association

Staff:

Tanya Mackin, Finance & Administration
Peter Metuzal, Marketing
Dave Haanpaa, Operations and Development
Colin Timm, International Program Services
Marg McGregor, CEO
Joe Morissette, International Program Services

Regrets

Jean-Guy Ouellette, Université de Sherbrooke, Chair International

Observer:

Janean Sergeant, Queen's University, OUA (*voted for K. Schildroth when he was not in the room*)
Brian Cartlidge, University of Waterloo, OUA

2. Approval of June 2003 Program Council Minutes

D. St-Denis reported that all action items were completed with the exception of the “artificial noise policy”.

**Motion 1 (D. White/J. Jeffrey)
To approve the June 2003 Program Council minutes.**

Carried

3. **Approval of Agenda**

Motion 2 (B. Mullaly/K. Matheson)

Carried

To approve the agenda with the following additions:

- **Ad Hoc Committee report on Women's Field Hockey Championship**
- **Women's Basketball Final 16-Team Proposal**
- **Women's Wrestling 80-90 kg weight category**
- **Executives of Coaches Association**

4. **Issues arising from reports or other committees**

a. **Board of Directors**

i. **Tie Breaking Regulations**

The Board of Directors has requested the Sport Committee review the playing regulations of all pool play sports to ensure no issues will arrive with regard to unclear tie breaking procedures.

Committee received report from S. Newton. See Appendix A.

Motion 3 (K. Gordon/B. Mullaly)

Carried

To approve Men's Ice Hockey Tie Breaking procedures as circulated.

Motion 4 (K. Gordon/J. Jeffrey)

Carried

To approve Women's Ice Hockey Tie Breaking procedures as circulated.

<i>ACTION: S. Newton to add examples for each sport.</i>

ii. **Non-Compliance with CIS Playing Regulations Proposal**

Following the 03-04 Championship season it became apparent that many Coaches Associations were all too prepared to adjust and change playing regulations at the Technical Meetings just prior to the National Championship. Situations like this should not be happening and put undo pressure on championship hosts who are trying to be "gracious" and "accommodating" to all visiting teams.

Motion 5 (D. White/B. Mullaly)

Carried

Effective September 2004, all CIS National Championship Technical meetings will be chaired by the CIS Delegate assigned by the CIS Board of Directors.

Motion 6 (B. Mullay/D. White)

Carried

To approve the Non-Compliance policy as distributed.

Following the Sport Committee meeting, D. St-Denis presented the motion to the CIS Board of Directors and the following is an excerpt from the minutes of their June 9th meeting:

06-04-05 Mullaly/St-Denis Carried

Whereas CIS does not wish to prevent a duly qualified student-athlete or institution from participating at the CIS championship once they are at the site; and whereas CIS has published and duly circulated its playing regulations to all member institutions,

That an institution which is not in compliance with a published CIS playing regulation, that does not place the student-athlete at risk (i.e. lack of safety equipment would not be exempted from compliance), or does not inhibit the student-athlete's participation in the tournament (i.e. jersey's with no numbers), may be subject to the following sanctions:

a) First offense: Fine of \$1,000

b) Second offense in the same sport: Fine of \$5,000

c) Third offense in the same sport: Complaint of non-compliance submitted to the CIS Discipline Committee.

Offenses are erased from the ledger once the institution completes 2 calendar years without any recurring offenses.

Amendment Dubeau/Hall Carried

That the "Second offense in the same sport" referred to in Motion 06-04-05 be changed from \$5,000 to \$3,000.

It was noted that some samples of "non-compliance" that this new Policy would apply to be included in the Policy Manual.

Legal Counsel will be requested to review the language of the Policy.

iii. Terms of Reference of CIS National Championship Management Committees

ACTION: D. St-Denis and S.Newton to rework the terms of reference and provide an updated version to the committee for final approval via email vote.

b. Convener and Host Reports

S. Newton provided several recommendations based on experiences throughout the 03-04 Championship season and on feedback received from various Championship Hosts and CIS Delegates. See Appendix C.

i. All-Canadians and Major Award Winners

Motion 7 (K. Gordon/J. Jeffrey) Carried

That All-Canadians must be nominated by using the official CIS nomination form (see Appendix D). Nominations will only be accepted by the Sport Conference, Coaches Associations and CIS if submitted on the attached form with all details complete.

ACTION: S. Newton to contact Coaches Association liaison and ensure forms are combined to reduce confusion.

Motion 8 (K. Gordon/B. Mullaly) Carried
The CIS office will be responsible for setting up the All-Canadian and Awards conference calls for each Coaches Association. The date and time of the call will be established based on criteria outlined in the playing regulations. It is the responsibility of the designated conference representative to be on the call at the appropriate time. If not, the call will continue with those that are in attendance. CIS to ensure home/cell phone numbers of call participants are on hand.

Motion 9 (K. Gordon/K. Matheson) Carried
All major award finalists be prepared to speak at the awards presentations. Championship Hosts to ensure the opportunity is presented to each of the winners.

ACTION: S. Newton to ensure process is clearly articulated in bid book and playing regulation (awards section).

ii. Warm-Ups

ACTION: D. St-Denis to work on wording for a recommendation to address the designated warm-up areas.

Wording should include:

- confrontational and intimidating manner
- respectful

Suggested we complete some research with NCAA/NBA/FIBA rules.

iii. Opening Ceremonies

ACTION: D. St-Denis and S. Newton to work on a recommendation (in consultation with Championship Hosts and Coaches Associations) and report back to the Committee.

iv. Officials

M. McGregor reported on the CABO memorandum of understanding that is currently being worked on. Will continue to work with CABO and a representative from both the Men's and Women's Coaches Associations.

ACTION: M. McGregor to send letter to both Coaches Association Presidents to update them on progress of negotiations.

v. Medical Requirements

Committee agreed that it was time to have this section (medical requirements when hosting a CIS National Championship) reviewed and appointed a sub-committee to complete the task. Need experts in the field. Will be chaired by a committee member,

should include one representative (medical expertise) from each conference. To report back by August.

Chair – Jill Jeffrey, Saint Mary’s

CW - Joan Mathews, Alberta

QSSF – Tony Addona to find a rep.

OUA – Ken Schildroth to find a rep.

AUS – Jill Jeffrey to find a rep.

C. Timm recommended they get in touch with some expert committees such as CADA.

D. St-Denis also recommended the CIS have the CCES review current terminology (in Bid Book and Hosting Requirements) as the wording is out of date.

<p><i>ACTION: Sub-Committee to report back via email report in August. Dave Haanpaa to ensure CCES reviews current terminology in bid book and hosting requirements.</i></p>

5. a) National Championship Calendar

D. St-Denis reviewed the activities of the Championship ad hoc committee, formed to review and discuss the current CIS National Championship schedule.

Presidents of CIS Coaches Associations were contacted in October of 2003 by the committee chair and informed of the committee’s terms of reference and objectives. NSOs and Host Institutions were contacted by the CIS Office staff.

A draft report was circulated to Regional Associations and Coaches Associations for discuss in January/February 2004.

A final recommendation was included in the AGM package for the membership to consider and vote on. Feedback from various sources has been mixed.

The Sport Committee discussed the proposal and agreed that with the core sport review taking place in the next 12 months, with so many unknowns in reference to television, and with the women’s basketball 16-team proposal still being worked on, it would be worthwhile to postpone the proposal until a clearer picture is developed.

Motion 10 (K. Gordon/T. Addona) Carried
Sport Committee recommends to the membership that the report from the Ad-Hoc Committee on National Championship dates be tabled until the completion of the core sport review process.

b) Feedback received re National Championship Dates Policy

D. St-Denis reviewed feedback received from Ross Wilson and Jack Drover re declaration of the last Fall championship (see Appendix D).

Motion 11 (K. Gordon/S. Hartley) Carried
That for policy 20.30.3.1.2 and 20.30.3.1.3 that the words “except under extenuating circumstances” be removed.

6. National Championship Site Selection

Sport Committee reviewed the National Championship Bid Book, the call for bids process and timelines.

Motion 12 (K. Gordon/T. Addona) Carried
If two or less letters of bidding intention are received by the deadline for submitting letters of bidding intention, letters of bidding intention will be accepted for another 30 days. After the 30 days no more letters of bidding intention will be accepted. If three or more letters of bidding intentions are received by the deadline for submitting letters of bidding intention, no more letters of bidding intention will be accepted. Bid packages will not be accepted unless a letter of bidding intention has been submitted.

Motion 13 (K. Schildroth/J. Jeffrey) Carried
A 2nd announcement should be made after receipt of final bid packages.

<i>ACTION: D. St.Denis to contact Ian McGregor regarding crisis management plan.</i>

7. National Championship Format and Participant Policies

a. **Evaluation of the 10-Team Pilot Project in Men's Basketball and Review of the Championship Task Force Principles**

D. St-Denis reviewed framework and timelines for the completion of the evaluation for the 10-Team pilot project in Men's Basketball.

A draft of the 1st round of the evaluation was prepared by the CIS Office and reviewed by the committee (see Appendix E).

Highlights from the discussion included:

- not enough responses from the fans;
- men's basketball went to the 10-team format because they weren't happy when the Task Force took away the wild card and applied the host berth;
- now that there will be an overall review of the principles, we should look at re-establishing wild cards;
- we need to see if the 10-team format fits with the principles as written;
- NABCC brought forward 25 reasons to move to the 10-team format. Need to go back to those points and see if they still hold true;
- multiple entries from the same regional association diminishes the value of the regional association championship. This must be considered in the overall review process;
- What are our goals? Do we want to make our regional association championships meaningful.
- May be interesting to take a look at how the NCAA got to where they are. They may have been through a similar process some time ago and we could use their learnings.

ACTION: D. St-Denis and S. Newton to review current championship formats and berths and will:

- use the survey created for men's basketball and apply for all championships, ensuring as many as possible fill it out.*
- go back to the host looking for additional feedback (specific to men's basketball)*
- will revisit the tool to see if the survey could fit a little better*
- solicit additional feedback from athletes*
- compare the original list of 25 reasons to move to the 10-team format and reaccess*
- solicit feedback from TV (does it enhance the championship)*
- solicit feedback from sponsors*

Will report back to the committee Fall 2004.

ACTION: S. Newton to continue with the review of the Championship Task Force principles. Should go back out to coaches associations for feedback. Include regional associations and ensure they include a coach from their area to assist with the review. To provide an interim report Fall 2004.

b. Review of recommendation from sub-committee on Women's Field Hockey

Following the Board of Directors decision to continue with a Women's Field Hockey Championship in 2004 and 2005 (following the loss of 2 teams to the Field Hockey program for 2004) and subsequent appointment of a sub-committee to discuss format for the 2004 championship, the sub-committee met on May 28th via conference call. During the course of the call, the group reviewed 4 championship format options. The sub-committee also received an update from Beth Ali as to the discussions currently taking place at the Field Hockey Canada table with regards to the 2005 championship and the CIS Program Renewal Application.

The Sport Committee reviewed the report and supported the recommendation to the Board of Directors. (See Appendix F.)

c. Women's Basketball Proposal

K. Purden, President Women's Basketball Coaches Association addressed Sport Committee and answered questions regarding the proposal.

D. St-Denis explained that the Sport Committee and the Board of Directors are to review the document with the intent of having an email vote to the membership in the Fall 2004. This to ensure that the format is established prior to the next call for bids (January 2005).

Discussion highlights included:

- Can we support the concept yet continue to work on the details?
- Need to establish who's going to bare the financial increase.
- Not sure the Fall timeline will work. More work needs to be done.
- Coaches Association was hoping that this group would endorse the proposal at this stage of the game. Coaches feel they have taken this proposal as far as they can. Now need to involve some experts in the other areas to help complete the task (financial, etc.).

- Coaches Association believes we can maintain a competitive depth with this proposal and that the program is affordable.
- Proposal will have an impact on regional association finals.
- Why the current number of berths? Not clear enough in the document.
- May want to research re funding breakdown (cost-sharing).
- Ticket sales if the final four is not hosted in a home town.
- Suggested not using university names (template becomes less biasing).
- Financial – travel paraments – include extreme costs (revenue sharing and cost sharing)

Agreed that a sub-committee should be formed to continue to work with the Coaches Association on the final details surrounding the proposal. Committee will be chaired by Kim Gordon and will include Keith Pruden, Marg McGregor and representatives from Finance, Marketing, and other key individuals as required. The objective of the sub-committee is to prepare a complete and final proposal for the AGM, June 2005.

Motion 14 (K. Gordon/S. Hartley)

Carried

Whereas the Sport Committee endorses the concept of a 16-team women's basketball championship, consisting of a Final 4 with 4 regional play downs, starting March 2008, the Sport Committee has appointed the creation of a sub-committee to work with the WBCA to finalize the proposal for presentation at the June 2005 AGM.

8. Anti-Harassment Protocols

The issues of fan behavior and announcer protocols were identified in the 2001 Task Force report. A copy of the NCAA protocols was circulated and reviewed by committee membership. Need to move this along.

ACTION: A sub-committee be formed to address this area and develop a draft recommendation. D. St-Denis to lead this. Parameters for sub-committee:
- any policy shouldn't just apply to CIS Championships, should be across the board for all CIS league play.
- suggest it belongs with the Research and Development Committee
- could workshop it in November, the Research and Development Committee could pull together a panel of experts.
- J. Jeffrey to provide a copy of the AUS document.

9. Sport Congresses

S. Newton provided the committee a report on the 2004 CIS Women's Ice Hockey Sport Congress (see Appendix G). It was also reported that the Board of Directors in their recent cost-cutting exercise cut the previously allotted \$2000 to sport congresses. Coaches Associations are still encouraged to proceed in this direction but will have to adjust their budgets to accommodate the reduction.

10. Sport Renewal Process

M. McGregor reported on where we are in the current process. The Ad-Committee will be reviewing the applications this Fall with a recommendation to the Board of Directors for their November meeting. All current core sports have reapplied and several new sports have applied.

11. Coaches Association Education Strategy

D. St-Denis lead a discussion on an education strategy to ensure all coaches are aware of changes to current CIS policy and regulations.

Discussion highlights included:

- there's a difference between part-time coaches and full-time coaches
- our focus should be with the Executive – we may need to provide additional assistance.
- coaches need to be accountable for their meetings
- one week to get the minutes in and if not there needs to be a fine
- master timelines should be communicated in advance
- 10-15 minute session on how to get it done
- no minutes, no motions
- coaches associations can hire someone locally (\$100) to type the minutes

Motion 15 (K. Gordon/J. Jeffrey) Carried
Coaches Association minutes must be in the CIS Office within one week following their meeting and 30 days prior to the scheduled Sport Committee meeting.

To Note:

- o All motions coming forward from coaches association must be clearly articulated with detailed rationale.
- o Timelines should permit enough time to have Presidents of Coaches Associations proof vote sheets prior to circulation.
- o Motions that are close in vote in the minutes should be reflected on the vote sheet with both arguments included.
- o After the motions have been dealt with there must be communication back to the coaches association on what happened to their motions.

Should continue to encourage AD's to work with their coaches to review playing regulations once they're circulated.

Following the above there was a discussion on vote sheets. Agreed that vote sheets should only be accepted if both the Athletic Director and Coach have voted (or in the event of an institution not having a coach at the time of voting would indicate this on their form). It was recommended that we include on the tallied vote sheets a list of who did not respond.

ACTION: S. Newton to develop timelines to assist coaches association in meeting all of the above timelines and highlight the criteria which must be met in each phase of the decision making process (ie. Minimum 66% response rate.).

12. Other Business:

a) Wrestling 80 to 90 kg Category

D. St-Denis referred to a letter CIS received from a MLA in Saskatchewan expressing concern that there was no 90 kg women's weight category at the CIS Championship for the CWUAA wrestling champion.

ACTION: Send to Coaches Association and secure rational for current weight categories.

CIS Office has been in contact with the Coaches Association and will ensure they provide additional information as to the current numbers for such a weight category.

13. COACHES ASSOCIATION MOTIONS REQUIRING APPROVAL BY SPORT COMMITTEE

The following are Coaches Association motions that met the criteria in policy 3.6.11.3 and 3.6.11.4 and therefore were discussed by Sport Committee.

Basketball - Men

MOTION 14 (K. GORDON/K. SCHILDROTH) CARRIED (as amended)

That the All-Canadian Celebration be scheduled for the evening prior to the first game day of the National Championship Tournament. (Motion 5 on vote sheet)

Rationale: Will eliminate shooting time issues with participating coaches.

MOTION 15 WAS RULED OUT OF ORDER – REDUNDANT AS CURRENT REGULATIONS INCLUDE A HOST.

That a host team be part of a ten team format for the Men's Basketball Championship.

(Motion 7 on vote sheet)

AS SPORT COMMITTEE HAS BEEN TASKED FOR REVIEWING THE CHAMPIONSHIP TASK FORCE PRINCIPLES OVER THE NEXT 12 MONTHS, THE COMMITTEE AGREED TO TAKE MOTION 16 UNDER ADVISEMENT AND WILL ADD TO THE REVIEW PROCESS.

MOTION 16

That Men's Basketball return to a system of at-large (REFERS TO WILD CARD) bids to fill the championship draw. *(Motion 8 on vote sheet)*

MOTION 17 WAS SEND BACK TO THE COACHES ASSOCIATION FOR MORE DETAILS. MUST BE SUBMITTED BY END OF JUNE.

That we adopt the Wildcard Selection Criteria presently used by the CWUAA as the seeding criteria for the Final 10 Tournament. James Hillis will review the criteria and add any language necessary to reflect the Top Ten format structure. *(Motion 12 on vote sheet)*

Basketball - Women

MOTION 18 FORWARDED TO THE SUB-COMMITTEE WORKING WITH THE COACHES ASSOCIATION TO COMPLETE THE 16-TEAM PROPOSAL.

The following principles shall be applied to the Top 16-format proposal:

- a) no restriction on travel to Regional Tournaments
- b) regional association winners will host their Regional Tournament and be seeded in the top 8
- c) seeding will be done by committee following the criteria currently available. Some slight adjustments may be made in the bottom 8 seeds (mobility between 9-12 and/or 13-16) but not in the top 8 seeds.
- d) a guarantee for the championships will be distributed/shared among the 5 host sites (4 Regional Tournament and 1 Final 4 tournament).

(Motion 1 on vote sheet)

MOTION 19 HAS BEEN NOTED BY THE SPORT COMMITTEE.

Given that we will be staying with an 8-team format for at least the next 3 years, WBCA would like to stay on our current weekend (Week 3). If we adopt the 16-team, two-week National tournament, we would like to play our 4 Regional tournaments on the current weekend and the Final 4 weekend would be one of the following two weekends. (*Motion 3 on vote sheet*)

MOTION 20 FORWARD TO CURRENT DISCUSSION GROUP WORKING WITH CABO ON AN MEMO OF UNDERSTANDING (M. MCGREGOR AND T. BAKER)

2.21 (a) the WBCA would like the 4 pre-selected female officials to be the top 4 female officials in the country, not to be selected simply because they are female.

Rationale: in recent discussions with T. Baker, the officials' position has been that the top female officials will come through in the regular selection process and do not need to be pre-selected. The WBCA does not agree with this position.

(*Motion 4 on vote sheet*)

MOTION 21 FORWARD TO CURRENT DISCUSSION GROUP WORKING WITH CABO ON AN MEMO OF UNDERSTANDING (M. MCGREGOR AND T. BAKER)

Each regional association recommend, in rank order, a list of a maximum of 6 officials to CABO one week before the CABO deadline (for selecting national tournament officials) and CABO will choose the top 8 (top 4 female officials being pre-selected as above) officials for the National championships from that list. Regional representatives of WBCA will submit names to WBCA officials' representative before the selection by CABO is made. (*Motion 5 on vote sheet.*)

MOTION 22 (K. GORDON/T. ADDONA)

DEFEATED

WBCA have a WBCA Honorable Mention All-Canadian award for 5 players.

(*Motion 7 on vote sheet*)

MOTION 23 (K. GORDON/K. SCHILDROTH)

CARRIED 3-2-1

GRANDFATHER THE MEN'S BASKETBALL SELECTION PROCESS TO INCLUDE HONOURABLE MENTIONS.

MOTION 24 (J. JEFFREY/K. SCHILDROTH)

CARRIED

The WBCA name the Rookie of the Year Award, the "Kathy Shields" Rookie of the Year Award pending her approval. Stu Julius will call to ask Kathy's permission to use her name. (*Motion 9 on vote sheet*)

ACTION: K. PRUDEN WILL ENSURE KATHY PROVIDES WRITTEN PERMISSION.

MOTION 25 RULED OUT OF ORDER. PLAYING REGULATIONS IS NOT THE PLACE TO LIST THE RESPONSIBILITIES FOR COACHES ASSOCIATION EXECUTIVES.

To adopt the distributed list of WBCA executive responsibilities and add to WBB playing regulations. (*Motion 11 on vote sheet*)

ACTION: Secure above from all coaches associations and include in by-laws.

**MOTION 26 (J. JEFFREY/S. HARTLEY) CARRIED
TO ADD TO CIS BY-LAWS UNDER THE COMMITTEE SECTIONS,
RESPONSIBILITIES OF ALL COACHES ASSOCIATION EXECUTIVE POSITIONS (IF
PROVIDED).**

MOTION 27 (K. GORDON/J. JEFFREY) DEFEATED (1-4-1)
SPORT COMMITTEE SUPPORTS THE PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITY
TO RUN THE WBCA AGM IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE NIKE COACHING CLINIC IN
LAS VEGAS ONLY IF QUARUM IS OBTAINED PRIOR TO.

Motion (T. Baker/J. Kreiner)

That the 2005 WBCA-AGM be held in Las Vegas.

Rationale: To provide a professional development opportunity at the same time as the
AGM. Coaches would attend the Nike Coaching Clinic held that same weekend (May 7,
2005). (*Motion 13 on vote sheet*)

Cross Country

SPORT COMMITTEE RULED THE FOLLOWING MOTION OUT OF ORDER AS IT DOES NOT FOLLOW THE CURRENT CIS CHAMPIONSHIP AWARDS POLICY.

DAN HENNIGAR AGREED TO RE-WRITE MOTION TO COMPLY WITH POLICY. WILL RESEND MOTION TO COMMITTEE FOR APPROVAL BY END OF JUNE.

Modify current Regulations 1.4 and 1.5 under Awards and Trophies to read:

1.4 Most Valuable Performers (MVP)

- a) First place finisher in the women's race and first place finisher in the men's race are automatically the respective Championship MVP. These awards are provided by the CIS and is presented at the conclusion of the races.
- b) By vote of the Coaches Association (1 vote per university for each of the female and male awards) the Season MVP will be selected. This award is provided by funds from the Coaches Association and is presented at the CIS Nationals Celebration Banquet.

1.5 Rookie of the Year

- a) The Rookie will be the best finish place in the respective women's and men's race are automatically the Championships Rookie of the Year. This award is provided by the CIS and is presented at the conclusion of the races.
- b) By vote of the Coaches Association (1 vote per university for each of the female and male awards) the Season Rookie of the year will be selected. This award is provided by funds from the Coaches Association and is presented at the CIS Nationals Celebration Banquet.
- c) A Rookie must be 21 years of age or younger as of September 1st in the year of competition and in her/his first year of CIS Eligibility.

(Motion 2 on vote sheet)

Field Hockey

MOTION 29 (J. JEFFREY/K. GORDON)

CARRIED

To increase the CIS Field Hockey Coaches Association fees to \$75.00.

Rationale: The CIS Field Hockey trophies desperately need to be repaired. After they are repaired, we will need to purchase trophy cases to keep them in good condition. Peter Metuzals (CIS) has agreed to fix the trophies and have cases designed for them.

(Motion 2 on vote sheet)

MOTION 30 (K. GORDON/S. HARTLEY)

CARRIED

Host Institutions will use only Kookaburra Match Balls (orange) for CIS Championship Games.

Rationale: This is the ball used at International Competitions. Trying to follow the FIH practices, including ball selection as much as possible. *(Motion 5 on vote sheet)*

Football

MOTION 31 WAS RULED OUT OF ORDER AS CIS CAN NOT REGULATE REGIONAL ASSOCIATIONS.

Be it resolved that any rule change that is approved by CUFGA, and subsequently approved by Program Council, must be adopted by each CIS Football Conference.
(Motion 1 on vote sheet)

MOTION 32 WAS RULED OUT OF ORDER AS CIS CAN NOT REGULATE REGIONAL ASSOCIATIONS.

That appropriate and senior officials be assigned to our of conference league games, should funding be available, with a view to improving the quality and development of officiating at the CIS level. *(Motion 8 on vote sheet)*

MOTION 33 (K. GORDON/K. SCHILDROTH) DEFEATED (0-5-0)

That a seventh (7th) official be added to the current officiating crew.
Rationale: The addition of an additional Official to the current Officiating Crew would give better coverage to play on the field and, the players would be afforded more protection.
(Motion 9 on vote sheet)

THE COMMITTEE DEFEATED THE ABOVE MOTION EXPRESSING CONCERN WITH CURRENT QUALITY OF OFFICIALS. ADDING ANOTHER OFFICIAL MAY BE ADDING AN ADDITIONAL INDIVIDUAL WHO IS NOT QUALIFIED FOR THIS LEVEL OF FOOTBALL. FINANCIAL CONCERNS WERE ALSO DISCUSSED.

MOTION 34 (K. GORDON/J. JEFFREY) CARRIED

That all conferences use or work towards using the same standardized stats package (stats crew software). *(Motion 14 on vote sheet)*

MOTION 35 (S. HARTLEY/T. ADDONA) CARRIED

That the minimum sets of spotter phones be 2 for each team and the limit be the same for both teams (i.e. Home 4 – Visiting team 4, as long as it is the same for both teams). This applies to the two Bowl games and the Vanier Cup.
(Motion 20 on vote sheet)

MOTION 36 WAS RULED OUT OF ORDER AS IT IS IN CONFLICT WITH CURRENT CIS POLICY/PROCESS.

CUFGA recommends that each CIS football conference representative be responsible to inform all coaches in their respective conference of the results of the All-Canadian selection relative to that coach's own team. *(Motion 25 on vote sheet)*

MOTION 37 WAS RULED OUT OF ORDER AS IT HAS ALREADY TAKEN PLACE.

That CUFGA endorses the 2004 East-West All-Star Game set tentatively for May 15th, 2004. *(Motion 28 on vote sheet)*

Ice Hockey - Men

NO MOVER OR SECONDER THEREFORE MOTION FAILED TO MAKE THE FLOOR.

That the roster size for the CIS National Championship be open to include all athletes on a respective team's eligibility roster. An not be frozen as it presently states.

Rational: The rule presently states that 22 shall be declared with the provision for a third goaltender in case of injury. Teams often carry 24 to 25 athletes on their eligibility roster and in most cases they all use eligibility. The nature of the sport results in most of these athletes playing in 60% or more of their teams conference games due to injuries on their teams rosters. Thus they should be included as part of their teams eligible roster for the CIS championships and coaches should be able to access them if the need arises.

(Motion 3 on vote sheet)

ACTION: D. ST-DENIS TO REVIEW STANDARDIZED ROSTER SIZE. I.E TIED INTO INTERNATIONAL RULES, ETC.

MOTION 38 (S. HARTLEY/K. MATHESON) DEFEATED (0-6-0)

That the CIS rule regarding mouthguard useage be reworted. It should read that mouthguard use in the CIS for men's hockey is HIGHLY RECOMMENDED!!

Rational: The mouth guard rule is recognized as being very important in the prevention of damage to an athletes teeth, and helps reduce the trama to the athletes head from contact or collisions. However, it is rarely enforced in Junior, Professional hockey OR in the CIS. The only time it gains notice is at a national championship when it gets designated as a rule emphasis. To satisfy liability concerns signs should be posted in the CIS dressing rooms and by the entrance to the ice surfaces stating that MOUTH GUARD USE IS HIGHLY RECOMMENDED!

(Motion 4 on vote sheet)

Ice Hockey – Women

MOTION 39 (K. GORDON/K. SCHILDROTH) CARRIED

That the Coaches Association fee be increased to \$100.00 to assist in building a fund for coaching development and future FISU initiatives.

(Motion 1 on vote sheet)

MOTION 40 (K. SCHILDROTH/T. ADDONA) CARRIED (5-1-0)

A team be permitted to dress a maximum of 18 skaters and a maximum of 2 goal tenders. *(Motion 3 on vote sheet)*

Rugby – Women’s

MOTION 41 (K. GORDON/J. JEFFREY)

CARRIED

That Ontario be given the assigned berth for the upcoming two years. (Policy number 20.20.4.8) *Rationale:* Whereas women’s rugby is a growing sport across the country, {Ontario is given the assigned berth for the upcoming two years} to be re-evaluated to ensure the representation is appropriate in order to assist with the good of the sport.
(Motion 1 on vote sheet)

ACTION: SPORT COMMITTEE TO REVIEW MOTIONS 6 THROUGH 12 VIA AN EMAIL VOTE ONCE THE REQUIRED 66% RESPONSE RATE HAS BEEN REACHED.

Soccer – Men’s

MOTION 42 (K. GORDON/K. MATHESON)

CARRIED

That the following schedule and rotation be implemented for the 2004 National Championships.

Schedule of Events

Thursday	A vs B	D vs E
Friday	B vs C	E vs F
Saturday	C vs A	F vs D

Pools

<u>Pool A</u>	<u>Pool B</u>
A-Host	D-Quebec
B-Atlantic	E-Ontario One
C-Ontario Two	F-Canada West

(Motion 4 on vote sheet)

Soccer – Women’s

MOTION 43 (K. GORDON/S. HARTLEY)

CARRIED

That the Women’s Soccer Coaches Association fees be raised from \$25.00 to \$75.00 per institution. *Rationale:* The \$50.00 increase would be used to help off-set the cost of coaches participating in the FISU Games. Most women’s soccer coaches have full-time jobs other than their CIS coaching jobs and therefore have to take leave without pay to participate in these games which is a huge coaching development opportunity.

(Motion 2 on vote sheet)

MOTION 44 (S. HARTLEY/K. GORDON) – Commencing 2005.

CARRIED

That the format for the CIS National Championship, be made up of the 4 Regional Association Champions, 1 assigned berth to the OUA (OUA silver winning team), one host, one assigned berth awarded based on merit (to the previous year’s CIS gold medal winning conference), and the final assigned berth would be based on rotation through the 3 other non-Ontario conferences. *Rationale:* A merit and rotation system is the best option re format for Women’s Soccer. It is agreed that no regional association should have more than 3 representatives at any one championship.

(Motion 4 on vote sheet)

MOTION 45 (K. GORDON/K. MATHESON)

CARRIED

That the Coaches Association supports playing the CIS Championships over four days. Proper field conditions, lighting, physical demands on players, and the game’s product quality with regard to television coverage of the championship game are among much rationale. *(Motion 6 on vote sheet)*

Swimming

MOTION 46 WAS FORWARD TO THE CIS BOARD OF DIRECTORS FOR APPROVAL.
(REFERS TO A SPORT CONGRESS)

Hold a coaches forum after the completion of the 2005 CIS Championships. Do so in an appropriate timeframe to ensure the success of the forum. (*Motion 1 on vote sheet*)

ACTION: S. NEWTON TO REQUEST COACHES ASSOCIATION COMPLETE THE SPORT CONGRESS REQUEST FORM AND FORWARD TO BOARD

ALSO NOTED THAT OFFICIAL MINUTES WERE NOT RECEIVED FROM SWIMMING.

ACTION: S. NEWTON TO FORWARD A NOTE TO ALL COACHES ASSOCIATIONS THAT MOTIONS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED WITHOUT THE RECEIPT OF COMPLETED MINUTES.

Track & Field

MOTION 47 (K. GORDON/T. ADDONA) – THAT THE FOLLOWING MOTION BE FORWARDED TO CIS DOPING COMMITTEE. COMMITTEE SUPPORTED THE RECOMMENDATIONS ONLY IF CIS HAS THE LEGAL GROUNDS TO DO IT

That all performances not recognized by our sport governing bodies (Athletics Canada, IAAF, etc) due to doping related offenses, should also be stripped from the CIS Record Books. *Rationale:* CIS should be champions of fair play and anti doping. The records have been stripped by other organizations, CIS should be consistent with them.

(Motion 5 on vote sheet)

COMMITTEE SUGGESTED THE COACHES ASSOCIATION PROVIDE CLARITY ON THE INTENT. WE HAVE ATHLETES THAT WERE INVOLVED IN THE DUBIN INQUIRY AND ADMITTED GUILT AND WERE STRIPPED OF AWARDS AND YET STILL LISTED IN CIS RECORD BOOK.

THE FOLLOWING MOTION WAS MISSED ON THE VOTE SHEET BUT BROUGHT FORWARD BY CLAUDE BERUBE.

MOTION 48 (K. GORDON/K. SCHILDROTH)

CARRIED

Be it resolved that the following be added to D.10.1.2. for the CIS playing regulations for men's and women's indoor track and field:

D.10.1.2.1. In the event that eight (8) or more athletes achieve the CIS standard in an event in any year, the standard for that event for the following year will reflect sixth (6th) place on the final CIS rankings for the year that eight or more athletes achieved the standard.

Volleyball – Women

MOTION 49 (K. SCHILDROTH/K. GORDON)

CARRIED (5-0-1)

That for CIS women's volleyball, the number on the front of the jersey shall be 15cm and the number on the back be 20cm to comply with rule Volleyball Canada rule 4.3.3.2.

Note: Has been in effect for the last two years.

(Motion 3 on vote sheet)

ACTION: D. ST-DENIS AND S. NEWTON DISCUSS WITH HOSTS AND COACHES ASSOCIATIONS (ALL SPORTS) TO FIND A SOLUTION. WILL REPORT BACK. REFER TO ITEM 4 b) iii) of agenda for overall direction.

MOTION 50

If athletes are expected to participate in the Opening Ceremonies, it must occur prior to the 8:00 match on Day 1 and shall not exceed 10 minutes. **Rationale:** The past 2 years the Opening Ceremonies have occurred prior to the 6:00 pm quarter-final which impacts the preparations of 4 teams; by moving it to the last match then only the 2 teams in that match are affected; needs to be kept shorter than the current 15 minute guideline.

(Motion 6 on vote sheet)

MOTION 51

In the case where there is a Championship Banquet, that the host is encouraged to conduct the Opening Ceremonies at the Banquet. *(Motion 7 on vote sheet)*

MOTION 52 (J. JEFFREY/K. GORDON)

CARRIED

Minimum of Provincial certified minor officials shall be used for scorekeeping and the libero control sheet. *Rationale:* The current regulations do not stipulate the certification level of the officials used for these tasks. The feeling is that more and more errors are being made in the scoring and control of libero and we need to ensure that competent officials are used. It is also a positive step in providing development opportunities to the officials at this level through championship opportunities. *(Motion 17 on vote sheet)*

Wrestling

MOTION 53 WAS SENT BACK TO COACHES ASSOCIATION FOR RETRIEVAL OF THE PROPOSAL. FOR RECEIPT BY END OF JUNE. AT TIME OF PRINTING PROPOSAL HAD NOT BEEN RECEIVED.

To accept the CIS Wrestling Championship Amelioration Proposal for the year 2004-05 season. (*Motion 2 on vote sheet*)

ACTION: SHEILA-ANN TO FOLLOW-UP WITH COACHES ASSOCIATION RE PROPOSAL AND FORWARD TO SPORT COMMITTEE FOR AN EMAIL VOTE.

14. COACHES ASSOCIATION MOTIONS THAT HAVE BEEN PRE-APPROVED

The following are Coaches Association motions that met the criteria in policy 3.6.11.3 and 3.6.11.4 and were pre-approved by the Manager, Events & Programs and the Vice-President Sport. Sport Committee was provided the opportunity to challenge any of the pre-approved motions.

Basketball - Men

Motion 1 (P. Campbell/P. Williams)

That the Executive task Coach Hillis to retain someone to prepare weekly voting information for the Top Ten. That the NABCC pay that person an honorarium of \$300.00 per annum.

Motion 2 (J. Hillis/P. Campbell)

That each regional association be able to put forward divisional All-Star, MVP, Coach of the Year, Rookie and Defensive Player of the Year for publication prior to Regional Association Awards.

Motion 3 (D. Vanhooren/P. Campbell)

Moved, it should be noted – nominees for the major National Awards will come from Regional Association major award winners.

Motion 4 (R. Suffield/P. Campbell)

That the NABCC establish a coaching development endowment fund and establish criteria for accessing that fund. And a Committee be established to set those criteria.

Motion 6 (J. Dore/J. Campbell)

Re: Nike All-Canadian Camp Presentation

To strike a Committee of three members to provide guidance on the improvement and enhancement of the CIS Promotional Presentation including the commitment of finances to this end. NOTE; IN CONSULTATION WITH THE CIS NATIONAL OFFICE.

Motion 9 (C. O'Rourke/D. Daveiro)

That the NABCC form a sub-committee to investigate the merits of the "5th Year Eligibility Proposal" as presented by Mike Katz of Basketball Canada. The sub-committee shall consist of James Hillis – Chair, Mike Katz – Basketball Canada (Ex-officio member), Stan Peters –CWUAA, Peter Campbell – OUA, Nevio Marzinetto – Quebec, Todd Aughey – AUS, Bill Wedlake – Eligibility Committee (Ex-officio Member).

Motion 10 (P. Campbell/J. Dore)

That Ken Murray, representing the NABCC, meet with the President of CABO and the Executive Director as delegate of the CIS to reach agreement on a collaboration effort to resolve present difficulties with the CABO-CIS document. (Item 2.2 of CABO Agreement)

Motion 11 (J. Hillis/V. Hill)

That the existing NABCC coaching development program be renamed the “Guy Vetric Coaching Development Bursary” in memory of Guy Vetric, former UVIC Vikings Head Coach, longtime member of the NABCC, mentor and friend to many coaches within the association and countless others within the basketball community.

Basketball - Women

Motion 2 (S. Harle/J. Speedy)

That the Top –16 proposal including amendments be presented to Sport Committee by Keith Pruden.

Motion 10 (WBCA Executive)

Keith Pruden distributed a list of the 5 executive positions and responsibilities of the WBCA executive.

Motion 6 (T. Baker/P. Danis)

In WBB Playing Regulations, change “Sport Conference” to “Regional Association” where appropriate.

I.3 b) reworded.....

All pertinent information on a standardized form regarding these players will be forwarded to all other Regional Association representatives and the Vice-President Awards no later than 14 calendar days before the date of the All-Canadian awards banquet. The names must be submitted in rank order according to each Regional Association’s choice.

I.3 c) Replaced by:

“The voting for the All-Canadians will be done by a representative of each Regional Association (4) who shall rank, respecting regional rankings, the submitted names of each region other than their own. How each Sport Region decides on their rank order (ie: their own all-star selection procedure) is at their discretion. The Vice–President Awards will rank all of the nominees, from all 4 regions, with her/his ranking to be used only in the case of a tie.

The Regional Association representatives will forward their votes electronically to the Vice-President Awards who will tabulate the results. Results of the voting, including all vote sheets, will be circulated by the VP awards to the regional representatives and the CIS office no later than 10 days before the date of the All-Canadian awards banquet.”

I.3 d)

ii) The vote/ranking of the VP Awards is added to that of the Regional representatives. (ie: this step is taken after the votes of the two regions involved in the tie are removed.)

I.3 e) delete completely

I.3 g) delete “conference call”

I.11.2 delete R.W. Pugh Fair Play Award

Motion 8 (R. Gilpin/T. Baker)

Each Sport Region will submit 6 (instead of 5) names in rank order for All-Canadian voting.

Motion 10 (WBCA Executive)

Keith Pruden distributed a list of the 5 executive positions and responsibilities of the WBCA executive.

Cross Country

Motion 1 (B. Vigars/B. Fougner)

That the CCCA partially fund several projects instead of fully funding any one. The suggestions be ranked as follows:

- a) Partial funding of a FISU Head Coach (\$1500/2 years)**
- b) Support the Development of the Sport Hall of Fame Project (\$500 a year).**
- c) CIS Championship Host Support (\$500/year)**
- d) Look at alternatives to additional awards, as currently they are sufficient**
- e) CIS Cross Country Coaches Association Executive – Discretionary funding (remainder of funds).**

Rationale: Dan outlined some of the ideas that the CIS Cross Country Coaches came up with for different association projects and present some recommendations. Dan indicated there were five suggestions: 1) Use of funds to help enhance awards; 2) Supporting CIS Championships hosts (in specific areas) and bring a standard that will continue year to year; 3) Development of Cross Country Sports Hall of Fame; 4) Attendance of the President (or delegate) to AGM in the years not covered by CIS; and 5) Help offset the costs of coaches going to FISU (CIS XC Team staff).

Motion 3 (B.Vigars/R.Burrows)

- 1. CIS meet directors are required to e-mail copies of results, preferably in .html format, to sportingcanada (xcresults@sportingcanada.com) and to the CIS Cross Country Coaches (CIS-XC@IS.DAL.CA), within three hours of the completion of the final event at the meet.**
- 2. Coaches competing in non-CIS meets are required to e-mail results, preferably in .html format, to sportingcanada (xcresults@sportingcanada.com) and to the CIS Cross Country Coaches (CIS-XC@IS.DAL.CA), by 3:00 p.m. EST on the Monday following the competition.**

Rationale: The issue is to keep the results up to date and in a timely manner. It is the intent to get within time frames and the results out. It was suggested to document how many came in on time so we know next year how it

Motion 4 (Bob Vigars)

Teams are required to have their full current roster registered on the Sporting Canada Web-page once roster is determined. Rationale: Part 2 of the above motion, regarding submission of current rosters. Teams coaches to submit team season preview by the first available weekend, i.e., first weekend in September, if possible.

Motion 5 (Bob Vigars)

Teams are required to submit a team season preview to Sporting Canada by the end of the first Sunday following Labour Day.

Motion 6 (Bob Vigars)

Amend the CIS Cross Country Playing Regulation F, last paragraph to read “.....and continue from there approximately a distance that will ensure no back-up at the finish-line (recommend 40 meters for a starting field of 100 runners)....”

Rationale: To make sure there is sufficient distance at the finish-line to ensure no backup of runners.

Field Hockey

Motion 1 (A. Kromm/D.Hammond)

Each conference will nominate an ‘Official of the Year’ to go forward to the CIS Awards chairperson. Those nominated must have umpired at least 4 league games and be at least a certified Canadian or Canadian 1 umpire.

Rationale: CIS Field Hockey Coaches Association wants to inspire officials to achieve the highest level possible and recognize them for their efforts.

Motion 4 (B. Ali / L. Beecroft)

PR 40//D.5 Remove the 8:00 am / 8:30 am stroke competition

Rationale: These are no longer necessary because there will be a stroke competition at the conclusion of the game, if there is a tie.

Motion 6 (L. Beecroft/S.Rajaraman)

Practice times at the CIS Championships will be standardized as follows:

Rationale: Although we've had times available for pre-tournament practices, we never knew which team would have which time slot. The schedule was always determined on a first call/first served basis. Thus, this prearranged practice schedule would allow teams to know, as soon as they've received their ranking for the tournament, when their practice time would be.

4th Place ~ 10:00am

1st Place ~ 11:00am

3rd Place ~ 12:00 pm

2nd Place ~ 1:00pm

5th Place ~ 2:00pm

6th Place ~ 3:00pm

Motion 7 (H.Kanjee/D.Fullerton)

To eliminate the PR 51//D form, which is used to determine the seeding of the CIS Field Hockey Championship. Instead, the teams would be seeded in the CIS Championship according to their respective standings in the Top 10, as voted on by the coaches at the conclusion of league competition.

Rationale: Top 10 – seeding information form (PR 51 //D) was not used by the conference reps for the seeding of the 2003 CIS Championship because none of the schools sent it in.

Football

Motion 2 (McMann/Sheahan)

BE IT RESOLVED THAT INELIGIBLE RECEIVERS BE ALLOWED TO BLOCK DOWNFIELD ON ALL FORWARD PASSES THAT ARE CAUGHT BEHIND THE LINE OF SCRIMMAGE SUCH AS SCREEN AND SHOVEL PASSES. IT IS NOT THE INTENTION OF THIS PROPOSAL THAT "HITCH" PASSES TO WIDE RECEIVERS BE INCLUDED IN THIS RULE CHANGE. IT IS SUGGESTED THAT THE CURRENT CFL RULE BE IMPLEMENTED

Rationale: Since the two year period has expired in which a new **Rule: 7, Section 1,**

Page: 51, Blocking Downfield by Linemen, was submitted and used in CIS Leagues, the preceding motion was presented to be implemented and given consideration by the Football Canada Rules Committee.

Motion 3 (McMann/Dussault)

2) Rule 6, Section 4, Article 11 C1, 2 & 3, page 50-51

Proposed Statement: Team B....on B 20 yard line....2. If the ball goes dead in possession of B in the end zone, there is no score. B shall scrimmage at any point between the hash marks on the B 20 yard line (20m) line. C. Penalty shall be applied on B 20 yard line.

Rationale: Consistency with position of ball after kick out of bounds & kick striking goal post.

Motion 4 (McMann/Beaton)

3) Rule 6, Section 4, Article 7a, page 48, Incomplete Pass

Proposed Statement: Add.. Downs box marker or distance chain rod.

Rationale: To harmonize definition of incomplete pass with Rule 1, Section 8, Article 1e, page 9. This is more an administrative change than a rule change.

Motion 5 (McMann/Sheahan)

4) Rule 5, Section 2, Article 4a, page 36

Proposed Statement: At the instant...to be onside. Penalty: Play to be blown dead immediately upon offside occurring. LS kick-off repeated.

Rationale: Most of the time kick off is repeated anyway and a lot of time is wasted. In onside kick attempts it would end a lot of potential problems by blowing play dead as offside occurs.

Motion 6 (McMann/Beaton)

5) Rule 5, Section 2, Article 3c, page 36, Legal Kick- Off

Proposed Statement: The ball shall not go out of bounds in the end zone in flight without being touched by a player. Penalty Regulation Ez.. Scrimmage 20 yards. Non-Regulation Ez....Scrimmage 20 yards.

Rationale: To harmonize with Rule 5, Section 2, Article 3d, page 35.

Motion 7 (McMann/Sheahan)

7) Rule 1, Section 11, Article 2a, page 15, Helmets and Imitation of the Ball

Proposed Statement: Add to 2a... No player shall wear a tinted helmet visor. Clear visors are acceptable.

Rationale: Safety - With tinted visors trainers of medical staff cannot clearly see the eyes - whether glazed out of focus etc. Several associations have adopted this as a house rule. We were going to add this as this came up before Football Canada Cup. It should also be added that you can only have a tinted visor if it is for medical purposes. See page 26 of the Football Canada Cup Policy and Procedure Manual under Darkened Visors.

Motion 13 (Gretes/Piche)

THAT ½ SACKS BE INCLUDED IN GAME STATS. AND THAT EACH CONFERENCE INCLUDE THEM IN THEIR STATISTICS FOR NATIONAL STATS PURPOSE. ---

Motion 15 (Gretes/Deslauriers)

THAT ASSISTED TACKLES BE DEFINED AS BEING ONLY TWO TACKLERS. PRIMARY BOTH AT SAME TIME, ASSISTED ½ EACH.

Motion 16 (Gretes/Friesen)

THAT ATTEMPTS AND MADE FIELD GOALS BE INCLUDED IN ALL STATS PACKAGES AT THE PROVINCIAL AND NATIONAL LEVELS.

Motion 17 (Gretes/Piche)

THAT THE DEFENSIVE PLAYER WHO HAS SACKED THE QUARTERBACK AND CAUSED A FUMBLE ON THAT PLAY BE GIVEN A SACK AND A FORCED FUMBLE FOR THAT PARTICULAR PLAY.

Motion 18 (Gretes/Deslauriers)

THAT WHEN THE QUARTERBACK BREAKS THE POCKET AND IS BEING CHASED BEHIND THE LINE OF SCRIMMAGE, GETS TACKLED FOR A LOSS BY THE DEFENSIVE PLAYER THAT IS BE CONSIDERED A SACK NOT JUST A TACKLE FOR A LOSS.

Motion 19 (Gretes/Friesen)

THAT STATISTICS FROM REGULAR SEASON GAMES BE USED ONLY FOR ALL-CANADIAN SELECTIONS. 8 GAME MAXIMUM.

Motion 21 (Gretes/Friesen)

THAT THERE WILL BE NO FILMING OF GAME OR STILL PICTURES TAKEN BY ANOTHER TEAM FOR ANY REASON OF ANOTHER TEAM. YOU CAN SEND COACHES TO SCOUT GAMES BUT THEY CAN NOT FILM THAT GAME OR ANY OTHER GAME.

Motion 22 (Marshall/Fasano)

THAT CUFCA WILL ENDORSE AND PROMOTE THE USE AND DEVELOPMENT, INCLUDING AN UPDATE, OF THE CURRENT CIAU [CIS] FOOTBALL TRAINING MANUAL. THIS CIS MANUAL BECOMES CUFCA'S RECOMMENDED STRENGTH AND CONDITIONING RESOURCE FOR PRE-UNIVERSITY STUDENTS. FOOTBALL

CANADA WILL UNDERTAKE ANY COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH UPDATING THE CURRENT MANUAL. AT SUCH TIME THE COSTS ARE RECOVERED WITH THE UPDATE, FOOTBALL CANADA AND CUFCA WILL ENTER INTO A PROFIT SHARING OF ALL SALES.

Motion 23 (Marshall/Fasano)

THAT CUFCA RECOMMENDS THAT EACH CIS FOOTBALL CONFERENCE NOMINATE A MAXIMUM OF FIFTEEN (15) PLAYERS FOR ALL-CANADIAN SELECTION. THESE FIFTEEN NOMINEES EXCLUDE THE NOMINEES FOR THE PETER GORMAN AND RUSS JACKSON AWARDS.

Motion 24 (Marshall/Fasano)

CUFCA RECOMMENDS THAT THE RUSS JACKSON AWARD NOMINEE MUST HAVE A VIDEO CLIP OF HIS PLAY ACCOMPANYING THE NOMINATION. THIS VIDEO SHOULD HAVE A MAXIMUM OF TWELVE (12) PLAYS.

Motion 26 (Marshall/Fasano)

CUFCA RECOMMENDS THAT THE FOLLOWING DEADLINES AND PROCEDURES BE FOLLOWED RELATIVE TO CONFERENCE ALL-CANADIAN NOMINATIONS:

- CONFERENCE ALL STARS BE FINALIZED AND DISTRIBUTED TO RESPECTIVE COACHES BY THE THURSDAY PRIOR TO THE CONFERENCE SEMI-FINAL GAME.**
- THE ALL-CANADIAN SELECTION COMMITTEE MEETING BE HELD THE WEEKEND OF THE CONFERENCE FINALS (SATURDAY AND SUNDAY)**

Motion 27 (Marshall/Fasano)

CUFCA RECOMMENDS THAT, IN AN EFFORT TO REDUCE COSTS, EACH CONFERENCE SEND ONE (1) REPRESENTATIVE (THE LOWEST FINISHING TEAM) TO THE ALL-CANADIAN SELECTION COMMITTEE MEETINGS. THE PRESIDENT OF CUFCA WILL NOMINATE THREE ADDITIONAL REPRESENTATIVES TO ASSIST IN THE SELECTION PROCESS. NOTE: THESE THREE INDIVIDUALS WOULD HAVE APPROPRIATE CREDENTIALS, ie: FORMER CIS COACHES, CFL COACHES, OR OTHER PERSONS, IN CONSULTATION WITH THE HOST CONVENOR, AND FOLLOWING A COST EFFECTIVE PROTOCOL.

Motion 29 (Marshall/Fasano)

THAT CUFCA RECOMMENDS THAT FOOTBALL CANADA CIRCULATE THE CRITERIA FOR THE NOMINATION AND SELECTION OF THE GINO FRACAS AWARD ALONG WITH THE APPROPRIATE NOMINATION FORM.

Motion 30 (Arnott/Dobie)

BE IT RESOLVED THAT, GIVEN THE INCREASED RESPONSIBILITY OF CUFCA TO BRING FORWARD INITIATIVES AND ENACT CIS BYLAWS AND IN THE INTEREST OF EXECUTIVE CONTINUITY, THE EXECUTIVE BE ELECTED TO THREE YEAR TERMS.

Motion 31 (Marshall/McMann)

BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE NEXT ANNUAL COACHES MEETING BE HELD AT THE SITE OF THE 2004 VANIER CUP AND THAT COACHES WORKING COMMITTEE MEETINGS TAKE PLACE IN THAT WEEK ON WEDNESDAY AFTERNOON, LEAGUE MEETINGS ON THURSDAY MORNING AND THE CUFCA GENERAL MEETING (PLENARY SESSION) HELD ON FRIDAY PRIOR TO THE VANIER CUP.

Ice Hockey – Men

Motion 1 (Nystrom/Dragicevic)

Defer any proposal to the CIS and Canadian Hockey League regarding extending the professional trial/scholarship eligibility period, to the 2004-2005 CUHCA-AGM.”

Motion 2 (Lowe/Raymond)

That the CUHCA have a representative on the Hockey Development Council of the Canadian Hockey Association. This representative should be the current president, or a member that he has delegated, and that this responsibility should be for 3 years.

Ice Hockey – Women

Motion 2 (D. Church/H. Draper)

That teams declare their rosters with eligible players preceding the National Championships at the technical meeting. *Rational:* To ensure playing regs are clear.

Motion 4 (D. MacLeod/D. Reaburn)

Rule C.2/D.2.1 - That each team will be considered the home team for one game and the away team for one game during the two round-robin games. The higher seeded team on day one shall be the away team for that game. Note. The rule only needs to be listed once. Clean up D.2.1

***Rational:* Current rule did not work.**

Motion 5 (D. Church/T. Erskin)

Should two or more teams in the same pool be tied in points at the end of round robin play, the following criteria shall be used to break the tie. Note: The first priority of the tie breaker is to determine the 1st place team. Once a team has been eliminated from the tie-breaker, by any means, return to criteria number i). *Rational:* To provide additional clarity to the current rule.

Motion 6

That the All-Rookie Team be expanded to 6 players.

Note: Schools must ensure detailed information is supplied to the Selection Committee.

Rugby – Women’s

Motion 2: (N.Langerin /M. Parvish)

To change the position of treasurer to Director of Development.

Rationale: The position of treasurer is now obsolete.

Motion 3: (S. Chaulk/S. Hall)

Helen Wright be elected as President of the association for a one year term.

Motion 4: (S. Hall/ M. Parvish)

Sue Chaulk be elected as Director of Development.

Motion 5: (M. Parvish/S. Hall)

That a sub-committee bring forward recommendations to clean-up the current CIS playing regulations. (See Motions 6 through 12 in Appendix A).

Soccer – Men's

Motion 1 (A. Alderson/A. MacVicar)

That the respective team's roster of eighteen (18) players must be declared by the National Championship technical meeting but that revisions will be allowed up until the team's first game.

Rationale: Currently playing regulations do not stipulate the time in which a roster becomes final. Technical meeting takes place prior to the first game of the championship but not all teams play on the first day and therefore run the risk of player injury prior to their first game.

Motion 2 (P. Raimundo/K. Mason)

That the accumulation of three yellow cards in the games of the National Championship (excluding yellow cards that lead to a red card) will result in a one game suspension. All red cards issued in the games of the National Championships shall result in a one game suspension. Further suspensions will apply if warranted.

Rationale: There are presently no rules governing the accumulation of yellow and red cards in the National Championship.

Motion 3 (K. Mason/A. Alderson)

That in games of the National Championship, music can only be played before the game and at half time.

Rationale: Currently different operating procedures at universities. Need consistency at the National Championship. Should be clearly outlined in the playing regulations.

Motion 5 (S. Mackie/P. Raimondo)

That the Executive put together the rationale for a four-day tournament.

Rationale: At the June 2004 CIS Annual General Meeting the motion for the 8-Team Championship was approved but for a three-day tournament. The Coaches Association did not have an opportunity to discuss and provide additional rationale as a three-day tournament was not part of the original proposal.

Motion 6 (L. Bilek/S. Mackie)

That the Committee on the eight team championship composed of A. Alderson, K.Mason, L.Bilek, V.Pavlicik be reconvened and come forward with a recommendation which would be voted on at the 2004 National Championships as to the composition of the eight teams.

Motion 7 (S. Mackie/S. Galloway)

That Gordon Franson be elected as Secretary of the Association for a two year term.

Soccer – Women's

Motion 3 (N. Timmons/F. Juett)

That the 2005 FISU Games Team staff be selected by August 1, 2004.

Motion 5 (S. Johnson/M. VanBussel)

That a sub-committee be struck to examine how the 7th & 8th spots would be filled in our 8-team playoff format to be circulated before the CIS Women's SoccerCoaches Association Meeting in 2004.

Motion 7 (F. Juett/J. Sanchez)

That the player roster of a team participating at the National Championships be declared at the Technical meeting, but that changes can be made prior to the 1st game played at the tournament.

Motion 8 (L. Vamos/R. Gallo)

That if a player receives two yellow cards in one game at the CIS National Championships, then that player is ejected from that game and receives an automatic minimum 1 game suspension. If a player receives a red card in a game then that player receives an automatic minimum 1 game suspension.

Motion 9 (M. Mounicot/K. Vandergrift)

That music be played only during warm-up and at halftime, not during stoppages in play in games at the CIS National Championships.

Motion 10 (F. Juett/C. Daigle)

That a minimum of eight ball girls be used during the CIS Championship game.

Rationale: Will enhance the soccer product for television. The ball is quickly put back into play, so that stoppages are minimized.

Motion 11 (G. Noble/R. Gallo)

That a joint sub-committee of the men's & women's soccer coaches associations be formed in order to bring the playing regulations for the men's & women's national championship tournaments into line with each other.

Motion 12 (R. Gallo/F. Juett)

That if the score is tied after regulation time of the Bronze medal game, the winning team shall be decided by the taking of kicks from the penalty spot, in accordance with the FIFA Laws of The Game. A team official may accompany each team to the center circle for the taking of these penalty kicks.

Swimming

Motion 3: (B. MacDonald/M. Blondal)

Move forward with the CIS Program Renewal Application process and have a sub-committee of 2 people appointed to put forth the application. Sub-committee to be comprised of one person from each conference. Swimming Canada to be used as a reference for the committee.

Motion 4: (B. Cartilage/B. MacDonald)

Form a committee to deal with FISU Games selection for 2005. Andrew Moss to lead with 2 other representatives.

Motion 5: (T. Johnson/B. Humby)

Change the event order for the CIS National Championships' 6th session. The events will remain the same but will have the women's 800 freestyle first then alternate men/women for the remainder of the session.

Motion 6: (B. MacDonald/M. Berube)

Remove the 10-minute break between individual events and relays for the preliminary sessions.

Motion 7: (M. Blondal/B. MacDonald)

Amend Playing Reg. D. 11.2 item C to include "Records" as a header in the meet championship program for each event.

Track & Field

Motion 1 (D. Hennigar/L. Sanderson)

That the CIS Track and Field Association request the CIS President to contact the NCAA President and attempt to resolve the problem of the NCAA raiding athletes currently attending Canadian Universities.

Motion 3 (Canada West Conference)

That if an equivalent standard is achieved for one event (i.e. 400m), then the equivalency needs to be declared for only one event (i.e. either the 300m or 600m, not both).

Rationale: Current situation unfairly penalizes those running Canadian distances.

Motion 4 (S. Lakins/D. Fairall)

That a time line consistent with the Wednesday deadline for submitting results be applied to the declaration of an event when an equivalent standard is made.

Motion 6 (L. Sanderson/D. Fairall)

Be it resolved that the following be added to D.10.1.2 of the CIS Playing Regulations for Men's and Women's Indoor Track and Field:

D.10.1.2 *For all events except the 1000m and the 4x800m Relay* the qualifying event standards for the Canadian Interuniversity Sport Championship shall reflect the sixth (6th) place in the final ranking following the Canadian Interuniversity Sport Championships over the previous three (3) years. *The standard for the 1000m and the 4x800m Relay shall reflect fifth (5th) place in the final rankings over the previous three (3) years.* The standards are to be established by May 15th of the previous year.

Rationale: We need the ability to appropriately adjust standards for unique circumstances (Women's Pole Vault). It is ideal if approximately six athletes in an event achieve standard prior to the CIS Championships. For the 1000m and 4x800m, we do not want more than 10 athletes or teams on the track for safety reasons a much higher standard needs to be implemented.

Motion 7 (C. Bérubé/)

That the following regulations be amended to read:

C.4.5 The Meet Coordinator shall prepare a FINAL list of entries accepted in each event, showing automatic qualifiers (C.3.1), those with Canadian Interuniversity Sport standard (C.3.1.1) and those added based on the official Canadian Interuniversity Sport rankings currently being provided by sportingcanada to bring the number of entries in the event to twelve (12), except in the 1000m and 4 x 800m where the number of entries shall be brought to ten (10) and showing seed performances for each. This list shall be sent by e-mail or FAX before **3:00pm** EST on the Wednesday eight (8) days prior to the championship to all institutions that have submitted entries. Once this list is published these athletes are guaranteed entry into the Canadian Interuniversity Sport Championship

*C.4.5.1 Following the posting of the start list on the Wednesday eight (8) days prior to the Canadian Interuniversity Sport Championship. **If there are scratches, additions will be made to keep the entries in each event at twelve (12) for all events, with the exception of ten (10) for the 1000m and 4 x 800m races, until the final declarations time. These additions will only be made from those athletes / teams that were entered by the entry deadline (C.4.1)***

*After final declarations by **midnight EST** on the **Tuesday nine (9)** days prior to the Championships, athletes who scratch from an event without appropriate written medical evidence of an injury or an illness will be scratched from all events in which they have entered including relays with the exception of extenuating circumstances (i.e. bereavement, written medical evidence of injury or illness, unavoidable travel delays, etc.). The Track & Field Coaches Association executive will examine all cases individually and will render a decision if at all possible, prior to the **technical** meeting.*

Note: This allows teams to finalize travel arrangements.

C.4.6 Final declarations are made of athletes whose entries were received by the entry deadline (C.4.1) as well as any scratches to the Meet Coordinator before midnight EST on the **Tuesday nine (9) days** preceding the championship. The host institution will contact institutions whose athletes have moved up on to the starting list to confirm their intentions to compete prior to the distribution of the final start list Wednesday afternoon.

C.4.7 The Meet Coordinator shall prepare an updated list of entries including seed performance in each event to be sent to all institutions by e-mail or fax before **3:00pm EST** on the **Wednesday eight (8)** days prior to the championship

NOTE: THIS WILL REQUIRE THE PLAYING REGULATIONS C.4.5, C.4.5.1 AND C.4.6 TO BE CHANGED.

Motion 9 (Canada West Conference)

The 55m, 200m, 400m, 800m, and mile be included in the Sporting Canada Web-site for information purposes.

Rationale: Such information would be great value to everyone.

Women's Volleyball

Motion 2 (Drakich/Louks)

To delete regulation E.5 "The numbering on uniforms shall be 1-15".

Rationale: To be consistent with Volleyball Canada regulations which state that numbers will be 1-18.

Motion 4 (Drakich/Louks)

That all 12 players plus 3 alternates may participate in the pre-match warm-up. During the match the alternates must remain on the bench wearing team apparel excluding the team jersey.

Rationale: To allow those players on the bench who are not on the official roster to participate in the warm-up to a maximum of 3. Closer reflects what some regions are currently doing during regular conference play.

Motion 5 (Drakich/Louks)

That regulation D.8 (d) read "The 15 athletes are allowed...."

Rationale: To clarify that during the match, the 3 alternates are allowed to warm-up with the other athletes between sets and during time-outs.

Motion 8 (Drakich/Hofer)

To amend regulation D.7.1 to read "All practice times..."

Rationale: To provide more clarity to the current regulations.

Motion 9 (Drakich/Hofer)

To add the following note to regulation D.7.1 "due to the competition schedule on Day 3, practice times may be limited to 30 minutes on the competition court".

Rationale: To provide more clarity to the current regulations.

Motion 10 (Drakich/Hofer)

To amend regulation D.7.1 to read "Access (including visual access) to the gym..."

Motion 11 (Louks/Hofer)

That for all matches of the CIS Championship, the attached protocol be used.

Motion 12 (Hofer/Drakich)

To eliminate D.9 Tie Breaking from the playing regulations.

Motion 13 (Drakich/Louks)

That for the Championship Final Match, the four non-assigned major officials shall serve as linespeople.

Rationale: To ensure that the most qualified officials are working in the championship match. To provide the participants with “neutral” officials.

Motion 14 (Louks/Hofer)

To eliminate the sentence ‘Volleyball Canada regional may be used as umpires when necessary’.

Rationale: This does not reflect the desire of the CA nor the current practice.

Motion 15 (Hofer/Drakich)

To eliminate the sentence ‘There shall be a minimum of two lines people per match’.

Motion 16 (Drakich/Louks)

That the libero control sheet shall be used as per Volleyball Canada procedures.

Rationale: Although this is common practice, it was not added to the regulations when the libero rule was introduced in 2000.

Motion 18

That PR180, I.3 read “All Sport Conferences are required to submit a minimum of 12 names on the All-Canadian ballot”.

Motion 19

That PR180, I.5 a) read ‘Selection similar...with each Sport Conference submitting a minimum of 4 athletes.’

Rationale: To clarify the process that is currently in practice.

Motion 20

That PR180, I.5 h) read ‘The complement of the All-Rookie Team will be 7.’

Motion 21

To add to PR 180, I.7 a) that an athlete may only receive this award one time during their career.

Rationale: It was felt that this award, due to the nature of it, is very difficult to weigh one nominee versus another; there are many deserving athletes within CIS and the desire is to recognize as many as possible.

Motion 22 (Louks/Hofer)

That the voting for the Seeding Committee and the All-Canadian Selection Committee occur in August with the final announcement occurring by Sept. 1.

Rationale: To enable the committee members as much time as possible to prepare for the decisions they will need to make and to ensure it gets done prior to the rush of the fall season starting

Wrestling

Motion 3

Beginning at the 2005 CIS Wrestling Championship, that anklets be wore during the matches to determine which wrestler is Red and which is Blue.

Rationale: Many Universities are competing in similar colors, while the officials only indicate and score matches with their wristbands, which are Red and Blue. The score clocks are also in Red and Blue. The Anklet will alleviate any confusion between who is Red and who is Blue.

APPENDIX A

Tie-Breaking Regulations

At the April 14, 2004 CIS Board of Directors meeting the following was discussed:

d) Tie Breaking Procedures at Pool Play

Due to several issues experienced this year around tie breaking procedures at CIS Championships using pool play, the Board is directing the Sport Committee to address the issue for all CIS sports using pool play.

Below is a copy of the Tie-Breaking procedures as currently worded in the 2003-04 version of the playing regulations. If a regulations is in need of an update or clarification a note is included just prior to the regulation.

FIELD HOCKEY

D.9 TIE-BREAKING

D.9.1 TIE-BREAKING PROCEDURE FOR POOL PLAY

- a) If ties occur in pool play, a penalty stroke competition will occur immediately following the game. The results of the stroke competition will only be used in the event of a tie in points at the end of pool play when deciding pool placing. The tied game will result in each team earning 1 point in their standings.
- b) If two or more teams have the same number of points at the end of the pool competition for any place in the pool, these teams will be ranked according to their respective goal-balance (which mean "goals for" less "goals against"). A positive goal-balance always takes precedence over a negative one.
- c) If there remains a tie between two or more teams with the same number of points and the same goal-balance, then these teams will be ranked according to the respective number of their "goals for". If there remains a tie between two teams the result of the mutual match between the teams will be reviewed. Should teams still be tied then the number of "goals for" awarded to two or more teams be equal, then the matter will be settled by a penalty-stroke competition between these teams.

MEN'S ICE HOCKEY

Regulations currently do not include the procedures followed for a shoot-out, in particular in the event of a 3-way tie. They refer to the IIHF rules which are not written to deal with a 3-way tie. A procedure has been laid out and has been circulated to the participating coaches at the Technical Meeting prior to the Championship the last few years but has not be included in the regulations.

Recommendation: To include Annex A in the regulations.

D.9 TIE-BREAKING

Should one or more teams in the same pool be tied in points at the end of round robin play, the following criteria shall be used to break the tie:

1. Goals For and Against Difference; if still tied
2. Goals For and Against Average; if still tied
3. Goals For; if still tied
4. Goals Against; if still tied
5. Penalty shots

D.8.3 CANADIAN INTERUNIVERSITY SPORT CHAMPIONSHIP

- a) Round Robin Games/Bronze Medal Game & Championship Game

In the event of a tie at the end of regulation time, a 3 minute bench rest shall be permitted and then a 10 minute sudden victory overtime period will be played. If the outcome is still undecided, teams shall leave the ice for the standard tournament intermission time, determined by the Host Committee prior to the tournament (in consultation with the CUHCA), to allow resurfacing of the ice. Play will then continue with 20 minute sudden victory overtime periods until a goal is scored. At the end of each 20 minute period, teams shall leave the ice for the standard tournament intermission time to allow resurfacing the ice.

- b) Shootout Procedure

Where a shootout is required as per the provisions of either section D.1 or D.9, the IIHF shootout protocol shall be used with the exception that the 5 shooters for each team will be selected by the respective coaches at the conclusion of overtime and communicated to the referee within 3 minutes.

WOMEN'S ICE HOCKEY

Motion brought forward from the 2004 Coaches Association Meeting:

To re-write D.9 to read as follows:

Should two or more teams in the same pool be tied in points at the end of round robin play, the following criteria shall be used to break the tie. Note: The first priority of the tie breaker is to determine the 1st place team. Once a team has been eliminated from the tie-breaker, by any means, return to criteria number i).

D.9 TIE-BREAKING (POOL PLAY)

Should two or more teams in the same pool be tied in points at the end of round robin play, the following criteria shall be used to break the tie:

- i) Head to head record between tied teams; if still tied
- ii) Goals For - Goals Against difference; if still tied
- iii) (Goals For - Goals Against)
Goals Against
Higher value favored; if still tied
- iv) Goals For; if still tied
- v) Goals Against; if still tied

- vi). Most period won via 5 point format
(2 points / game won, 1 point / period won, 0,5 point / period tied); if still tied;
- vii) Return to i) once a team has been eliminated from the tie-breaker.

WOMEN'S RUGBY

D.9 TIE-BREAKING

D.9.1 DETERMINATION OF STANDINGS

- a) The standings at the completion of the preliminary round will be determined by the ranking of the teams according to points awarded as stated in D.10.
- b) If, at the conclusion of the preliminary round, there is a tie between teams based on points awarded; the team shall be placed higher(est) that had the better win/loss record against the team(s) with which they are tied.
- c) If there is still a tie, the team will be designated a higher(est) place that has scored the most tries in games against each other.
- d) If there is still a tie, the team will be designated a higher(est) place that has scored the most goals (converted tries) in games against each other.
- e) If there is still a tie, the team will be designated a higher(est) place that has scored the most drop goals in games against each other.
- f) If there is still a tie, the team will be designated a higher(est) place that has scored the most penalty goals in games against each other.
- g) If a tie still exists, the team shall be designated a higher place that has the highest points differential (points for divided by points against).
- h) If there is still a tie, each team shall nominate five kickers to kick at goal from the 22 meter line. The kickers from each team will alternate. All kickers will kick. The team that scores the higher(est) number of successful kicks out of five, will be designated a higher(est) place.
- i) If there is still a tie, kicks will be taken from a position, a further ten meters from the posts. The competition shall continue until one kicker in the series succeeds where his/her opposition misses. The team whose kicker has recorded the successful kick out of this pair shall be designated the higher(est) place.
- j) This shall be repeated until a winner is declared.

Note: In the event that more than two teams are tied and the above process reduces the tied teams by one or more; then, in order to break further ties, the process shall commence again, from the beginning.

D.9.2 CHAMPIONSHIP ROUND AND FINALS

Should a tie exist at the conclusion of full time play, two 10-minute sudden death overtime periods will be played. If still tied, the tie breaking procedures below will be used.

- a) The team scoring the higher number of tries shall be deemed the winner.
- b) The team scoring the higher of goals (converted tries) shall be deemed the winner.
- c) If a tie still exists, the team scoring the higher number of drop goals shall be deemed the winner.
- d) If a tie still exists, the team scoring the higher number of penalty goals shall be deemed the winner.
- e) If there is still a tie, each team shall nominate five kickers to kick at goal from the 22 meter line. The kickers from each team will alternate. All kickers will kick. The team that scores the higher(est) number of successful kicks out of five, will be designated a higher(est) place.
- f) If there is still a tie, kicks will be taken from a position, a further ten meters from the posts. The competition shall continue until one kicker in the series succeeds where his/her opposition misses. The team whose kicker has recorded the successful kick out of this pair shall be designated the higher(est) place.
- g) This shall be repeated until a winner is declared.

MEN'S SOCCER

Updated in 2003 following confusion experienced at the 2003 Championship. Should be

D.9 TIE-BREAKING

Pool Play:

- a) Three (3) points shall be awarded for a win.
- b) One (1) point for a tie.
- c) Zero (0) points for a loss.

If there is a tie in the pool standings the CSA tie-breaking rules for a three-team round robin will be applied as follows:

- (1) In the three (3) team round robin, whether part or whole of the competition, the third game shall be played to a decision as outlined in CSA Rules for National competitions, if the team not participating has zero points, has been suspended or has withdrawn.
- (2) If two (2) or more teams have an equal number of points on completion of the round-robin, the following criteria shall be used, in order, to determine the final standings:
 - (a) Previous Match Results-The winner of the game between two higher teams tied in points shall be awarded the higher position (not applicable if three or more tied)
 - (b) Goal Difference- Goal difference shall be calculated by subtracting goals conceded from goals scored. The team having the greater goal difference will be awarded the higher position.
 - (c) Goal Average- Goals for divided by goals against. The team having the greater goal average will be awarded the higher position.

- (d) Least Goals Against- The team having the fewer number of goals against will be awarded the higher position.
- (e) Penalty Kicks-
Two Teams tied: As per FIFA Laws of the Game, at a time and place decided by the Tournament Organizing Committee.
Three Teams tied: Each team is designated A, B, or C. Three mini-games shall be played (A vs. B, B vs. C, C vs. A) consisting of five (5) penalty kicks at a time and place to be decided by the Tournament Organizing Committee. The standings after these three mini-games shall be ranked according to the criteria (b) – (d) above.

If teams are still tied after applying the CSA tie breaking rules above, than a coin toss would be used as the final solution. This solution follows FIFA guidelines.

WOMEN'S SOCCER

D.9 TIE-BREAKING

Games ending in a tie at the end of regulation play shall remain a tie. However, each team shall take five (5) penalty kicks to break the tie (regardless of the score, all five (5) kicks shall be taken). This result will only be used in the event of a 2 or 3 way tie in pool play. If the teams are still tied after the first five (5) penalty kicks, the teams shall go to sudden death penalty kicks and proceed through the roster (including the goalie). If still tied, the teams will go through the roster again until the tie is broken (the order of kickers may change).

For a three way tie in pool play the following tie breaking procedure will be used:

- i) Goal differential - if still tied;
- ii) Goals for - if still tied;
- iii) Head to head competition - if still tied;
- iv) Penalty kicks result from pool play (are to be used only if a - c have not broken the tie) - if still tied;
- v) Penalty kick differences (only the total of the first 5 kicks shall be used);
- vi) A round robin of penalty kicks shall be used at a time and place designated by the Championship Management Committee.

For a two way tie after pool play.

- i) Head to head competition - if still tied;
- ii) Goal differential - if still tied;
- iii) Goals for - if still tied;
- iv) Penalty kicks result from pool play (are to be used only if a - c have not broken the tie) - if still tied;
- v) Penalty kick differences (only the total of the first 5 kicks shall be used);
- vi) A round of penalty kicks shall be used at a time and place designated by the Championship Management Committee.

Annex A

**SHOOTOUT PROCEDURE IN THE EVENT OF A THREE-WAY TIE IN POOL
STANDINGS**

CIS MEN'S ICE HOCKEY CHAMPIONSHIP

CIS Regulation:

D.8.3 b) Where a shootout is required as per the provisions of either section D.1 or D.9, the IIHF shootout protocol shall be used with the exception that the 5 shooters for each team will be selected by the respective coaches at the conclusion of the last game in pool play and communicated to the referee within 3 minutes.

Procedures:

1. A repeat of pool play is conducted using the IIHF shoot-out protocol with modifications noted below. Each shoot-out must have a winner.
2. The order of the shoot-outs will be arranged according to the tournament schedule.
3. Eligible to participate in the shooting competition will be the players registered for the championship. Each team shall nominate two goalkeepers and five players by name and uniform number in the order they will take the shots, on the form handed over to them by the linesman. The lists submitted by the teams will be binding for the entire shoot-out competition.
4. In the event that a possible 3-way tie is in the mix, the non-playing team must be in attendance at the final game of pool play and be prepared to take shots. With 5 minutes left in the 3rd period, if the score indicates a possible 3-way tie, the 5 shooters and 2 goaltenders should proceed to the changing room to start dressing.
5. Suspended players are not eligible for the shooting competition.
6. At the conclusion of the last game in pool play, teams will leave the ice for resurfacing.
7. The non-playing team will be allowed a 10-minute warm-up at one end of the ice, once the ice is resurfaced. The other two teams may share the other end of the ice during this time to warm-up.
8. The goalkeepers may be changed after each shot.
9. The team designated as the visiting team will shoot first in the shoot-out. Teams will take shots alternately.
10. If still tied after 5 shooters, move to a sudden victory format (same 5 shooters in same order) until a winner is declared.
11. The initial round of 5 shooters will be completed regardless of the score in the shoot-out, as goals for and goals against will be used within the tie-breaking format.
12. 2 points will be awarded for a shoot-out win.

13. All goals for and against in the shoot-outs will be recorded to be used in a potential shoot-out tie-breaker.
14. The team with the most points (shoot-out wins/losses) shall be declared the winner.
15. In the event of a tie in points in the shoot-out, the tie-breaking protocol (Rule D.9) will be used. If teams are still tied after applying the first 4 criteria in D.9 then the shoot-out competition shall be repeated with NO carry-over from the first competition.

Example:

Pool A – three team tie

Round 1

Alberta (away) vs Ottawa (home)

Alberta shoots first against Ottawa

Round 2

Ottawa (away) vs. UNB (home)

Ottawa shoots first against UNB

Round 3

UNB (away) vs. Alberta (home)

UNB shoots first against Alberta

APPENDIX B

NON-COMPLIANCE REGULATION

The implementation of the new Notwithstanding Clause brought forth new learnings with regards to how we deal with “issues” which arise between the publication of CIS playing regulations. The Clause was designed to deal with exceptional circumstances that justify the modification of a rule or regulation for a single event or championship. Exceptional circumstances is defined as a single or series of events, beyond the control of the individual(s)/host/university in question, which affected the ability of the individual(s)/institution to abide by the rule or regulation.

During our post-championship season review, the national office staff identify two occasions where participating coaches attempted to use the notwithstanding clause to affect change to a CIS Playing Regulations at the National Championship Technical Meeting. In both circumstances, a participating team failed to comply with a published playing regulation (i.e.: require equipment). The coaches of the respective teams invoked the notwithstanding clause to change the playing regulation during the technical meeting. This was not the intent of the notwithstanding clause. Based on the concern raised at the April Board of Directors meeting, the Sport Committee was tasked with bringing forth recommendations to address the concerns.

Recommendation #1:

Effective September 2004, all CIS National Championship Technical Meetings will be chaired by the CIS delegate assigned by the CIS Board of Directors.

Rational:

- Remove host for the difficult situation of having to tell a participating team NO, or CIS regulation enforcement when they are trying to be perceived as a cooperative and accommodating host.

Recommendation #2:

Whereas the CIS does not wish to prevent a duly qualified student-athlete or institution to participate at the CIS championship once they are at the national championship site; and

Whereas the CIS has published and duly circulated its' playing regulations to all member institutions;

We recommend the following disciplinary policy be approved to address student-athlete(s) or institutions who are not in compliance with a published CIS playing regulation which does not place the student-athlete at risk (i.e.: lack of safety equipment would not be exempted from compliance) or inhibit the student-athlete's participation in the tournament (i.e.: jerseys with no numbers would inhibit the officials ability to perform their duties efficiently and would not be exempt).

Sanctions for non-compliance with published CIS playing regulations:

When found not in compliance with a playing regulation which does not place a participant at risk, or inhibit the delivery of the competition, the following penalties may be imposed to the institution in question:

- a) First offense:
 - (i) Fine equivalent to the costs which would have been incurred by the institution to ensure compliance, no less than \$1,000.
 - (ii) And on probation for two years (sport specific).
- b) Second offense:
 - (i) Fine equivalent to the costs which would have been incurred by the institution to ensure compliance, no less than \$5,000.
 - (ii) Ineligibility of the university, from national competition the subsequent year for a one year period.
- b) Third offense:
 - (i) Forwarded to Disciplinary Committee for review.

To add the following item to the current CIS Honours Policy, item 60.40.1.2.1. & 60.50.5.1.a

“To be nominated for or to receive a CIS Award the nominee or recipient must be a CIS eligible student-athlete at the time of nomination or receipt, and be free of any “major” disciplinary sanctions or suspensions from their university, regional association or CIS, in the academic year in question. Note: Doping Infractions are addressed under 90.50.2 – “Penalties for Infractions”.”

04-04-07

Hoffman/St. Denis

Carried

To add the following item to the current CIS Honours Policy, item 60.20.2.2 & 60.30.2.2.

“Tie breaking procedures must be in place when a specific number of recipients is identified within the CIS Honours Policy. The procedure is at the discretion of the Coaches Association.”

B. Media – Press Conferences/Meet the Coaches

Marketing Council will be addressing this area.

Need to review current policy 20.40.3.6 re teams mandatory attendance at the National Championship press conference (Meet the Coaches).

Will also work to develop a media plan outlining appropriate steps to take in planning a press conference. I.e. Who to invite, script outline, suggested list of speakers, timing, purpose, etc.

C. Warm-Ups

Teams should be restricted to their side of the court, ice, field etc. when warming up. Cross overs with teams prancing through the opponent’s team’s area could lead to violent situations and must be avoided.

Recommendation #5:

Add to playing regulations D.7 (Practise Times/Warm-Ups)

That teams are restricted to warming up in their own deisgnated are and will not be permitted in the opposing teams end.

D. Opening Ceremonies

It is common practise for the Host to schedule the Opening Ceremonies before their opening match on the evening they play their first game. This can coincide with pre-game meal time of the teams playing the late game. Some participating teams are choosing to send only a few individuals to represent their team are the ceremony. Some thought should be given to how to balance the needs of the organizers and the participating teams.

E. Officiating

This is one of the biggest issues at both the Men's and Women's Basketball Championships that requires attention. In spite of having an agreed upon process with CABO, which is spelled out in the playing regulations and in the draft MOU with CABO, it seems the process is not being followed by CABO. The CABO executive committee had a conference call to discuss selection of tournament officials before the deadline date for CIS input in to the appointments. Appointments were made by CABO prior to the deadline of receipt of CIS input, making a mockery of the process of receiving CIS input.

CIS has had interventions with CABO about this in the past (in March 2003 Marg met with Ken Murray and Don Cline from CABO), yet every year it seems the same issues arise.

During the actual Championship, the process of receiving input from participating coaches into the selection of officials to work the semi-final and final games is also of questionable value. While feedback was given by CIS coaches to the assignor, it appeared to have limited impact if any on the assignments.

Many of the participating coaches expressed their displeasure at the quality of the officiating, the fact that one official worked all three StFX games, etc.

Perhaps it is time to give serious consideration to a different model of selection and appointment of officials. Continuing to do the same thing, and hoping for a different result each year, does not seem to be effective.

F. MEDICAL REQUIREMENTS

Current medical requirements in hosting a CIS National Championship seem to be lacking with some specifics.

It is not clear to what lengths a host is to provide "free" service. For example, at one championship teams were allowed to use the equipment and the physio room but if they required service ie. Use of the ultrasound machine they had to pay a service fee. Is this the norm?

Annex B

CIS ALL-CANADIAN NOMINATION FORM

Universities nominating athletes for CIS All-Canadian status must complete the following form. Incomplete forms will result in the nomination **NOT** being considered at the Regional Association level. All information **MUST** be submitted electronically and include a head & shoulder shot of the athlete as well as an action shot (should be electronic jpeg or tiff files).

Regional Associations are to ensure that final selections are forwarded to the Host and CIS as soon as possible.

Sport: _____

Regional Association timelines and process:

- Universities must submit the completed nomination form by (DATE).
- All nominations must be sent to (NAME) at (EMAIL ADDRESS).
- Selections will be made on (DATE) via (MEETING OR CONFERENCE CALL).
- Selection committee is composed of (ALL COACHES / REPS / ETC.)

CIS final selection timelines and process:

- Conference call on (DATE) at (TIME) EST.
- Selection Committee composed on one representative per Regional Association.
- Regional Associations must confirm who their representative will be on the call* no later than (DATE).
- Regional nominations must be circulated to (NAMES) by (DATE).
- Dial-up information will be circulated to conference call representatives through Sheila-Ann Newton several days prior to the call.
- Final selections and nomination forms plus photos must be sent to Sheila-Ann Newton and (HOST).

**If a Regional Association representative is not on the call at the agreed to time the call will continue without that representative.*

REQUIRED INFORMATION:

Name: _____

University: _____

Hometown: _____

Sport: _____

Position: _____

Year of eligibility: _____

Faculty and Program: _____

Year in Program: _____

Stats (current regular season only):

Coaches Associations to provide what stats are required (include rank order).

Athletic Awards won in current season (team, conference, CIS level):

Brief thumbnail sketch (include quote from coach/AD): _____

APPENDIX D

Date: Fri, 14 May 2004 09:52:28 -0600
From: Ross_Wilson <ross.wilson@usask.ca>
Subject: Re: CIS National Championship Schedule Proposal - request for feedback

The following thoughts are offered:

1. I have spoken often at either past CIS meetings or at Canada West meetings regarding championships moving towards significant academic exam periods. The majority of universities write final exams in December and April with April still being the heaviest exam period. In the past there have been motions on the floor of the CIS AGM to not allow competitions in December prior to Christmas nor in April. For various reasons this has been neglected on occasion.

The policy states the latest is the "12th Sunday following Labour Day Monday, except under extenuating circumstances" and "played on or prior to the 29th Sunday following Labour Day Monday, except under extenuating circumstances." The allowance for extenuating circumstances allows competition dates to fall in December and/or April. I think the extenuating circumstances should be dropped. We now bid three years out and would know if the host has a problem delivering the competition on the date that it is to be played.

I acknowledge that the votes on the floor of the CIS AGM were some time ago and maybe need review, but I do believe strongly that it is our responsibility as Athletic Directors of academic institutions to not let this slip any further.

2. The policy does a good job receiving information from coaches associations, NSO and CIS committees, but does not seem to reflect a need to receive input from individual institutions Athletic Directors and/or Regional Associations. I think Championship date changes have a far greater effect on these last groups than on the ones currently in the policy.

3. I have no difficulty with the fall schedule. I am not suggesting that the proposed winter schedule is awful, but I do think the Volleyball and Basketball schedules backing up later in March has a ripple effect all the way back to our individual schools and the leagues we play. In my part of the country Volleyball has been a fall sport at the high school level with club play in the spring season. Moving Volleyball further into March creates a potential conflict with club and moves us away from marketing alongside the educational model. I am concerned with Women's Basketball extending their season another two weeks. With Regional and National playoffs over half of the Women's Basketball teams in Canada will continue to play two weeks closer to the major exam period. I know men's hockey are already there, but it is for 6 teams only (and one is at home as host) as we do not allow Regional playoffs on the weekend prior to CIS Nationals in Men's Hockey. Although it is hard to explain hockey players are different. They were mostly drafted at 14 and away from home by 16 or 17 and hence away from normal academic situations. They are used to preparing under these circumstances.

I have no problem with the current winter schedule, but here is a potential alternative to consider as well:

Week #1 (Nothing)

Week #2 Swimming / Wrestling / Men's Volleyball

Week #3 Women's Volleyball / Track and Field / Women's Basketball

Week #4 Women's Hockey / Men's Basketball

Week #5 Men's Hockey

I think it maintains the principles. It gets both genders of the TV sports (Basketball, Volleyball and Hockey) on different weekends, but within a week of each other. It leaves Men's Volleyball where it currently is. It is my understanding that the majority of requests for change comes from Women's Volleyball which also has more teams. The major flaw may be that both major women's sports (Basketball, Volleyball) are on the same weekend, but they can get their finals on TV on different days the same as has been done for the two Volleyballs the past few years. I understand that Women's Basketball would like to stay where they currently are which this would accomplish. Women's Hockey has in the past asked to back up to be more competitive with NCAA in terms of length of season. This would give them an extra week.

I hope this input has been of some value. I have only copied it to the Canada West CIS Board members. Feel free to copy it elsewhere if you wish. Thanks for listening and thanks for the work in this area. I am well aware that there is push from many directions.

Ross

APPENDIX E

Summary

CIS Men's Basketball Survey

- Responding to the **2004 Survey** were: 8 coaches (includes assistant coaches) from 6 of the 10 participating schools, 6/8 participating officials, 12 UNB athletes, and 22 fans.
- An updated version of the survey including more detailed and comprehensive questions has now been sent out to ALL CIS Basketball Coaches. Some surveys are starting to trickle in.
- This data's validity requires that more coaches and athletes fill out the survey.

Key Findings (Original Survey)

Officials

- Were divided evenly between feeling that the change in the tournament format enhanced the tournament (50%) and detracted from the tournament (50%)
- 5/6 officials said that the change caused them to increase the amount of time (days) spent at the tournament
- 2 found this unfavourable, 2 found it made no difference to them, 1 found it favourable, with the last finding it extremely favourable
Reasoning ranged from having to be away from work, family and home for too long, to enjoying the extra time spent in Halifax for vacationing purposes
- Half the officials said that the change in format made no difference to their physical ability to referee games
Concerns expressed by some officials were that officiating 2 games in one day was too demanding
- Overall, all 6 officials were happy with their experience at the tournament

Coaches

- 5/8 stated that the change in format either enhanced or greatly enhanced the tournament
I felt it made no difference and 2 thought it detracted from the tournament
- 6/8 said that they spent an extra day at the tournament because of the change.
"Student-athletes missing too much school at a critical time in the school year. "It's the best time of the year for Basketball. It allowed us to enjoy the event and the atmosphere."
- When asked about the change in format referring specifically to physical demands, only 1 of the 8 coaches had any concerns, while 3 thought the format made it easier to compete well.
- 3 of the 4 teams ranked 7th –10th responded. All felt that playing games on day 1 of the tournament was a positive experience
- Overall, all coaches were happy with the championships, 6 stating that they were "EXCELLENT"

Athletes

- 9/12 UNB athletes thought the change to 10 teams enhanced the tournament

Sport Committee Minutes
June 8-9, 2004

- 10/12 enjoyed the extra day of the tournament
- 6 athletes thought that playing on day one was positive, 6 were neutral and 2 did not like playing on day 1 (Thursday)
- 11/12 athletes thought the tournament was “EXCELLENT”

Fans

- Attendance (Out of 22 respondents):

Thursday, March 18th

6:00pm 17 8:00pm 17

Friday, March 19th

11:30am 20 1:30pm 16 3:30pm 17 7:00pm 20 9:00pm 16

Saturday, March 20th

11:30am 11 1:30pm 16 4:30pm 16 8:00pm 17

Sunday, March 21st

Consolation Game, 2:30pm 13 Gold Medal Game, 5:30pm 18

- Over half the respondents said the change increased their enjoyment of the tournament, 8 said it made no difference, with only 3 not liking the change
- Overall, 20/22 respondents said they had a positive or extremely positive experience at the tournament

APPENDIX F

AD HOC COMMITTEE ON 2004 FIELD HOCKEY CHAMPIONSHIP FORMAT May 28th, 2004 Conference Call Report

Committee members:

- Diane St-Denis, VP Sport
- Lynne Beecroft, CW Rep
- David Murphy, AUS Rep
- Beth Ali, OUA Rep and Coaches Association Rep
- Sheila-Ann Newton, CIS staff

Background:

The CIS Board discussed the future of the CIS Women's Field Hockey Championships during their conference call on Monday, May 17th.

The University of New Brunswick dropped their Women's Field Hockey program in May. This leaves the AUS with two participating teams (Saint Mary's and UPEI) and therefore AUS will not be holding an AUS Championship. University of Manitoba has also withdrawn from participating in field hockey leaving 4 teams in Canada West, 9 teams (including McGill) in OUA, two teams in AUS, for a total of 15 teams participating in CIS Field Hockey.

CIS Policy #20.10.3 states:

There shall normally be a minimum of three Regional Associations committed to holding Regional Association Championships, in order to maintain a National Championship.

The Board of Directors reviewed the situation and exercised the discretion provided to the board by policy 20.10.3. The board agreed that for the following factors, a CIS Field Hockey Championship shall occur in 2004.

- 15 universities have committed to a field hockey program for the 2004 season and several have contractual staffing obligations with coaches and financial awards committed to student-athletes;
- The 2004 Field Hockey Championships are less than 6 months away. CIS has a signed contract in place with the hosts of the 2004 Championships (University of Alberta). Alberta has indicated that they are well underway in the organization of the event, and would like to stage the event.
- Eliminating Field Hockey from the roster of CIS Championships at this stage would have an impact on the equitable ratio of female to male sports currently in place.
- The future of CIS Field Hockey from 2006 and beyond shall be determined through the Program Renewal Process. The provisional list of CIS Championship sports will be determined through the Program Renewal Process in December of 2004.
- Supporting the continuation of the Championships for 2004, provides a window of time for the Coaches Association, and Field Hockey Canada to energize around the issue and come up with potential solutions for the 2005 season, such that Field Hockey would comply with the CIS policy for 2005. The board would expect to receive a report and 2005 plan from the Coaches Association and Field Hockey Canada by end of year 2004.

Committee Terms of Reference:

The committee was charged with determining the format of the 2004 Championship, specifically recommendations with regards to:

- The number of teams participating in the CIS Championship,

- The format for the championship,
- Assignment of berths to the championship,
- Financial commitments to the CIS travel pool.
- Discuss the option of asking Field Hockey Canada for funding to assist with any changes in travel for participating teams. (to championship or for inter-conference play).

The Committee was asked to submit a final report to be forwarded to the Sport Committee and Board of Directors by June 8th, 2004.

Recommendations:

The Committee met on May 28th via conference call. During the course of the call, the group reviewed 4 championship format options which had been circulated prior to the call (Annex A). The committee also received an update from Beth Ali as to the discussions currently taking place at the Field Hockey Canada table with regards to the 2005 championship and the CIS Program Renewal Application.

1. Tournament format:

- a. The committee discussed the concept of moving the McGill program to the AUS to form a sport conference. The committee agreed that such an approach would require investigation into by-laws (playing agreements) as well as investigative work into the financial feasibility for UPEI, Saint-Mary's and McGill to develop a competitive schedule. The committee therefore abandoned the concept as the required investigative work would not be completed in time for the June 8th deadline. A similar argument would be made for the option which suggested the AUS participate in another region (i.e.: OUA).
- b. It was agreed that changing the current format to a 4 teams round robin tournament was a significant change that would detract from current effort by the Coaches Association, and Field Hockey Canada to focus their energy around the potential solutions for the 2005 season and the Program Renewal Process. The repercussions of the lateness in identifying the non-compliance with CIS policy 20.10.3 should not have to be born by the conferences that have already identified the league format and playoff structure. Since the Board supported the concept of continuing the 2004 championship in order to provide a window of opportunity for the Coaches Association and Field Hockey Canada to deal with the 2005 championship and beyond, the Committee is recommending the CIS maintain the current 6 team format and berth assignments.
 - Host (Alberta 2004)
 - Canada West
 - Ontario 1
 - Ontario 2
 - AUS
 - Assigned Berth – Canada West

2. CIS Travel Pool Funding:

The committee is not recommending any changes to the current funding policy based on its decision to keep the current format and berth assignments.

3. Field Hockey Canada:

Committee member, Beth Ali, is currently sitting on a CIS Coaches Association/Field Hockey Canada Committee charged with looking at potential solutions for the 2005 season and the Program Renewal Process for university hockey. As the CIS and/or its regional association would most likely welcome additional funding from an external sources to bolster its competitive opportunities, the Committee supported having Ms. Ali bring the subject of

funding to the attention of Field Hockey Canada in light of the precarious financial position some programs may be facing in the upcoming season and beyond.

Option 1: Status Quo

Maintain a 6-team format

Berths: Host (Alberta in 2004 and UBC in 2005)

CW

OUA 1

OUA 2

Assigned berth: Previous year's winner (2003 winner was CW)

AUS Representative (appointed by AUS)

Option 2: AUS participates in another Regional Association

Maintain 6-team format

Berths: Host (Alberta in 2004 and UBC in 2005)

CW

OUA 1

OUA 2

Assigned berth: Previous year's winner (2003 winner was CW)

Assigned AUS berth would go to OUA dependent on the OUA accepting the AUS team(s) playing in the OUA

Option 3: Move to a 4-Team Round Robin Format

4-team Round Robin Championship format

Berths: CW (Alberta in 2004 and UBC in 2005)

CW

OUA 1

OUA 2

(AUS team could play in the OUA for an opportunity to win their right to the National Championship, dependent on the OUA accepting the AUS team(s) playing in the OUA.)

Note: Above option could work with or without the OUA accepting the AUS teams to compete in their Regional Association.

Option 4: McGill joins the two AUS teams to form a Sport Conference

Maintain 6-team format

Berths: Host (Alberta in 2004 and UBC in 2005)

CW

OUA 1

OUA 2

Assigned berth: Previous year's winner (2003 CW)

AUS (McGill/Saint Mary's/UPEI)

Note: CIS could approach Field Hockey Canada for travel support to assist with the additional costs.

APPENDIX G

2004 CIS Women's Ice Hockey Sport Congress Report

Date & Location:

- April 4 to 6, 2004
- Delta Barrington Hotel, Halifax, Nova Scotia
- Held in conjunction with the 2004 World Women's Ice Hockey Championship

Financial Assistance Provided by:

- Hockey Canada covered all costs associated with the guest speakers.
- AUS provided contra for the welcome reception on the 1st night.

Schedule of Events:

- Saturday, April 3rd Welcome reception and registration pick-up.
- Sunday, April 4th Presentations by Hockey Canada:
➤ Hockey Canada and the CIS: A Vision for the future
➤ Introduction: What Have we learned from the Past?
➤ The ABC's of Great Athletes
➤ Effective Dryland Warm Up
- CIS Women's Ice Hockey Coaches Association Meeting
- Attended two games of the World Women's Ice Hockey Championship
- Monday, April 5th Presentations by Hockey Canada:
➤ Skating: on & off-ice training to improve speed, power & balance
➤ **Developing Puck skills on & off-ice**
➤ Paying Attention to Detail
- Running an International Practise
➤ Congress participants observed a practise of an international team (Germany)
- Attended two games of the World Women's Ice Hockey Championship
- Tuesday, April 6th Round Table Workshop (Best Practice Session)
a) Funding your Hockey Program (Howie Draper)
b) 8-Team Championship Format (Dan Church)
c) Building an image – on campus, in the community,
with the media (Shelley Coolidge)
d) Interconference Scheduling (Peter Smith)
- Women's Hockey & the World University Games Discussion Group
CIS Women's Ice Hockey Coaches Association Meeting
- Attended the Bronze and Gold medal games of the World Women's Ice Hockey Championship

Participants included:

19 of 27 CIS playing institutions participated:

Alberta	Regina
UBC	Saint Mary's
Brock	Saskatchewan
Concordia	St. Thomas
Dalhousie	Toronto
Guelph	Waterloo
Lethbridge	Western Ontario
McGill	Wilfrid Laurier
Ottawa	York
Queen's	

Non-CIS Participants:

CCAA – Manager Events & Programs
Ottawa Raiders (NWHL)
Julie Healy, Hockey Canada
Wally Kozak, Hockey Canada

BUDGET SUMMARY

Total Expenses

Hotel (Meeting Rooms & Meals)	4385.05	
Audio Visual	382.95	
CIS Staff Travel & Accommodations	535	
Tickets to WWIHC (28 x \$155 + tax)	4340	
<i>TOTAL</i>	9643	\$9,630

Total Revenue

Registration (Fees + WWIHC Tickets)	\$150 + \$80	5130	
CIS Congress Fund		2000	
Coaches Development Fund		1250	
Sponsorship		800	
Coaches Association Contribution		500	
<i>TOTAL</i>		9680	\$9,680
Balance			50