

PROGRAM COMMITTEE MEETING
June 10 & 11, 2003
Canmore, AB

Attendance:

Diane St-Denis (Chair), Simon Fraser University
Al Scott, Dalhousie University
Jill Jeffrey, Saint Mary's University
Janean Sergeant, Queen's University
Chuck Mathies, Ryerson University
Lisen Moore, McGill University
Tony Addona, Bishop's University
Don Wilson, University of Calgary
Trix Baker, University of Alberta
Sheila-Ann Newton, CIS Staff

Guests:

Keith Pruden, Concordia University – President W Basketball Coaches Association
Lisa MacDonald, Saint Mary's University – President W Ice Hockey Coaches Association
Gord Franson, CWUAA, Coaches Association Representative M Soccer
Andrew Moss, University of Lethbridge – President Swimming Coaches Association
Ron Pike, Trinity Western University – President M Volleyball Coaches Association
Laurie Eisler, University of Alberta – President W Volleyball Coaches Association
Marg McGregor, CIS Staff – Sport Coordinator M & W Basketball
Peter Metzals, CIS Staff – Sport Coordinator M Football & M Ice Hockey
Jean-Francois Rapatel, CIS Staff – Sport Coordinator Field Hockey

1. Welcome and Introductions

2. Review of agenda and goals

C. Mathies/T. Baker **Carried**
To approve the agenda as circulated.

3. Approval of June 2002 Minutes

C. Mathies/J. Sergeant **Carried**
To approve the 2002 Program Committee minutes.

4. Business Arising For Previous Meetings

Diane St-Denis reviewed several items from the previous Program Committee meeting that are addressed either through Coaches Association business or through the Annual General Meeting. These items included:

- Sport Committee - addressed in Sport Portfolio Report
- Addition of Sports - addressed in National Championship Renewal Report
- All-Canadian Selection Process - addressed in Championship Awards Report
- Rookie of the Year Criteria - addressed in Championship Awards Report
- Coaches Association Fees - addressed in Sport Portfolio Report

Items that are still outstanding:

- Artificial Noise protocol – Trix Baker to lead
- Increase in officiating fees (Football) – Sheila-Ann Newton to bring forward recommendation for email vote.
- Discussion with NSO regarding championship dates (Swimming) – Committee to be appointed re Championship Schedule.

5. President's Comments

Judy McCrae expressed the following:

- Thank you expressed to committee for their efforts.
- AGM materials quite lengthy, but most of the documents are 2nd or 3rd draft. This is a new way for CIS to try and make sure enough time is spent discussing and researching information prior to making final decisions.
- Sport Portfolio – includes recommendation to change Program Committee to a Sport Committee, slight change in composition, adding additional individuals (marketing, international, finance). Also strengthening of coaches associations and outlining their role.
- Simplify, simplify, simplify (we make things too complicated).
- Need to make sure we have the right people making the right decisions.
- We should all understand that it is not a perfect system but we are continuing to move forward and clear up the merky waters.

6. National Championship Renewal Report

Discussion on this topic was limited to concerns regarding the process or proposed timelines as this is an item for the AGM.

General comments included:

- Concern with awarding more points based on the lesser number of days it takes to run a championship vs. more days. Seems to be encouraging lesser events.
- Consideration was given to the academic environment but they balance out in other areas.
- Committee drew heavily from other NSO's (Canada Games, COA) etc.
- When looking at length you must also look at timing of the event (end of March is different from mid beginning of March).
- The data on this is coming from what Athletic Directors have told us.
- The intent was not to penalize but to get a picture (differentiate between our sports). Not perfect but there is a balancing act between all the criteria.
- Core sports should be given an opportunity to conform with what we now establish is the most appropriate format for a national championship.
- Corporate sponsorship with the NSO and Sport Canada:
 - want to make sure we're involved in sports that already have a proven track record and have marketing success, etc.
- Need to make sure we are looking at real data. Athletes success rate in their academics while they're competing.
- The criteria needs to be tested before becoming a definite tool that could impact on our existing core sports.
- Its not just the championship itself its also the regular season at the regional level.
- Need to make sure we're being consistent in our messages.

7. Sport Delivery Model

Diane St-Denis provided an update on this, as distributed in the AGM package.

8. Athletes with a Disability Report

Marg McGregor provided an update on this, as distributed in the AGM package.

Andrew Moss expressed that coaches have concern re process. They were told that there would be a test for this model. No consultation with the coaches on this one prior to moving forward. There is also a concern that a National Championship might not be the best place to unveil this type of event. Maybe try this at Regional Association meets or provincial meets. Do we know for sure that there are enough athletes to make this a viable event for them? Don't feel we have determined that providing this would be the best thing for many of these athletes, some of these athletes are already competing in current championships.

Marg responded that this is a corporate direction for our organization in response to the Sport Canada mandate. Even though there continues to be efforts made to ensure Coaches Associations have input into as many decisions as possible this will not always be the case (i.e. in the case of an overall corporate direction).

9. Sport Portfolio Report

Diane St-Denis provided an update on this, as distributed in the AGM package.

Concern was expressed re the number of student-athletes having a voice as compared with the number of coaches association reps. Should be careful with what criteria will be used to select these athletes.

10. Sport Congress

Sheila-Ann Newton provided a brief overview of the Volleyball Sport Congress that was held in May in conjunction with Volleyball Canada's Canadian Open (18 and Under) Championship. Evaluations are still be collected but the response has been extremely positive.

Next steps will be to forward a report to the Board of Directors for their meeting in September/October.

Motion: J. Jeffrey/L. Moore **Carried**
That Program Committee supports the concept of Sport Congresses and encourages the Board to continue to move in this direction.

11. East-West Bowl

Peter Metzals reported to the Committee. Event was held in May and from all accounts was successful. Coaches participated in coaching clinics and athletes selected from across the country participated in the East-West Bowl game.

Complete report to be forwarded to the Board.

12. Championship Awards Report

Diane St-Denis reported that the Championship Awards Proposal was approved by the CIS Board of Directors. The sub-committee, made up of Janean Sergeant, Don Wilson and Jill Healy, did a great job with this report.

A few additional comments included:

- should change the terminology “Final selection process is left at the discretion of the coaches association” to “Final selection process **and criteria** is left at the discretion. . .”
- need clarification of the R. W. Pugh Fairplay Award (may need to establish the criteria before determining if it should be removed i.e. individual sports)
- maybe the award could be presented for something else at those championships that can’t determine a winner
- maybe this award should be elevated to the same level as the TSN award to assist coaches association and raise the profile of the award
- need to find the original criteria for the award

We now need a group of volunteers to assist Sheila-Ann in comparing what is currently written in the Playing Regulations to ensure it matches up with the Championship Awards Policies.

Ad-Hoc Committee will be comprised of:

- Lisen Moore,
- Jill Healy
- Trix Baker
- Sheila-Ann

ACTION: Ad-Hoc Committee to work with Sheila-Ann re. Implementing awards policies.

13. National Championship Sites and Dates

This has been on the books for quite some time. We now must take the guidelines that were passed last year by this committee and the General Assembly and review the current National Championship dates schedule.

It is intended that the bid process will be falling under the jurisdiction of the Sport Committee portfolio.

We currently have on the books requests for date changes from the following Coaches Associations:

- Swimming
- M Volleyball
- W Volleyball
- W Rugby* (came up at the meeting that QSSF may require a date change for this sport)

Andrew Moss provided the following comments re the request from Swimming for a later date:

- Request to move the championship to start on the first Friday of March for the next four years.
- Have historically been backed up by the NSO calendar – their schedule has changed and they are not as far out as the CIS.
- More time to prepare after the holiday break is a benefit to all athletes.
- Bids presented seemed to already support this.

- Have spoke to Toronto (2004) and Alberta (2005) and they can accommodate.

We can't look at changing just one date, we must look at the whole picture considering that there are human resource issues and marketing demands (i.e. television).

The Committee reviewed the current policy on championship dates (see Appendix A).

ACTION: Sheila-Ann to make sure the championship dates policy is presented to the Board of Directors for approval.

Must also keep in mind the impact on regional association schedules, many are already in place (most are made one year out i.e. AUS). Can't be making changes for next year's schedule. AUS has a rule that date changes require a 1-year waiting period.

Committee agreed to establish a sub-committee to do research and bring forward some potential changes.

Sub-Committee will include:

- Janean Sergeant
- Al Scott
- Don Wilson
- Tony Addona

Assistance from Sheila-Ann and Peter Metuzals.

ACTION: Diane and Sheila-Ann to pull together some terms of reference for the sub-committee.

14. National Championship Formats and Participants

The 8-Team tournament for soccer (men & women) and the Women's Basketball restructuring proposal will be addressed under their sport specific items.

Al Scott requested that the Sport Committee establish a common thread re assigned berths and determine the goals and objectives for our National Championships (having the best teams at the national championships). We seem to be all over the map on this one.

Diane St-Denis reported that there will be a review of the formats for National Championships following the 3rd year with the current set-up.

Motion: A. Scott/L. Moore

Carried

That the Sport Committee be designated to complete a review of the National Championship formats and berths.

The Committee then reviewed and discussed the Curling Proposal that was included in the AGM package.

Comments included:

- Would be premature to support this initiative before we complete a full review to the other sports that may be out there.
- Where did this come from? Through Marketing Council – driven as a marketing opportunity to the Board of Directors.
- Concern that this would be a CIS Curling Championship for a two year period.

Program Committee Meeting
June 2003

- Difficulty with running it for one or two years and then telling the athletes it was only a pilot.
- Is this a corporate driven mandate? No.

Committee agreed that Diane should report to the Board that this proposal should be part of the sport renewal process.

15. Coaches Association Motions

Basketball – Men

a. Motions – Rule Changes

Motion 3: T. Baker/D. Wilson **Carried (via email vote)**
To accept Dave's Crook recommendation of adopting the NCAA blood rule as outlined in the Rules Report.

Motion 4: T. Baker/D. Wilson **Carried (via email vote)**
To accept NCAA Artificial Noise Rule: Rule 10, Section 3, Article 5.

ACTION: Trix Baker will review this as she reviews an overall Artificial Noise protocol.

Motion 6: T. Baker/A. Scott **Carried**
That H.9 of the CIS playing regulations read as follows:

- a) Regional Association selections must be forwarded to a representative of the NABCC no later than 37 days prior to the championship.**
- b) CABO selection of assignor(s)/evaluator(s) forwarded to a representative of the NABCC no later than 37 days prior to the championship.**
- c) NABCC representative and CABO National Selection Committee to review the lists submitted by the Regional Associations and select from the submitted lists, two officials from each Regional Association no later than 30 days (1 month) prior to the championship.**
- d) CABO to forward final list of officials to the Canadian Interuniversity Sport office no later than 30 days (1 month) prior to the championship.**

Rationale: The above reflects what is currently taking place as oppose to what has been outlined in the playing regulations.

Motion 6(a): T. Baker/A. Scott **Carried**
That the above motion also apply to Women's Basketball.

Motion 7: J. Healy/T. Addona **Carried Unanimously**
The 4 Regional Association Champions - AUS, QSSF, OUA , CWUAA will be guaranteed a top 6 seed.

Rationale: In having the 4 teams that win their respective regional championship and advance to the National Tournament, by having these teams seeded in the top 6 it gives value, stature and recognition to these teams.

Motion 8: T. Baker/D. Wilson

Carried (as amended)

The final tournament seeding and format will be as follows:

The seeding will be determined by the "Seeding Committee" conference call using the following criteria:

1. The 4 Regional Association Champions - AUS, QSSF, OUA , CW will be guaranteed a top 6 seed
2. Final Top Ten ranking
3. Regional Association opponents can not play each other in the **Preliminary Round** of the tournament
4. Without affecting the integrity of the championship, efforts should be made not to schedule Regional Association opponents against each other in **the Quarter Final Games E and F** when possible
5. The schedule will be set that the Friday evening draw will include one of the host area teams

Tournament Draw

Day 1 – Preliminary Round

Game A - 8 vs 9

Game B - 7 vs 10

Day 2 - Quarter Finals

Game C - 1 vs winner of Game A

Game D - 2 vs winner of Game B

Game E - 3 vs 6

Game F - 4 vs 5

Note: Should the Host conference/area team be 1 or 2 then games C and D would be played in the evening session, otherwise the draw would remain as is.

Day 3 - Semi Finals

Game G - winner C vs. F

Game H - winner D vs. E

Day 4 - Championship – Finals

Game M - winner G vs winner H

Motion 9: T. Addona/J. Jeffrey

Carried (7-0-1)

That the National Championship Tournament does not include a Bronze Medal game.

Rationale:

1. This was previously voted on in years past and consequently we do not presently have a Bronze medal game. Why bring back something that has already been discussed on its merit's at this forum. The feelings have not changed since that time among coaches.
2. Both Coaches and athletes feel a let down after the semi-final loss and it is very hard to be encouraged to participate in a meaning less game when the reason teams are there is to win a national championship. The Bronze medal game has not as much importance to both athletes and coaches.
3. We keep the integrity of a championship tournament with not playing a bronze medal game. It makes it more of an achievement to make the final game than to make a bronze medal game.

b. Motions – Coaches Association Business

Motion 1

That the All-Canadian candidates information package should include all all-star voting results from each regional association.

Motion 5

All coaches that do not vote in the top 10 will have their names circulated to all NABCC members.

c. Motions – Forward to Ad-Hoc Committee on Championship Awards

Motion 2

All-Canadian and major award winners will be announced to the teams 14 days prior to the awards banquet to allow for travel arrangements.

d. Motions – Forwarded to other committees

e. Championship Evaluations

Comments from committee:

- Should be forwarded to Host that comments were received on the need to work on the allocation of rooms for non-participating head coaches.
- Host did not attend the Coaches Association meeting – should encourage attendance.

Basketball – Women

a. Motions – Rule Changes

Motion 3: J. Jeffrey/T. Baker **Carried**
The WBCA strongly suggests that the host school puts forth an All-Star Selection Committee and includes a WBCA delegate.

Motion 4 Defeated on vote sheet
That there be an award and selection process for “Most Improved Player of the Year”

Motion 7
That the WBCA present to the CIS a proposal to expand the National Championship Tournament to 16 teams. Proposal to be drafted by K.Pruden and circulated to WBCA before June meetings, in order to finalize details before presenting proposal to CIS.

Keith Pruden presented a draft of a proposal to expand the current National Championship Tournament.

Discussion and comments included:

- We don't have a model that has been well vented through the system yet.
- Overall cost to run the tournament will be more, but there will be more marketing opportunities.
- Need more information re costing everything out.
- Coaches Association feels there's enough strong teams in the country to make a viable championship of this format.
- Coaches Association did not feel the 10-team format for the men's championship would work for women's.
- After seeing the success of crowds at many of the Regional Association playoffs we feel now is the time to try this new format, creating more excitement for women's basketball across the country.
- Looking for endorsement to continue to develop a 16-team championship format (to Final Four).

Motion: T. Baker/D. Wilson **Carried**
That the Program Committee encourages the Women's Basketball Coaches Association to continue in their efforts in preparing a 16-team format proposal. (Must address geographical and financial concerns, scheduling timelines and distribution of berths). Warrants more research with a cohesive group which will include coaches, Athletic Directors, CIS staff, etc.

Motion 8: T. Addona/J. Jeffrey Defeated
That we move to a narrow key for the women's game.

Comments from Committee:

- Canada Basketball is currently reviewing their rules and we would prefer to wait until that review is completed.
- Not a significant enough show of support on the vote sheet. Also concern that it is another adjustment to the FIBA rules.
- Would be a move towards NCAA rules but we're not moving in that direction in our other rules
- Recommended that the WBCA review their existing rules to ensure they fall inline with the Basketball Canada rule book.

b. Motions – Coaches Association Business

Motion 2

That all votes for all WBCA awards be tallied and charted, then minuted.

c. Motions – Forward to Ad-Hoc Committee on Championship Awards

Motion 1

To win or be nominated for the CIS Coach of the Year award a coach must have won Coach of the Year in their division.

d. Motions – Forwarded to other committees

Motion 5 (FORWARDED TO ELIGIBILITY COMMITTEE)

The WBCA is NOT in favor of a recruiting “letter of intent”.

Motion 6 (FORWARDED TO ELIGIBILITY COMMITTEE)

The WBCA does not support recruiting blackout periods for CIS women's basketball.

e. Championship Evaluations

Comments from committee:

- Concern expressed again with the process of selecting officials.
- Canada West has an officials panel that seems to be working better.

Cross Country

a. Motions – Rule Changes

Motion 1

That bids must come forward to the Cross Country Coaches' Association AGM for input, before being presented to the CIS, and that appropriate changes be made to the bidding process to ensure all bids can be brought to the Coaches' Association meeting.

Rationale:

Input provided by the CIS Coaches Association on technical, logistical, and student-athlete experience considerations will ensure the bid selection committee have access to expert assessments of the bids and will improve the communication between the CIS and the coaches association on this very important issue.

ACTION: Sheila-Ann and Diane to write a letter to the President of the Coaches Association to clarify existing process.

Motion 3: T. Baker/J. Jeffrey

Carried

Name the Men's Coaching award the "Fred Foot Coaching Award".

Rationale

Fred Foot was one of Canada's top coaches in Canada for the past 60 years. During his tenure as a coach, Fred was named the CIAU men's coach of the year 7 times (1965, 1966, 1967, 1974, 1975, 1977 and 1978) all with the University of Toronto. Fred was an active coach right up to his death the past fall with York University.

b. Motions - Coaches Association Business

c. Motions – Forward to Ad-Hoc Committee on Championship Awards

d. Motions – Forwarded to other committees

Motion 2 (FORWARDED TO BOARD OF DIRECTORS)

Seven teams be funded to the CIS championships with the additional team coming from Ontario when the championships is held outside the corridor and from CanWest when the meet is held inside the corridor.

Rationale

1. Prior to funding cuts to CIS Cross Country Championships after the 1994 season, traditionally 7 teams were funded per men and women plus the gold and silver medal runners from each of the respective conferences (Atlantic, Quebec, Ontario, Canada West). The 7 teams per sex were as follows: top 2 from Canada West, top 2 from Ontario, winner of Quebec championship, winner of Atlantic championship, and 1 Wild Card team from anywhere.

2. Over the last 7 CIS Championships (1995 to 2002 inclusive), there has always been a minimum of 3 teams from either Canada West or Ontario finish in the top 7 - again a testament to the depth of those conferences.

3. The motion addresses the fact that for almost any venue for the CIS Championships, Canada West teams must use air transportation whereas when the Championships are in the Montreal to Windsor corridor, most if not all Ontario teams can use much cheaper ground transportation.

4. Since historically the relative strengths of the two above conferences is fairly equal, it is reasonable to fund a 3rd team from Canada West when the CIS Championships are in the corridor and that a 3rd Ontario team would be funded when the venue is outside the corridor requiring air transportation.

Al Scott requested the Program Committee discuss. Al then continued to provide some historical information on this subject.

The Committee did not bring forward any motions and encouraged Al provide some of the historical information to the Finance Committee for their deliberations.

Motion 2 was discussed on the August 11th Board of Directors conference call. Included on the call was a proposal prepared by Al Scott and supported by members of Atlantic University Sport. In addition, Tom Huisman (Sport Coordinator for Cross Country) and Dan Hennigar (President Coaches Association) prepared a secondary document for discussion. The Board agreed that a review of the funding for the Cross Country Championship should be part of the review to commence next season re all National Championship Task Force principles. For additional information please refer to the Board of Directors minutes.

e. Championship Evaluations

Field Hockey

a. Motions – Rule Changes

Motion 2: T. Baker/D. Wilson **Carried (7-0-1)**
That the berth, currently assigned to the previous year's champions, be permanently assigned to Canada West as is done for other CIS Championships (Men's and Women's Soccer).

Rationale:

In order to have the best teams in the country attending the championship, we must ensure that at least two teams from the Canada West Regional Association are in attendance at the championship (Criteria f, National Championship Formats Principles, 20.20.1.1). The CIS Field Hockey Championship for the past 12 years shows clearly the dominance of the Canada West Regional Association (see Appendix A of minutes). Also, with this format the OUA still has 2 berths since the QSSF with only one team, plays in the OUA. Under the current format, if the OUA is hosting and they win the previous year championship, they would have 4 teams in the championship, which would go against principle 20.20.1.5. The proposed new format would avoid this to happen.

b. Motions – Coaches Association Business

Motion 3

That the CIS Coaches Association Meetings be changed from Saturday to Thursday evening.

c. Motions – Forward to Ad-Hoc Committee on Championship Awards

Motion 1

Motion to accept the document as circulated re CIS Fairplay Award.

d. Motions – Forwarded to other committees

e. Championship Evaluations

Football

a. Motions – Rule Changes

Motion 1: T. Addona/J. Jeffrey **Carried (as amended)**
That expenses incurred by the 2002 Selection Committee for travel to attend the 2002 All-Canadian Selection meetings in Toronto be forwarded to the Treasurer and the total be divided equally among the 26 football playing institutions. The Treasurer is to invoice each school for the appropriate amount.

Note: The Committee wants to ensure that this is a one-time deal and to provide direction to CUFCA to ensure that another mechanism is developed as an alternative model that does not download costs to the institutions

Motion 2: T. Addona/C. Mathies **Carried (as amended)**
That the CIS (member football schools) adopt a 20-second clock for the Bowl games and Vanier Cup. Conferences will move towards standardization of the 20-second clock application.

Note: CIS does not have jurisdiction over regular season and Regional Association playoffs.

Motion 4: T. Baker/D. Wilson **Carried**
Whereas it is the strong consensus that coaches want to have their teams properly prepared for their first conference games and,
Whereas, at present, that seems not to be the case in some conferences and,
Whereas this has serious impact upon the ability/need to evaluate, teach, and prepare players, be it resolved:
That the opening of training camps be allowed a maximum of fourteen (14) days prior to the date of the first scheduled game within the conference. CIS teams are allowed a maximum of one exhibition/non-conference game within that 14-day period. (Effective 2004)

1. Also speaks to safety (more time in training camp less injury).
2. OUA concern with the extra costs associated prior to the start of school.
3. We would never put a limit on number of exhibition games allowed in other sports.
4. This rule helps level the playing field (currently there is no limitations even though some regional associations have their own limits).

Motion 5: T. Addona/L. Moore **Carried**
That CIS member teams be restricted to a maximum of twenty (20) “coach directed” practises out of season. These practices are limited to the time period between end of season (Vanier Cup) and May 10.

Note: Coach Directed Practices are defined as having any or all of the following being provided, directly or indirectly, by a member of the institution’s Coaching Staff. Items such as:

- player scheduled
- time scheduled
- place scheduled
- structured feedback/evaluation to participants
- content scheduled
- assessment/monitoring of performance

Rationale: At present there is no consistent regulation guiding the number of out-of-season 'coach directed' practices. It was felt, given the move toward maximizing the player-development opportunity, it would be prudent to address the need to define, for fairness, the maximum number of practices available. It was also believed that there is a trend toward practices, out of season, that are 'player directed', but in reality are controlled, and structured by coaches (with players, captains, etc. acting as the player-coach). This was considered an unacceptable procedure if it takes place outside the 'out-of-season' period (Vanier Cup until May 10).

ACTION: Requested the Coaches Association be tasked with defining the above. Clarification to be provided to Program Committee.

Motion 6: T. Addona/L. Moore **Carried**
That no coach directed practices take place between May 10 and the beginning of a team's league training camp.

Rationale: The period between May 10 and the beginning of training camp is a time within which players have left the University environment and are 'out-of-School'. It was unanimously agreed within the Working Committee that this is a quiet period and no practices should be allowed.

Motion 10: T. Baker/T. Addona **Carried**
That the following CFL rule relative to "no yards" be implemented beginning in the 2003 season:

CFL Rule: Section 4: Kick from scrimmage and open field Kick

Article 1: Restriction on offside Player (No Yards)

When on a kick from scrimmage (ball crosses line of scrimmage), or on an open field kick, a player is "offside" in relation to the kicker; such player shall

(a) shall not touch the ball, or interfere with an opponent attempting to gain possession of the kicked ball.

Penalty:

(1) In field of play - scrimmage by non-offending team 15 yards in advance of point where ball was first touched.

(2) In goal area - scrimmage by non-offending team on its 25 yard line.

(b) shall allow five yards to an opponent attempting to gain possession of the kickedball. The five yard zone is determined by a circle of radius five yards, with the centre point being the ball at the instant it is first touched by an opponent.

Penalty:

(1) In field of play - scrimmage by receiving team 15 yards in advance of the point where the ball was first touched, or 5 yards in advance of that point if the kicked ball had struck the ground before being touched by the receiving team.

(2) In goal area - scrimmage by receiving team after penalty applied from the ten yard line.

Motion 11: T. Baker/A. Scott **Carried**
That Head Coaches, during their meeting with head officials prior to each game, will inform them of the eligible jersey numbers of players occupying ineligible positions on punt and/or field goal teams. These players would become ineligible to receive a pass for the entire game unless they report to the officials prior to each play in which that player is in an eligible position.

Motion 12: L. Moore/T. Baker Defeated (1-7)
Whereas the addition of an additional official to the current Officiating Crew would give better coverage to play on the field and,
Whereas the players would be afforded more protection, be it resolved:
That a seventh (7th) official be added to the current officiating crew.

b. Motions - Coaches Association Business

Motion 13

Be it resolved that the next annual coaches meeting be held at the site of the 2003 Vanier Cup and that coaches working committee meetings take place in that week on Wednesday afternoon, league meetings on Thursday morning, and the CUFCA General meeting (Plenary session) held on Friday prior to the Vanier Cup.

c. Motions – Forward to Ad-Hoc Committee on Championship Awards

d. Motions – Forwarded to other committees

Motion 3 (FORWARDED TO ELIGIBILITY COMMITTEE)

The competition/scheduling Working Committee reaffirms its unanimous support for the CUFCA motion 2001: 5i

“Since Canada West has traditionally held Spring Camps, be it resolved that Canada West continue this practice with the proviso that only student-athlete within the traditional Canada West boundaries be allowed to participate in these camps. This traditional territory is defined as extending from British Columbia to Thunder Bay, Ontario.

Rationale: It was clear that this CUFCA motion was not unanimously adhered to. There was serious concern about the need for all CIS football member Institutions to support not only in word, but in practice, an adherence to procedures which mandate our actions. It was felt therefore, by the Working Committee, a need to clearly reaffirm the commitment to such actions.

The Eligibility Committee received the above information and reiterated that any breach of the existing rule will be dealt with by the committee.

Motion 7 (FORWARDED TO ELIGIBILITY COMMITTEE)

Upon the initial recruiting contact with a prospective student-athlete, the recruiter must give the recruit a copy of the CIS Recruiting/Eligibility regulations. In the event of the contact being made by phone or e-mail, the ensuing recruiting package being sent to the prospective student-athlete must include the recruiting/eligibility regulations of the CIS.

Note: Any individual representing a CIS institution is considered a recruiter for the purposes of this motion.

Motion 7 was not supported by the Eligibility Committee. The committee felt this was a responsibility of the individual institutions.

Motion 8 (FORWARDED TO ELIGIBILITY COMMITTEE)

To amend VII. Eligibility Rule C.2.b, CEGEP Participation as follows:

A student-athlete who has used up his high school eligibility outside Quebec or graduated from High School in their home province (excluding Quebec) and is attending a CEGEP school, shall be charged with a year of CIS eligibility for each year of participating beyond two (2) years.

Rationale: To protect the CEGEP system which intakes the Quebec student following his grade 11 year, the two leagues, Junior and CEGEP, would then be compatible in regard to the issue of age. CEGEP participation now uses CIS eligibility in the fourth year. Following the third year of CEGEP a 20 year old CEGEP student begins to use CIS eligibility as does the 20 year old Junior player following his second year of Junior ball.

The motion would protect against that student who graduates as an 18 year old in a province outside of Quebec, and then attends a CGEP. This student could in fact delay the eligibility clock until he was 21 under the present rules governing when CGEP participation begins to count as CIS eligibility.

The Eligibility Committee revised the above motion and put it before the General Assembly. The following motion was carried at the 2003 CIS Annual General Meeting:

RESOLUTION # 32

Blake/Wedlake

Carried

40.10.6.3.1

Men's Football

Beginning with the 2003-2004 academic year, a student-athlete who has used up his high school eligibility outside of Quebec, or graduated from high school in their home province (excluding Quebec), shall be charged with a year of Canadian Interuniversity Sport eligibility for each year of participation beyond two years at any level of tackle football.

Quebec High School Graduates

Beginning with the 2003-2004 academic year, upon graduating from a Quebec high school, an athlete shall be charged with a year of Canadian Interuniversity Sport eligibility for each year of participation beyond three years at any level of tackle football, including but not limited to midget, junior, and CEGEP.

Note: A notation would be made within "40.10.4.2 – CEGEP Participation" indicating that the above takes precedence for football.

Motion 9 (FORWARDED TO ELIGIBILITY COMMITTEE)

That there be a 30 consecutive day period of non-recruiting (or recruiting blackout) by CIS football programs. During this time, there will be no contact of potential student-athletes by any person representing the respective school's football program.

Rationale: CUFCA recommends that there be a period of time, that coaches and their staff not be permitted to recruit or have contact with potential student athletes. The coaches agree that this blackout requirement is to ensure both the student's athlete's understanding of rules and not to impose recruitment on a daily basis, in addition, allowing all coaches an opportunity not to recruit fully understanding no one else is recruiting either. This may result in either two 15-day blackout periods or one 30-day period, although the specific terms and dates need to be further debated. The exact time of when this blackout period would occur needs to be further discussed and ratified by CUFCA at the next meeting of all members.

Program Committee Meeting
June 2003

The Eligibility Committee received the above information and awaits further action from CUFCA.

e. **Championship Evaluations**

f. **Miscellaneous**

Letter received from the Canadian Football Officials' Association (see Appendix B).

Motion: J. Jeffrey/A. Scott

Defeated (email vote)

To increase the officials fees in football starting with the 2003-2004 Bowl Games and Vanier Cup, as per the following:

- Referees from \$105.00 to \$130.00 per game
- Linesmen and others from \$95.00 to \$110.00 per game.

Ice Hockey – Men

a. Motions – Rule Changes

Motion 2

“That section C.1 of the playing regulations should be amended as follows:

C.1 TEAMS

The six teams for the 2004 Canadian Interuniversity Sport Men’s Hockey Championship (University Cup) will be:

*OUA East Representative
OUA West Representative
Canada West Representative
Atlantic University Sport Representative
Host (University of New Brunswick)
Assigned rotating berth (AUS)
(Refer to Policy 20.20.4.6 for more details)”*

Above motion is not required as it was already stated in the regulations that AUS would receive the Assigned Berth in 2004.

Motion 4: C. Mathies/J. Jeffrey

Carried

“That the proposed amendments to sections D.8.2 and D.8.3 of the playing regulations (detailed at Annex E of the Coaches Association minutes) be adopted.”

Motion 5: D. Wilson/T. Baker

Carried

That CUHCA agrees to the replacement of the University Cup based on the following guidelines:

- 1. The original University Cup is retired to the Hockey Hall of Fame and continues to be updated on an annual basis.**
- 2. The replacement cup should resemble the original in design and execution as closely as possible and should have all winners listed on it.**
- 3. The replacement cup should continue to be known as the “University Cup” and should have no sponsor/commercial name attached to it.**

b. Motions - Coaches Association Business

Motion 1

That the proposed amended constitution be adopted.

Motion 6

That the CUHCA membership fees for 2003-04 remain at \$100.00.

Program Committee Meeting
June 2003

Motion 7

That the proposed 2003-04 budget be accepted. (See Annex F of the Coaches Association minutes.)

c. Motions – Forward to Ad-Hoc Committee on Championship Awards

Motion 3

That the proposed amendments to section I of the playing regulations (detailed in Annex D of the Coaches Association minutes) be adopted.

d. Motions – Forwarded to other committees

e. Championship Evaluations

Ice Hockey – Women

a. Motions – Rule Changes

Motion 3: T. Baker/D. Wilson **Carried**
To remove “c) The top 2 teams from one Regional Association shall not be placed in the same pool.” from D.2.2 of the playing regulations.

Rationale: First priority must be to ensure competitive balance. See motion #4 below for additional information.

Motion 4: T. Baker/D. Wilson **Carried**
That the following be added to D.2.2 of the playing regulations:
“The seeding committee shall have the ability to designate seeds 3 and 4 in order to maintain competitive balance in the pools.”

Note: The Seeding Committee is to ensure that in determining the seeding for the championship,

- a) 1 and 2 must be in opposite pools
- b) 6 and 5 must be in opposite pools
- c) the seeding committee has the discretion to flip 3 and 4 to ensure some balance in the pools.

Motion 5: D. Wilson/T. Baker **Carried**
That C.2 read as follows:
The Regional Association champion seeded higher shall be the visiting team in their first game and the home team in their second game.

Rationale: Playing Regulations currently includes conflicting information. This cleans it up.

Motion 6: D. Wilson/T. Baker **Carried**
That the following be added to C.2.:
“During the Gold Medal, Bronze Medal and 5th place games the home team shall be the higher seeded team.”

Rationale: Playing Regulations currently includes conflicting information. This cleans it up.

b. Motions - Coaches Association Business

c. Motions – Forward to Ad-Hoc Committee on Championship Awards

Motion 1

That for the CIS Rookie of the Year Award an athlete must be 22 years of age or under as of September 1st in the academic year in question.

Note: In the spirit of the award, the Selection Committee must consider the athletes playing background.

Rationale: Following a review of the Championship Awards proposal, it is felt that the recommended age of 21 is too young for the current make up of rosters in CIS women’s hockey.

Motion 2

That there be an All-Rookie Team that will consist of one forward, one defense and one goaltender.
(Age restriction will be the same as for the Rookie of the Year).

d. **Motions – Forwarded to other committees**

e. **Championship Evaluations**

Rugby – Women

a. Motions – Rule Changes

Motion 1: T. Addona/T. Baker **Carried**
At the conclusion of full time play, two 10-minute sudden death overtime periods would be played followed by the tie-breaking procedure.

Rational: The original tie breaker format follows the dictates of U19 laws which no longer apply as the competition is now played under senior laws. This change allows for a sudden death period of play as an option for an initial tie-breaker.

Motion 2: T. Addona/C. Mathies **Carried**
To change the title of D.9.2 of the Rules and Regulations to remove the word “robin”, thus eliminating the term “round robin.”

Rational: To ensure that the wording of the regulations reflects the actual competition format.

Motion 3: T. Baker/J. Jeffrey **Carried**
To allow for 25 members of the team to be listed on the game sheet to dress, continuing to maintain the standard seven substitutions.

Rational: Currently teams are allowed to bring 25 players to the competition, but only dress 22 for the game. The ability to dress all 25 for the game allows for maximum use of players and flexibility in terms of injury management throughout the competition. This change does not present any advantage to any team, as all teams have 25 players in attendance. Saving the eligibility of players who do not get on the field is not an issue given those 25 players are listed on each team roster indicating participation.

b. Motions - Coaches Association Business

c. Motions – Forward to Ad-Hoc Committee on Championship Awards

d. Motions – Forwarded to other Committees

e. Championship Evaluations

Soccer – Men

a. **Motions – Rule Changes**

Motion 1: C. Mathies/J. Sergeant **Carried**
As per D.2 of the Playing Regulations, “A random draw will be conducted annually at the Coaches Association meeting to determine the pool groupings for the next year’s championship”, the following schedule and rotation be implemented for the 2003 National Championship.

Pools

<u>Pool A</u>	<u>Pool B</u>
A-Host	D-AUS
B-Canada West	E-Ontario #2
C-Ontario #1	F-Quebec

Schedule of Events

Thursday	A vs B	D vs E
Friday	B vs C	E vs F
Saturday	C vs A	F vs D

Motion 2: T. Baker/C. Mathies **Carried**
That we eliminate the taking of penalty shots in round robin games should the teams playing play to a tie.

Rationale: This will assist in eliminating the confusion that continues to exist with having to take penalty shots after every tied game as well as putting unnecessary pressure on the affected teams.

Motion 3: T. Baker/C. Mathies **Carried**
That we use the CSA tie-breaking rules as defined for a three-team round robin as follows:

The CSA tie-breaking rules are as follows:

- (1) In the three (3) team round robin, whether part or whole of the competition, the third game shall be played to a decision as outlined in CSA Rules for National competitions, if the team not participating has zero points, has been suspended or has withdrawn.
- (2) If two (2) or more teams have an equal number of points on completion of the round-robin, the following criteria shall be used, in order, to determine the final standings:
 - (a) Previous Match Results-The winner of the game between two higher teams tied in points shall be awarded the higher position (not applicable if three or more tied)
 - (b) Goal Difference- Goal difference shall be calculated by subtracting goals conceded from goals scored. The team having the greater goal difference will be awarded the higher position.
 - (c) Goal Average- Goals for divided by goals against. The team having the greater goal average will be awarded the higher position.
 - (d) Least Goals Against- The team having the fewer number of goals against will be awarded the higher position.
 - (e) Penalty Kicks-

Two Teams tied: As per FIFA Laws of the Game, at a time and place decided by the Tournament Organizing Committee.

Three Teams tied: Each team is designated A, B, or C. Three mini-games shall be played (A vs. B, B vs. C, C vs. A) consisting of Five (5) penalty kicks at a time and place to be decided by the Tournament Organizing Committee. The standings after these three mini-games shall be ranked according to the criteria (a) – (d) above.

Motion 4: D. Wilson/C. Mathies **Carried**
That if the teams are still tied after applying the CSA tie breaking rules, than a coin toss would be used as the final solution. This solution follows FIFA guidelines.

Motion 5: D. Wilson/C. Mathies **Carried**
That if a coach is ejected in their last game prior to the National Championships, than they would be suspended for the first game of the National Championships.

Rationale: Coaches should be treated in the same fashion as all players. Ejected from a game requires a suspension.

Motion 6: T. Baker/A. Scott **Carried**
That Rule D.11.2 of the CIS Playing Regulations be changed to read, “All yellow cards are erased at the end of the conference season including playoffs with the exception of red card suspensions which are carried forward to the National Championship.”

Motion 8 Deferred for discussion on 8-Team
That in years where Ontario is hosting the National Championships, the sixth and final berth should recognize merit and be awarded to the conference that won the National Championship in the previous season rather than automatically awarding a third berth to Ontario.

b. Motions - Coaches Association Business

Motion 7
That the Executive nominate and direct a Task Force to develop the rationale, the detail and the logistics to increase the number of teams at the National Championship to eight teams from the present six. The Task Force must work with the Women’s Association to develop a comprehensive proposal.

Rationale: The Task Force will examine all of the implications involved in adding two additional teams.

c. Motions – Forward to Ad-Hoc Committee on Championship Awards

d. Motions – Forwarded to other committees

e. Championship Evaluations

Soccer – Women

a. Motions – Rule Changes

Motion 2 Deferred to discuss on 8-Team
That the CIS Women's Soccer Tournament move to an 8-team format and a sub-committee be formed to develop the proposal to be further reviewed by Coaches Association for approval.

Rationale: Following a motion brought forward last year from the Men's Soccer Coaches Association, the Women's Association has been asked to look at an 8-team format and provide a detailed proposal. Should work with the Men's Association on this task.

Motion 3: T. Addona/C. Mathies Defeated (via email vote)
That the bench size at CIS National tournament be those persons listed on eligibility roster including all team staff.

Rationale: Follows FIFA guidelines.
Refers to the eligibility roster submitted to the CIS office. Current practice at the National Championship during the Technical Meeting is to pass a vote to allow all players who traveled with the team to sit on the bench during the games. Most teams are traveling with 20 to 22 players plus a head coach, 2 assistant coaches, physio, manager, etc. quickly adds up to more than the current 24 maximum. From an officials standpoint as long as the extra players are not in uniform or warm-up gear then they are OK with it.

b. Motions - Coaches Association Business

c. Motions – Forward to Ad-Hoc Committee on Championship Awards

Motion 1
That all CIS All-Canadian reps have documented and circulated statistics on conference award winners before All-Canadian conference call is made.

Rationale: To ensure the most up-to-date information is available to assist conference representatives on the All-Canadian selection conference call.

d. Motions – Forwarded to other committees

Motion 4 (FORWARDED TO ELIGIBILITY COMMITTEE)
In the spirit of sport development for women's soccer, that during the off-season, (November-August) those on the CIS eligibility roster do not lose eligibility for competing.

Rationale: The CIS season finishes as of Nov 15 of each year. Programs that play in the second half, play exhibition games against local boys teams, and/or club teams and with current eligibility rules, if a team was to play those players that are 21 and 22 years of age, they lose eligibility, when they have never played in an official CIS game. Basketball, hockey and volleyball don't fall into this problem, because their official season extends until the end of March. A sport specific rule for women's soccer would allow institutions to give those players playing time without losing eligibility.

ACTION: Send back to Coaches Association requesting clarification. The Committee viewed the above motion as referring to outdoor soccer as all indoor soccer is not applicable in CIS. The Committee would like to make sure that all coaches were aware of this when voting.

e. **Championship Evaluations**

f. **Notice of Motion to AGM – 8-Team Proposal**

8-TEAM PROPOSAL

Notice of Motion 14-1: T. Baker/A. Scott **Carried**
That the CIS Men’s and Women’s Soccer Championship formats (policy numbers 20.20.4.9 ad 20.20.4.10) change by increasing the number of participating teams from six to eight.

General Discussion:

Gord Franson reported on behalf of the coaches association re why the increase from six to eight teams.

Again some concern expressed re process for reviewing championship format proposals.

Concern expressed re the financial implications.

Motion 14-2: D. Wilson/C. Mathies Defeated 2-6
That the 8-Team Championship Format for Men’s and Women’s Soccer consist of the quarter-final draw plus consolation. (Quarter-final draw over 4 days).

Motion: T. Baker/A. Scott **Carried**
That a Quarter-Final draw over three days be the format for the Men’s and Women’s Soccer Championship, with a provision that a four-day schedule could be accommodated if a bidding host has only one grass field.

General Discussion:

- Is there a need for a four-day tournament when you could do it in three days?
- If there is only one field and it is grass then 3 games in one day on that field is not possible. Would destroy the field and we must keep in mind that the finals are currently be televised.
- Could tie some conditions into this so that if you are playing on a grass field you must have two fields. If its artificial you don’t need to worry about it. Either way you could get through it in three days.
- In all other sports currently using a quarter-final draw it is only over a three day period.
- Very difficult on the athletes.
- Four days is the current length of time for the championship. Should be left at four days.

Notion of Motion 14-3: J. Jeffrey/D. Wilson **Carried (7-1)**
That CIS policy 20.20.4.9 and policy 20.20.4.10 change to read:
8 Team Format, Single Elimination
4 Regional Associations
1 Host
1 Assigned berth OUA
2 Assigned berths (Rotational Format – Assigned berth from a pre-determined Regional Association rotation. NOTE: The Coaches Association will recommend to the Board the rotational format to be used.)

Program Committee Meeting
June 2003

NOTE: Clarification of the intent of the rotation is that NO regional association will get more than 3 berths.

**Notice of Motion 14-5: C. Mathies/T. Addona Carried
That the 8-Team Championship Formats for Men's and Women's Soccer commence with the November 2005 Championships.**

Note: Based on the Host being able to accommodate the format changes.

Swimming

a. Motions – Rule Changes

Motion 2 : C. Mathies/J. Sergeant **Carried**
“Relay entries must be submitted with an entry time that has been posted on the Sporting Canada Rankings. Relays can enter with a different time if it can be proven to meet management by the start of the Technical meeting.” – Insert in Section C.6.2

Rationale: The SportingCanada ranking system can provide accurate entry times that ensure a fair seeding of relays at the competition. Should teams have a combination of athletes that improves their season best performance, they will be given the opportunity to “prove” a relay entry time made up of the combined time of those four individuals prior to the competition beginning.

b. Motions - Coaches Association Business

c. Motions – Forward to Ad-Hoc Committee on Championship Awards

Motion 1

That the selection for the “Rookie of the Meet” be the swimmer who scores the highest point total, based on SNC High performance charts, for any two swims at the CIS Championships. The swimmer must be 21 years of age or younger. The swimmer must be in their first year of CIS eligibility.

d. Motions – Forwarded to other committees

Motion 3: Referred to Ad-Hoc Committee reviewing Championship Dates

For the next 4 years the CIS Swimming Championships will begin on the First Friday in March. The CIS will approach the Hosts who have committed to a date to change their competition dates.

Rationale: CIS Coaches agreed with concerns raised regarding the short timeframe available after the Fall Exam and Holiday break to the Conference and CIS Championships. Coaches felt that adding two weeks to the season would allow for a better preparatory period following the Exam/Holiday period, and would allow for more successful Conference and Championship performances for athletes. These concerns had been raised in the past, and at the time there were limits to dates available due to conflicts between the CIS Championships and Swimming Canada calendar. The discussion this year was that CIS would take a lead role in setting it’s calendar and Swimming Canada could fit with our model. Swimming Canada representatives at the CIS Championship were willing to work in this model.

A letter from Brian Cartlidge was sent to the Board of Directors requesting they consider the above motion for the 2004 Championship. For additional information refer to the Board minutes from their June 25th conference call. The following motion was passed:

03-06-09 R. Dubeau/L. Hoffman **Carried**
That the dates for the 2004 Swimming Championship at the University of Toronto be moved to March 5-7.

e. Championship Evaluations

Track & Field

a. **Motions – Rule Changes**

Motion 1: T. Baker/J. Jeffrey **Carried**
That the playing regulations be adjusted to reflect that we now have a 3-day meet. Change rule C.3.4.1 to say by midnight Monday, 10 days prior.

Motion 2: T. Baker/D. Wilson **Carried**
That C.4.5.1 and C.4.6.1 be combined with a Wednesday 3pm deadline. If anyone scratches from an event after this time they are out of the meet.

Rationale: To clear up the confusion that exists re scratches.

Motion 3: T. Baker/D. Wilson **Carried**
That we adopt the NCAA rule concerning the use of the runway in vertical jumps (If an athlete has been waiting more than one hour to jump, they may use the runway for 2 minutes during height changes).

b. **Motions - Coaches Association Business**

c. **Motions – Forward to Ad-Hoc Committee on Championship Awards**

Motion 4
That the CIS Track and Field ROOKIE OF THE YEAR be named at the same time as our OUTSTANDING PERFORMERS, prior to the CIS Championship.

Rationale: Clarification in response to the Championship Awards Proposal.

d. **Motions – Forwarded to other committees**

e. **Championship Evaluations**

Volleyball – Men

a. Motions – Rule Changes

Motion 1

Defeated on vote sheet

That berths at the CIS Championship be assigned as follows:

AUS – 1, QSSF – 1, OUA – 1, CAN WEST – 1 = 4 berths

Host – 1, CAN WEST – 1, OUA – 1, Gold Medal Regional Association – 1 = 4 Berths.

Rationale:

- Better reflection of number of teams in the country.
- Create opportunities for student-athletes around the country based on number of teams 1/4 Can West, AUS, QSSF 1/5 for OUA.
- Host would satisfy berth.
- Gold medal would take the place of regional strength.

Committee did not address as the motion was defeated on the vote sheet.

Motion 2: C. Mathies/T. Baker

Defeated

That the National Championship format be changed to the format currently used in CCAA Men's Volleyball allowing for a second chance at the bronze.

Rationale:

- This format is needed given the importance of the Bronze medal match in relation to extra berths in subsequent years.
- Rectifies the possibility of poor seeding.

General Discussion from the Program Committee:

- Came from the thought that the Bronze medal game is now more important.
- Need more research as to whether this would add another day to the competition (depends on time of the finalized TV game).
- Current system ensures a team with a 2-1 record can win the bronze medal, this would mean a team with a 2-2 record could win – not acceptable.
- Adding another day to the championship would be unacceptable.
- The close vote on the vote sheet is representative of the split in regional boundaries.

Motion 6: T. Baker/D. Wilson

Carried

That the men adopt the new Baden international style ball; women to stay status quo with the intent to follow.

Rationale:

- Men have wanted to use a heavier ball similar to the ball used in international competition.
- Given our longstanding relationship with Baden and the fact that they now have a similar ball available the Coaches Association have voted to move to using this ball.

Note: Intent is that the Women's Coaches Association will follow (once current stock of balls has run out).

SEE WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL FOR JOINT COACHES ASSOCIATION MOTIONS!

b. Motions - Coaches Association Business

Motion 4

That the All-Canadian voting format be changed to one vote, one member wherein a coach / institution may not vote for their own athlete(s).

Motion 7

That the CIS Joint Volleyball Coaches Association strike a Joint Championship Format Task Force to investigate the Championship format and representation. To provide principles and recommendations to the CIS Joint Coaches Association.

The composition of the Task Force would include, but not necessarily be limited to:

1. A representative from each regional association.
2. President of CIS Volleyball Coaches Associations.
3. CIS marketing expert.

Rationale: Since the CIS National Championship Task Force came forward with its recommendations and the National Tournament format was changed, the debate over composition of the National Tournament field has become a source of continued tension within the Coaches Association. There is a true desire among all parties to search for a format that meets the needs of all concerned, if such a format exists.

c. Motions – Forward to Ad-Hoc Committee on Championship Awards

Motion 5

That Men's Volleyball will include a "Libero Player of the Year" Award in the annual CIS Awards.

Rationale:

- It has become apparent that this position is unique and is not getting the recognition it deserves.
- Volleyball equivalent to the "Defensive Player of the Year" award in Basketball.

d. Motions – Forwarded to other committees

Motion 3 (FORWARDED TO ELIGIBILITY COMMITTEE)

That student-athletes in the CEGEP system be charged with a year of eligibility for each year beyond 2 years.

Eligibility Committee is currently reviewing rules in reference to the CEGEP system and how this may apply to all sports. Any recommended changes by the Eligibility Committee will be brought forward to the June 2004 Annual General Meeting.

e. Championship Evaluations

Volleyball – Women

a. **Motions – Rule Changes**

Motion 4: A. Scott/T. Baker **Carried**
That collection, reporting and promotion of official game statistics for Women’s CIS Volleyball be done with the use of a common software program.

NOTE: Statistics Chair to coordinate global purchase of licenses and management of system.

The understanding is that three of the four Regional Associations are already moving towards the same software package.

Motion 4(a): T. Baker/L. Moore **Carried**
That the Program Committee recommends the software program for CIS volleyball be Stats Crew.

Motion 5: T. Baker/J. Jeffrey **Carried**
That assists/set be added to the official list of national statistical leaders.

General Discussion:

It was commented that CIS experienced difficulties this past season with conference standings due to the current web-based program and the fact that Canada West's points are different for various games.

Motion 7: T. Baker/D. Wilson **Carried**
That the fees for active membership in the CIS Women’s Volleyball Coaches Association be raised to \$100.

Rationale: The additional funds can be used for CIS Coaching Development.

General Discussion:

- Some concern expressed re supporting this when we don't have a financial statement or a plan for the use of the funds.
- Laurie Eisler reported that they are looking at subsidizing 3 coaches to FISU.
- When you get into individual opportunities it should be the institution that steps up to the plate but yet we do fully support the initiative of coaching development as long as its open to as many coaches as possible.

Joint Coaches Association Motions

Motion 1: D. Wilson/T. Baker **Carried**
By 2004-05, all institutions must comply with rule 4.3.3 of VC rulebook for all CIS competition. Violations must be recorded on the scoresheet and reported to the Technical Chair. Non-conformity to the rule at the CIS Championship will result in \$500 fine per match

Motion 2: T. Baker/J. Sergeant **Carried**
Protocal with all matches will include: 5 & 5 warm-up, minimum of 20 minutes in doubleheader (assuming warm-up space is available), hit at 10 min.

b. Motions - Coaches Association Business

Motion 1

That the CIS Women's Volleyball Coaches' Association strike a Championship Format Task Force (CFTF) to investigate the possibility of a new championship format that could be implemented in the 2004-2005 season. The composition of the CFTF would include but not necessarily be limited to:

1. A representative from each of the 4 regions
2. President of the CIS Women's Volleyball Coaches Assoc.
3. An expert in CIS Marketing
4. CIS Sport Coordinator

NOTE: Interim report to Program Committee due June 2003, and final report to CIS Board of Directors in December, 2003. Any recommendations made by the CFTF will be reviewed and approved by the Coaches Association before going to the Board of Directors.

Motion 2

That the 2003 CFTF be required to develop recommendations based on a clear set of principles that consider but not be limited to the idea that the format must:

1. ultimately declare a national champion
2. provide for conference representation
3. create a cost effective championship
4. provide equitable opportunities for member institutions to compete for a national championship
5. have the best teams compete for the national championship
6. consider the educational needs and circumstances of the participating CIS student-athletes

Motion 6

That the following procedure be used for All-Canadian/Rookie/Coach of the Year voting commencing 2003-2004:

- a) Regional Committee selection must take place prior to November 1 (selection process will follow same steps as the Seeding Committee)
- b) Supporting material for all candidates must begin as soon as the season begins (i.e., all-stars, athlete of the week, head to head stats, stats overall). Conference reps must request, compile from membership and forward information to committee.
- c) Selection Process:
 - a. Regions will select their nominees in rank order
 - b. Regional representatives will receive the remaining ballots
 - c. Discussion will occur and voting commences using the following procedure: candidates for first overall selection are the 4 top-ranked athletes (1/region); one athlete is selected; #2 from that region moves up to the ballot, discussion and then vote; next athlete from that region moves up to the ballot, etc.

Motion 8

For the 2003 FISU Games, the \$520 fee assessed to each CIS Coach on the staff be paid from the CIS Women's Volleyball Coaches Association fund.

Motion 9

That up to fifty percent of the current GIC be made available to the current FISU program and that any unused funds be reinvested as per financial plan.

c. Motions – Forward to Ad-Hoc Committee on Championship Awards

d. Motions – Forwarded to other committees

Motion 3 (FORWARDED TO INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE)

That the CIS Women's Volleyball Coaches' Association direct the Canadian delegates to the 2003 FISU Congress to oppose the motion to restrict the number of women's teams at future FISU games.

Rationale: 3 of last 8 FISU Games had 16 or more women's teams so the motion to restrict to 16 would be a reduction in opportunities to female athletes; puts into jeopardy Canada's potential to compete in the Games; the motion would reduce men's volleyball to 24 – why should the women be less?

e. Championship Evaluations

Laurie Eisler commented the Coaches Association would like to encourage the Host to drive coaching development to the National Championship.

Wrestling

a. Motions – Rule Changes

Motion 1 : J. Sergeant/C. Mathies **Carried**
That the 77 kg women’s weight division be raised to 80 kg.

Rationale: There is a need to include a number of female athletes that are right at the cut off point and cannot make the 77 kg weight class. The added 3 kg (6.6 lbs) should help more athletes be involved.

Motion 2: T. Baker/J. Jeffrey **Carried**
To have the Friday’s rounds at the CIS Championship moved to:
Round 1 – 10:00 am
Round 2 – 1:00 PM
Round 3 – 3:30 PM

Rationale: To allow a short break between rounds 2 and 3. Otherwise the competition will be continuous. There needs to be a clear indication between each round for this National Championship.

Motion 3: T. Baker/T. Addona **Carried**
To combine the Medical and Weigh in within a one hour timeframe.

Rationale: The athletes are waiting for approximately 20 minutes sitting in a hallway waiting for the weigh in to occur after the Medical. It was suggested to follow the CAWA regulations in that, once you have had your medical you are allowed to go and weigh in.

Motion 4: D. Wilson/T. Baker **Carried**
To have the CIS Championship host provide 3 “ Lock-in-scales” at the weigh in.

Rationale: The other digital scales fluctuate and are easily knocked off of calibration.

Motion 5: D. Wilson/T. Baker **Carried**
That the Host of the CIS Championship is to provide 1 “ Lock in Scaled” at the host hotel, 24 hours in advance of the Medical/ Weigh in and that the Competition Bulletin will identify where the scales will be within the hotel.

Rationale: To allow athletes to be aware of their actual weight prior to an important weigh in.

b. Motions - Coaches Association Business

c. Motions – Forward to Ad-Hoc Committee on Championship Awards

d. Motions – Forwarded to other committees

e. **Championship Evaluations**

It was noted that the CIS staff will be meeting with the Host re some expressed concerns re the last years championship.

16. New Business

Diane St-Denis commented that we are in need of some consistency in terminology regarding team composition, team complement and roster, bench size, etc.

Will be requesting an individual from outside the Program Committee to assist with this task.

17. Meeting Adjourned

APPENDIX A

POLICY REGARDING CIS NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIP DATES

OBJECTIVE:

1. Provide information as to which dates can be used for CIS Championships.
2. Provide rationale, as to which sports use, which dates.
3. Assist CIS Committees/Associations in making recommendations regarding Championship dates.
4. Develop policy to assist with decisions regarding additions or changes to Championship dates.

INFORMATION:

There are 19 CIS National Championships (10 female and 9 male) held annually at 6 fall and 9 winter sport locations. The Championship dates currently in use have developed over time and reflect a combination of the following: academic exam periods, traditional length of seasons, the realities of weather, long standing host locations, working relationships with NSO's and the number of members competing in a sport.

POLICY:

1. All CIS National Championship dates are ratified by the CIS Board of Directors.
Rationale: Decisions involve coaches associations, hosts, program and marketing committees.
2. Fall sport championships are to be concluded by the weekend of the 12th Saturday after Labour Day Monday. Winter sport championships are to be concluded by the weekend of the 12th Saturday after New Year's Day (. In exceptional circumstances, the 13th Saturday in each term may be used.
3. Championship dates should minimize the lose of academic time.
4. A maximum of three championships should take place on any one weekend.
Rationale: To reduce potential television, membership and national office staff conflicts.
5. A maximum of two nationally televised championship sports should take place on any one weekend.
6. The number of teams participating in the sport should impact the timing of the championship.
7. Championships of sports with both genders should occur on the same weekend or within one weekend of each other.
Rationale: To assist institutions whose teams travel together, to assist Regional Associations in playing their league and playoff schedules and to minimize the down time of any particular sport waiting for a championship to occur.
8. Depending on the sport, championships should require between one and four competition days.

APPENDIX B

May 21, 2003

Ms. Sheila-Ann Newton
Manager, Events & Programs
Canadian Interuniversity Sport
801 King Edward Ave – Suite N205
Ottawa, ON
K1N 6N5

Dear Ms. Newton,

As per our earlier correspondence, the C.F.O.A. would like to file a formal request for an increase in the game fees paid to the “on field officials” for the three Canadian University Sport (C.I.S.) Football Bowl Games – i.e. The Atlantic Bowl, The Churchill Bowl and The Vanier Cup.

It has been more than seven years since there has been an increase in the game fees paid to the officials who officiate the C.I.S. Football Bowl Games. As well, it has been brought to my attention that officials from Quebec and Ontario conferences receive a lesser game fee for the two semi-final national championship games than they receive for working regular season games in their respective conferences.

Each year, commencing in 1998, the C.F.O.A. has subsidized the officials’ game fees for C.I.S. Bowl Games, paid an assignor’s fee and also has paid for the costs of having a game supervisor in attendance at the three C.I.S. Bowl Games to facilitate pre-game meetings and to act as the supervisor of officials for each game. Please find enclosed a copy of the breakdown of the C.F.O.A. financial support towards C.I.S. Football Bowl Games.

We feel that it is necessary to have a supervisor present, as officials from various conferences are brought together to officiate these championship games. As well as filling the role of a facilitator, the supervisor is also present to settle any differences in regards to officiating mechanics and rules interpretations.

We feel that the supervisor is there by our choice and we are willing to continue to accept financial responsibility for having a C.F.O.A. supervisor present at each C.I.S. Football Bowl Game. The supervisor is appointed by Ken Green, President, C.F.O.A. and C.I.S. Assignor of Football Officials.

It has been our practise to have a member of the C.F.O.A. Executive/Officials’ Technical Committee present at each C.I.S. Bowl Game to act as the supervisor and it is our goal to continue in this manner.

Program Committee Meeting
June 2003

Present Game Fees Structure for Officials for C.I.S. Football Bowl Games:

	<u>Atlantic Bowl</u>		<u>Churchill Bowl</u>	
Referee	\$105.00		\$105.00	
5 Others @ \$95.00	\$475.00		\$475.00	
TOTAL	\$580.00	+	\$580.00	= \$1,160.00
	<u>Vanier Cup</u>			
Referee	\$130.00			
5 Others @ \$105	\$525.00			
TOTAL	\$640.00			640.00
TOTAL FOR C.I.S.				= \$ 1,800.00

C.F.O.A. Subsidies Paid to Officials:

	<u>Atlantic Bowl</u>		<u>Churchill Bowl</u>	
Referee	\$25.00		\$25.00	
5 Others @ \$30.00	\$75.00		\$75.00	
TOTAL	\$100.00	+	\$100.00	= \$ 200.00
	<u>Vanier Cup</u>			
Referee	\$ 50.00			
5 Others @ \$30.00	\$150.00			
TOTAL	\$200.00			\$ 200.00
TOTAL FOR C.F.O.A.				= \$ 400.00

GRAND TOTAL FOR GAME FEES = \$2,200.00

Proposed Game Fees Structure for Officials for C.I.S. Bowl Game Effective 2003:

	<u>Atlantic Bowl</u>		<u>Churchill Bowl</u>	
Referee	\$130.00		\$130.00	
5 Others @ \$110.00	\$550.00		\$550.00	
TOTAL	\$680.00	+	\$680.00	= \$ 1,360.00
	<u>Vanier Cup</u>			
Referee	\$165.00			
5 Others @ \$135.00	\$675.00			
TOTAL	\$840.00			\$ 840.00
GRAND TOTAL:				= \$2,200.00

The total difference to C.I.S. per year for the National Football Championship Games re Officiating Fees would be in the amount of \$400.00. This would be the amount that the C.F.O.A. has been subsidizing towards the game fees since 1998.

As noted earlier the C.F.O.A. will continue to be responsible for the fiscal matters regarding having a C.F.O.A. supervisor present at each of the C.I.S. Football Bowl Games and for the C.I.S. Bowl Games assignor's fee. Any assistance from local Bowl Game Committees in this regard, would truly be appreciated.

Respectfully submitted,

K.W. (Bill) Glendinning
Secretary-Treasurer

CIAU BOWL GAMES OFFICIALS' FEES

1998 CFOA SUBSIDIES

ATLANTIC BOWL: NOVEMBER 21 – HALIFAX		
OFFICIALS FEES	\$ 100.00	
SUPERVISOR'S FEE	75.00	
SUPERVISOR'S EXPENSES	120.00	
TOTAL	\$ 295.00	\$ 295.00
CHURCHILL BOWL: NOVEMBER 21 – SASKATOON		
OFFICIALS' FEES	\$ 100.00	
SUPERVISOR'S FEE	75.00	
SUPERVISOR'S EXPENSES	N/A	
TOTAL	\$ 175.00	\$ 175.00
VANIER CUP: NOVEMBER 28 – TORONTO		
GAME FEES	\$ 200.00	
SUPERVISOR'S FEE	75.00	
SUPERVISOR'S EXPENSES	250.00	
TOTAL	\$ 525.00	\$ 525.00
C.I.A.U. ASSIGNOR:		
ASSIGNOR'S FEE	\$ 150.00	\$ 150.00
TOTAL FOR 1998		\$ 1,145.00

CIAU BOWL GAMES OFFICIALS' FEES
1999 CFOA SUBSIDIES

ATLANTIC BOWL: NOVEMBER 20 – HALIFAX		
OFFICIALS FEES	\$ 100.00	
SUPERVISOR'S FEE	75.00	
SUPERVISOR'S EXPENSES	120.00	
TOTAL	\$ 295.00	\$ 295.00
CHURCHILL BOWL: NOVEMBER 20 – QUEBEC CITY		
OFFICIALS' FEES	\$ 100.00	
SUPERVISOR'S FEE	75.00	
SUPERVISOR'S EXPENSES	270.00	
TOTAL	\$ 445.00	\$ 445.00
VANIER CUP: NOVEMBER 27 – TORONTO		
GAME FEES	\$ 200.00	
SUPERVISOR'S FEE	75.00	
SUPERVISOR'S EXPENSES	215.00	
TOTAL	\$ 490.00	\$ 490.00
C.I.A.U. ASSIGNOR:		
ASSIGNOR'S FEE	\$ 150.00	\$ 150.00
TOTAL FOR 1999		\$ 1,380.00

2001 – C.F.O.A. SUBSIDIES
TO
C.I.S. BOWL GAMES

ATLANTIC BOWL: NOVEMBER 17 – HALIFAX		
OFFICIALS FEES	\$ 100.00	
SUPERVISOR'S FEE	75.00	
SUPERVISOR'S EXPENSES	120.00	
TOTAL	\$ 295.00	\$ 295.00
CHURCHILL BOWL: NOVEMBER 17 – WINNIPEG		
OFFICIALS' FEES	\$ 100.00	
SUPERVISOR'S FEE	75.00	
SUPERVISOR'S EXPENSES	552.99	
TOTAL	\$ 727.99	\$ 727.99
VANIER CUP: DECEMBER 01 – SKYDOME, TORONTO		
GAME FEES	\$ 200.00	
SUPERVISOR'S FEE	75.00	
SUPERVISOR'S EXPENSES	225.00	
TOTAL	\$ 500.00	\$ 500.00
C.I.A.U. ASSIGNOR:		
ASSIGNOR'S FEE	\$ 150.00	\$ 150.00
TOTAL FOR 2001		\$ 1,672.99