

**December 17, 2003 CIS Board of Directors Conference Call Minutes
1:30 PM**

Attendance: Drew Love, President; Judy McCrae, Past-President; Diane St-Denis, Vice President Programs; Murray Hall, Vice President Research and Development; Dick White, Vice President Marketing; Barb Mullaly, Chair, Finance Committee; Clint Hamilton, AUS; Bob Dubeau, QSSF; Liz Hoffman, OUA; Sandy Slavin, Canada West; Danielle Gardner, Equity and Equality Committee; Jean-Guy Ouellette, International Programs

CIS Staff: Marg McGregor, CEO; Tanja Mackin, Director Finance & Administration; Tom Huisman, Director, Operations and Development; Sheila-Ann Newton, Manager, Programs and Events

1. REVISIONS TO THE WORLD ANTI-DOPING AGENCY (WADA) CODE

T. Huisman reported that an information piece on how the revisions to the World Anti-Doping Code will effect CIS will be circulated to the Membership on December 19th. The information piece will include a one page list of frequently asked questions and a PDF copy of the WADA prohibited list.

2. REPORT ON TRAVEL AGENT CONTRACT

T. Mackin reported that CIS will be using Carlson Wagonlit Travel for the March Championships. P. Metuzals is currently re-negotiating with Air Canada, and once the status of our Airline sponsorship is established, we will have a fresh look at the travel agent policy/position. The issue of service fees continues to be contentious, however it was noted that it is crucial to have a central travel agency to ensure the availability of seats for our championship participants, many of which are decided at the last minute.

3. MARKETING COMMUNICATION UPDATE

D. White reported the following:

- between TSN, RDS, Sportsnet and Radio Canada, CIS had a total of 27 hours of live television this fall.
- Vanier Cup viewership numbers were down due to the broadcast of the outdoor NHL game.
- TSN contract renewal negotiations are ongoing.
- negotiations with the Canadian Armed Forces to develop a sponsorship agreement for women's championships and the Academic All-Canadian program are proceeding well.

4. PROGRAM RENEWAL PROCESS

M. McGregor referred to the revised Program Renewal Report (Annex 1). Revisions include the addition of section 2.3 Pre-Selection Criteria, and the reduction in the number of extra measures criteria.

The level of stringency of the pre-selection criteria that requires a 70% participation rate in the CIS was discussed.

Next steps:

- Board to provide feedback to M. McGregor as soon as possible.
- M. McGregor to provide revised draft to the Program Selection Ad Hoc Committee for comments.
- Final draft to be approved by the Board of Directors on the January conference call
- Report/criteria to be circulated to Membership in February for approval.

5. PROGRESS REPORT FROM E&E COMMITTEE

T. Huisman reported that the first comprehensive draft of a tool to measure all areas of equity is currently being developed by the Equity and Equality Committee. The tool will gather data on areas such as athlete participation, coaches, support staff, revenue by source, operating expense and the information requested on the current awards document.

Discussion occurred on whether CIS was setting minimums or policies, and whether CIS would be enforcing compliance, or requiring measured progress.

It was agreed that CIS should be developing policy, tools to measure progress and ultimately enforce the policy.

ACTION: Draft policy and measurement tool will be presented for approval to the April Board of Directors meeting. An early draft to be available in January for Regional Association semi-annual meetings.

6. NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIP BID BOOK REVISIONS

S. Newton reported that revisions to the National Championships Bid Book are not complete, and this item was deferred to the January Board conference call.

7. FINANCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

In keeping with the mandate of the CIS to review policies 20.20 and 20.50 every three years, the Finance Committee reviewed the Principles of Policy 20.50.1

Championship Travel Funding Policy, and felt that a principle for determining the number of individuals eligible for funding needed to be developed.

03-12-01 Mullaly/Love

Carried

Add to Policy 20.50.1:

20.50.1.5 With the exception of open championships, the number of individuals eligible for championship travel funding will be the number of players allowed to dress for the championship (game roster), as defined in the CIS playing regulations. For Swimming, Track and Field and Wrestling, individuals are eligible for funding if they have qualified for the championship as required by the CIS Playing Regulations (See 20.50.4 for a summary of the number of individuals from each sport eligible for Championship travel funding).

Therefore, Policy 20.50.4 will be revised as follows to reflect current roster sizes:

Men's and Women's Basketball	12 each (no change)
Men's Cross Country	54 (no change)
Women's Cross Country	54 (no change)
Women's Field Hockey	<u>16 (Change from 17)</u>
Men's Football	<u>40 (Change from 50)</u>
Men's Ice Hockey	<u>20 (Change from 21)</u>
Women's Ice Hockey	20 (no change)
Women's Rugby	25 (no change)
Men's and Women's Soccer	<u>18 each (change from 17)</u>
Men's and Women's Swimming	eligible athletes as per Playing Regulations
Men's and Women's Track & Field	eligible athletes as per Playing Regulations
Men's and Women's Volleyball	12 each (no change)
Men's and Women's Wrestling	eligible athletes as per Playing Regulations

IMPACT: The amount of money being distributed will remain the same, however in the cases where the eligible numbers have gone down, teams with lower costs will get a marginally larger share of the total, and where the numbers have gone up, teams with higher costs will get marginally more.

9. NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIP SCHEDULE

D. St-Denis provided an update on the progress being made by the Ad Hoc committee to review the CIS Championship Schedule and reported that the committee will prepare a proposal and circulate it to the Board for approval at the next (January 26th) conference call.

10. ADJOURNMENT AND NEXT MEETING

The call was adjourned at 2:55 pm. The date of the next conference call was changed from January 28th to Monday January 26th at 1:30 pm EST.

ANNEX 1

Program Renewal Report to CIS Board – Draft #8 Dec. 18, 2003

Desired Outcome:

- For the CIS Board to provide feedback/approve the selection framework to be used to determine the National Championships Core Sport Program for 2006-07 to 2011-12. Following board approval, an e-mail-vote of the CIS membership will be circulated in the new year to approve the framework.

A: Preamble:

To ensure that the national championships sport program of CIS is contemporary and relevant to student-athletes, and sustainable for universities, a review of the sport program will take place over the next 12 months.

Sports on the CIS roster will be evaluated against criteria to measure their value and contribution to student-athletes, member universities, and the Canadian Sport Policy.

NEW:

Pre-selection criteria (see 2.3) will be applied to sports on the existing menu as a first level filter. Sports which satisfy one of the criteria shall automatically be included in the 2006-07 to 2011-12 program cycle without the need to re-apply. Sports on the existing menu which do not satisfy the pre-selection criteria shall complete the application process and be considered along with new sports that may choose to apply.

Sports not on the existing menu will have an opportunity to submit documentation for consideration to be included in the core menu.

This process will enable CIS to set priorities, and focus resources in a strategic way to pursue excellence while meeting the mission of the CIS. The end result may be the confirmation of the existing roster, or may be the addition of new sports and/or the phasing out or transitioning into a different model of existing sports. The National Championships Program Renewal Committee shall manage the process and recommend a roster of core sports to be included in the National Championships Program from 2006-07 through to 2011-12.

NEW:

Following the application and ranking process, the CIS Board shall determine the capacity of the organization to either maintain, grow or reduce the current number of championships now being offered.

B: Background:

1. The National Championships Task Force Report (Draft #5 June 19, 2001 approved by the CIS membership at the 2001 AGM) identified the need to develop principles and a process for adding new sports or deleting existing ones to the Championship portfolio. The CIS Core Championship program was last updated in 1998-1999 when women's rugby and women's hockey were added.

2. A Sport Portfolio Consultation Document was circulated to the CIS membership in October of 2002. One of the recommendations in the Sport Portfolio Document suggested a review of the sport program take place every few years, to ensure that the sport program of CIS is contemporary and relevant to student-athletes, and sustainable for universities. All existing sports on the CIS roster will be evaluated against criteria to measure their value to student-athletes, member universities, and the Canadian Sport Policy. Sports not on the existing menu will have an opportunity to submit documentation for consideration.
3. Support for the proposal related to a National Championship Program Renewal was tested at the November 2002 Members' Roundtable. It was agreed that a review and renewal process was needed. Feedback was provided on the draft criteria, and how frequently the program renewal should take place.
4. The CIS Board of Directors approved the Terms of Reference for an ad hoc Program Renewal Committee on March 31, 2003.
5. The Program Renewal Committee met in April 2003 to establish draft criteria by which sports could be evaluated as to their value and contribution to student-athletes, member universities and the Canadian Sport Policy. Through the use of the established criteria the CIS would be able to set priorities, strategically focus resources and pursue excellence in the selected championship sports. In establishing the criteria it was important for the committee to acknowledge the importance of the following parameters:
 - i) blend with the academic mission of CIS
 - ii) marketability
 - iii) facilities
 - iv) gender equity
 - v) level of participation (feeder pool, and current level of university participation)
 - vi) association with NSO, PSO, FISU
 - vii) coaching and officiating
6. The draft criteria, weighting and review process were discussed at the CIS 2003 AGM. Members provided feedback on the selection criteria and weighting.
7. A revised document (Draft #5 - Oct. 14, 2003) was circulated to CIS Members and Coaches Associations for additional feedback. The CIS Board considered the feedback at the November 2003 Board meeting and suggested a number of revisions. Draft #8 reflects the feedback from the CIS Board at the November and December 2003 board meetings.

8. Timeframes

- a) Revise criteria based upon CIS membership feedback at the 2003 AGM. (Oct. 2003)
- b) Circulate revised criteria and weighting to the membership for feedback. 2003.
- c) Discuss feedback with CIS Board (November 2003)
- d) Develop revised document and discuss with CIS Board (December 2003 and January 2004).
- e) Board approval of revised document (January 2004).
- f) Circulate e-mail vote to membership for approval (Jan./Feb. 2004)
- g) Pursue a linkage with a graduate student or academic to assist with the research process (winter 04)
- h) Develop data collection tools to collect data from NSOs, universities, high school sport federations, CCAA, and others. (Winter 2004)
- i) Pre-test data collection tools (Winter 2004)
- j) Circulate the data collection tools. (Winter, 2004)
- k) Receive the completed data collection tools (May, 2004)
- l) Validate the collected data to confirm its accuracy (June 2004)
- m) Analyze and synthesize the data. (August, 2004)
- n) Apply the selection criteria, and rank order the list of applicants. (October 2004)

- o) Present the rank ordered list to the CIS Board, for review and for the board to determine the maximum number of sports to be included in the program (November 2004).
- p) Announce provisional list of sports to CIS membership and NSO community, subject to hearing of appeals, and final CIS membership approval. (November 2004)
- q) Hear appeals if any (December – January, 2005)
- r) Present the proposed roster to the CIS membership for approval. (June 2005) The CIS membership approval shall be limited to confirming that the selection criteria and weighting as approved by the Membership was followed, and that the process had integrity.
- s) Develop playing regulations, schedules, call for National Championship hosts, etc for new sports if any (September – March 06)
- t) Implement refreshed program offerings (new sports introduced if any, old sports that are to be removed move into a phase-out/transition stage) by September 2006.

C: Proposed Program Renewal Framework:

To approve the following framework for the selection of sports in the core sport program:

C1. Introduction:

Canadian Interuniversity Sport celebrates academics and athletics. CIS strengthens universities, enriches the academic experience of student-athletes, contributes to sport development in Canada; promotes the benefits of sport; builds partnerships; and provides the opportunity for Canadians to learn more about themselves, each other and about Canadian culture and values.

CIS adds tremendous value to the sport experience through the integration of sport with post-secondary education. CIS differentiates university sport from the club/NSO system in that it combines education and sport.

The activities of Canadian Interuniversity Sport make a significant contribution to support the objectives of Bill C-12, and the Canadian Sport Policy. CIS is a leader in the pursuit of excellence in sport. For prospective high performance athletes, participation in CIS provides an opportunity to pursue excellence in sport while pursuing an education. Canadian Interuniversity Sport has a significant impact on the Canadian sport system. CIS member universities invest heavily in sport and recreation programs, facilities and direct support to sport administrators, coaches, student-athletes, officials, and sport medicine personnel.

Canadian Interuniversity Sport offers an instrument for sport development and for building stronger links between national, provincial/territorial and community sport organizations and agencies.

A healthy and vibrant university sport league translates into a stronger sport system. In their pursuit of CIS Championship titles, universities have developed an ingrained tradition of support and respect for sport. CIS members are very serious about the importance of university athletics, blended with the pursuit of a university degree.

The CIS is a strong instrument for sport development. One of the most challenging tasks is the selection of sports for the CIS Core sport program. In particular, the dual - and sometimes conflicting - mandate of CIS to serve the needs of CIS member institutions, high performance sport development and broad-based participation in sport necessitates both compromise and complexity in the sport selection process.

In trying to balance these different interests in the CIS core sport program, the Program Renewal Committee of CIS has drafted the following principles to guide its sport selection process for the 2006-07 through to 2011-12 program.

2 Selection of National Championship Core Sport Program

2.1 Guiding Principles in Sport Selection:

- 2.1.1 CIS core championship sports should be reflective of a sound level of sport development that includes characteristics of advanced athlete development, coaching, competition, and officiating.
- 2.1.2 It is appropriate to establish minimum eligibility criteria that a sport must meet in order to be eligible to apply for consideration as a CIS core championship sport.
- 2.1.3 Inclusion as a CIS core championship sport requires participation or a commitment to participation within an established time-frame by a "critical mass" of CIS member institutions. (Minimum of 12).
- 2.1.4 Selection of sports should be based on a balance of the interests with respect to the Regional Associations, individual members, CIS, NSO/PSO, and marketing measures.
- 2.1.5 It is appropriate to establish the size of the core sport program that can be serviced by the CIS administration.
- 2.1.6 It is appropriate to select sports based on gender equity. In the current core sport program cycle (1998-99 to 2005-06) the maximum number of spots available for a university who fields a full team in every CIS core championships sport is 152 spots for men (51%) and 146 spots for women (49%). The CIS must maintain a similar balance of equitable opportunities for males and females in the 2006-07 to 2011-12 program cycle.
- 2.1.7 Opportunities for feedback to the selection framework from CIS coaches and athletes should be part of the process.

2.2. The Application Process:

The intent of the following process is to provide a mechanism for application that avoids potential duplication by CIS institutions, Regional Associations, NSO's and other potential applicants.

- 2.2.1 Application to become a CIS Core Championship sport shall normally be submitted by a CIS member institution either:
 - i) in partnership with a National Sport Organization or
 - ii) as a delegated applicant on behalf of CIS institutionsAdditional data will be collected to supplement applications from CIS member institutions, Regional Associations, the CIS Coaches Association if one exists, NSO's, the Coaching Association of Canada, high school sport federations, and others.
- 2.2.2 Individual member universities, Regional Associations and/or CIS Coaches Associations may submit an application on behalf of a given sport, if applications are not forthcoming from 2.2.1. Additional data will be collected to supplement these applications from the NSO's, the Coaching Association of Canada, high school sport federations, and others.
- 2.2.3 Applications will normally be submitted on a gender basis. For example an application will be submitted for men's wrestling, and a second application for women's wrestling. Sports in which both genders compete in the same National Championship (e.g. swimming, cross country, track and field) shall apply jointly and be evaluated jointly.

NEW

2.3 Pre-Selection Criteria

The following criteria will be applied to existing sports in the current CIS core program. Sports who satisfy at least one of the criteria will automatically be included in the 2006-07 to 2011-12 program cycle without the need to re-apply. The bar has been set high on these criteria to recognize those sports which have a significant participation rate of CIS

members, and make a significant marketing/financial contribution to CIS through championships guarantees and television.

2.3.1 Current level of CIS participation in the sport:
Sports in which 70% or more of CIS members (35 schools) participate in during the 2003-2004 season shall be automatically included in the 2006-07 to 2011-12 program cycle without the need to re-apply. (Men's and women's basketball, men's and women's soccer, women's volleyball).

2.3.2 Marketing/Promotions/Financial Benefit to CIS:
Sports in which the guarantee to host the National Championships/Bowls exceeds \$75,000/annum and sports where TSN has broadcast the CIS Championships during the 2000-2004 seasons shall be automatically included in the the 2006-07 to 2011-12 program cycle without the need to re-apply. (Men's and Women's Basketball, Football, Men's and Women's Hockey, and Men's and Women's Volleyball).

The remaining sports on the current CIS roster shall be required to apply for consideration in the the 2006-07 to 2011-12 program cycle using the criteria below (men's and women's cross country, women's field hockey, women's rugby, men's and women's swimming, men's and women's track and field, and men's and women's wrestling)

2.4 **Minimum Eligibility Criteria:**

In order for an application to be considered the following minimum eligibility criteria must be met. These criteria demonstrate that a commitment to the scholar athlete and that a sound sport infrastructure exists from which the CIS sports will be able to draw upon, and contribute back to. A successful CIS depends to a certain extent upon the health of the NSO and PSO to develop a feeder system of coaches, athletes, officials and a spectator base or community interest in the sport. Meeting the Minimum Eligibility Criteria does not guarantee final selection as a core sport, however it is a prerequisite to be selected.

2.4.1 The sport must blend with the academic interests of the CIS. The model of the scholar-athlete is held in high esteem in the CIS. We want students to achieve academic success, graduate on time, and launch successful careers. Sports on the Core program must be organized such that they contribute to rather than detract from academic success.

2.4.2 A "critical mass" of CIS member institutions express a commitment to participate in a championship if the sport is selected to the Core Sport Program. At least 12 universities from at least three out of the four Regional Associations must be prepared to compete.

2.4.3 There is a "critical mass" of CIS member institutions/or Regional Associations with or with access to facilities capable of hosting a CIS championship if the sport is selected to the Core Sport Program. For the purposes of this question critical mass is defined as three out of the 4 Regional Associations.

2.4.4 There is an established sport organization infrastructure that includes:
a) coaching education and training program
b) officials certification
c) rule book in both official languages
d) adequate level of competition as exhibited by the existence of provincial championships in at least 7 provinces

e) a minimum of seven PSO's and/or exists within high school associations in at least 7 provinces.

2.4.5 The organization's activity meets the definition of sport as outlined in Sport Canada's Sport Recognition Policy.

2.5 Extra Measures Criteria:

The following criteria will be used to assist in differentiating between applying sports who have met the minimum eligibility criteria. Each criteria has a different weighting with respect to the importance to CIS and the above noted guiding principles (section 2.1 above).

Note: In all of the categories being scored below, when the point spread allocated do not sufficiently differentiate amongst the sports applying for consideration, the sports will be rank ordered and separated into quartiles for the purposes of scoring.

2.5.1 CIS Members' ranking of applicant sports:

(The weighting will be adjusted such that the top ranked sport will score 50 points)
Each member institution will be asked to rank the applicant sports in priority from first to last on the preference for inclusion in the CIS core sports. Points will be awarded in reverse order of the number of sports. (e.g. if 25 sports apply the #1 ranked sport would be awarded 25 points, the #2 ranked sport 24 points etc. This score will then be multiplied by the weighting factor).

2.5.2 Marketing parameters: (Weighting 1.9)

This question serves to measure the extent to which the sport currently presents a marketing opportunity for CIS, and will help to raise the profile of university sport. Sports that are currently "hot properties" will be viewed more favourably by CIS than those sports that are emerging properties or those that do not have a demonstrated track record of marketing success.

2.5.2.1 TV coverage

The NSO enjoys coverage of 10 or more of its domestic amateur events per year on national networks score 10
The NSO has 5 or more of its domestic events per year on national networks score 7
The NSO has 5 or more of its domestic events per year on regional or local networks score 4
The NSO has 2 - 4 of its domestic events per year televised on regional or local networks score 1

2.5.2.2 NSO Sponsorship

The NSO enjoys corporate sponsorship exceeding \$750,000 per year score 10
The NSO enjoys corporate sponsorship between \$500,000 - \$750,000 per year score 7
The NSO enjoys corporate sponsorship between \$250,000 - \$500,000 per year score 4
The NSO enjoys corporate sponsorship between \$100,000 - \$250,000 per year score 1

2.5.2.3 The NSO promotes the CIS through its communications and marketing vehicles.

The NSO has a link to the CIS on its website, issues press releases highlighting CIS athletes and coaches, displays CIS signage at its National Championships and other events, and promotes CIS in its publications score 5

2.5.3 *Level of participation – “feeder pool” (Weighting 1.8)*

A successful CIS league is influenced in part by the ability of the NSO and PSOs to develop a feeder system of CEGEP/ high school aged athletes from which the CIS can draw from and build upon. Sports which offer a larger pool of high school/CEGEP aged athletes to draw from will be viewed more favourably than those with a smaller participation base.

2.5.3.1 *The number of high school aged participants in the sport will be totaled and rank ordered.*

Top quartile in terms of number of participants score 25
2nd from the top quartile in terms of number of participants score 17
3rd quartile from the top in terms of number of participants score 10
Bottom quartile in terms of number of participants score 3

2.5.4 *Current Level of University participation: (Weighting 1.8)*

Sports which enjoy a high participation level at the university level will be viewed more favourably than sports with more modest participation.

Sports on the current core program fill out question 2.5.4.1. Sports applying to be included should fill out 2.5.4.2 or 2.5.4.3

2.5.4.1 *Number of CIS teams*

Top quartile in terms of number of CIS teams score 25
2nd from the top quartile in terms of number of teams score 20
3rd quartile from the top in terms of number of CIS teams score 15
Bottom quartile in terms of number of CIS teams score 10

2.5.4.2 *If the sport is not currently in the CIS core program, how many Teams Compete at the Regional Association level (show a breakdown of numbers by region)*

Top quartile in terms of number of teams competing at the RA level score 25
2nd from the top quartile in terms of number of teams competing at the RA level score 20
3rd quartile from the top in terms of number of teams competing at the RA level score 15
Bottom quartile in terms of number of teams competing at the RA level score 10

2.5.4.3 *Number of teams currently organized and active on campuses that are not competing at the RA or CIS level.*

Top quartile in terms of number of teams competing on campus score 25
2nd from the top quartile in terms of number of teams competing on campus score 20
3rd quartile from the top in terms of number of teams competing on campus score 15
Bottom quartile in terms of number of teams competing on campus score 10

2.5.5 *Available pool of certified coaches (Weighting 1.7)*

A successful CIS league is influenced in part by the ability to access qualified and experienced coaches. Sports who are able to demonstrate a sufficient pool of available, qualified, and experienced coaches will be viewed more favourably than those who have not cultivated a pool of coaches for CIS to draw upon.

2.5.5.1 *Provide information on the number and level of fully certified coaches.*

Top quartile in terms of number of coaches qualified to work at the university level score 25

2nd from the top quartile in terms of number of coaches qualified to work at the university level score 17
3rd quartile from the top in terms of number of coaches qualified to work at the university level score 10
Bottom quartile in terms of number of coaches qualified to work at the university level score 3

2.5.2 Facilities (Weighting 1.5)

In order for current and/or added sports to operate, facilities must be available, safe, affordable, and meet the minimum sport requirements (measurements).

Excellent Facilities are available (i.e. can be scheduled at typical/appropriate game times, meet the minimum safety and sport measurement requirements, provide for spectators, and are affordable to the involved universities). Score 25

Adequate Facilities are available (i.e. facilities are more expensive/harder to access, do not provide for spectators). Score 15

2.5.3 Officials (Weighting 1.3)

A successful CIS league is influenced in part by the ability to access qualified and experienced officials. Sports who are able to demonstrate a sufficient pool of available, qualified, and experienced officials spread across the country will be viewed more favourably than those who have not cultivated a pool of officials for CIS to draw upon.

Specify the level of qualification that would be required to officiate CIS league and championship events, and the number of officials required to officiate at a national championship.

2.5.3.1 Provide information on the number of certified officials available to officiate at a CIS level.

Top quartile in terms of number of officials qualified at the CIS required level score 25
2nd from the top quartile in terms of number of officials score 17
3rd quartile from the top in terms of number of officials score 10
Bottom quartile in terms of number of officials score 3

2.5.4 Is university sport an important element of the NSO/PSO sport development model (Weighting 1.3)

2.5.4.1 The application needs to outline the importance of university sport to the sport development model. The sport development model must be included in the application. Sports in which the university league plays a significant role will be viewed more favourably than sports where the university league is less important. In those cases where a sport is offered both within the community at large and within the university setting reference should be made to the impact this duplication will or will not have on the sport specifically at the university. The degree to which the scheduling of the NSO events does not conflicting around the CIS Championship should be noted. The degree to which the NSO involved CIS coaches in its National Team programming should be noted.

Top quartile in terms of high degree of alignment of CIS to the sport development model score 13
2nd from the top quartile in terms of high degree of alignment of the sport development model score 10

2nd from the bottom quartile in terms of importance of university sport to the sport development model score 5
Bottom quartile in terms of number of little to no connection with the NSOs sport development model score 0

NSO Contribution to the CIS. This may also include professional sport league (PSL) contribution however this amount should be shown separately from NSO amount)

We want to measure to what extent if any the NSO and/or PSL values the CIS and is prepared to invest in and be a financial partner with CIS in the 2006-07 to 2011-12 program cycle. NSOs and PSLs who are prepared to offer financial support, gifts in kind, contra, or other expense reducing assistance towards CIS activities including championship specific contributions will be more favourably viewed than those NSOs / PSLs who are not financial partners.

If the NSO/PSL commits to contributing \$250,000 or more in the 2006-2011 cycle score 12

If the NSO/PSL commits to contributing \$200,000 - \$250,000 in the 2006-2011 cycle score 9

If the NSO/PSL commits to contributing \$150,000 - \$200,000 in the 2006-2011 cycle score 6

If the NSO/PSL commits to contributing \$100,000 - \$150,000 in the 2006-2011 cycle score 3

Note: if the above categorization of point allocation does not sufficiently differentiate from amongst the sports applying for consideration, the sports will be rank ordered and separated into quartiles for the purposes of scoring.

2.5.5 Consideration of Existing Competitive Opportunities (Weighting 0.9)

Consideration will be given to existing CIS core sports in recognition of the investment made by member universities in facilities, equipment and coaching personnel to support the sport. Recognition will also be provided for FISU sports, CCAA sports, Canada Games sports and those sports which have self-organized a university-college championship in the recent past.

If the sport is part of the existing CIS core sport program score 5 points.

If the sport is part of the FISU program score 5 points.

If the sport is part of the CCAA program score 5 points.

If the sport has organized a university/college championship in the past 2 years score 5 points.

If the sport is part of the Canada Games program in 2002 or 2004 score 5 points.

2.6 Completion of the selection process:

2.6.1 Sports will be selected on a gender specific basis.

2.6.2 Sports will be added until size limits are met. The CIS board will determine the maximum number of sports and athletes to be included in the core program. When the size capacity has nearly been met (i.e. approaching the theoretical maximum number of athletes or maximum number of events, a lower ranked sport may be selected over a higher ranked sport in order to stay within the maximum number of athletes size).

- 2.6.3 Sports will be added such that the gender balance of the program is maintained. Given this, among the sports included in the extra assessment only, a lower ranked sport may be selected over a higher-ranked sport in order to meet gender equity targets.
- 2.6.4 Sports will be added such that the calendar of national championships is manageable. Given this, among the sports included in the extra assessment only, a lower ranked sport may be selected over a higher ranked sport depending on the season / weekend the Championship is scheduled for.
- 2.6.5 An independent appeal mechanism using ADRSportRED CIS will only be allowed if the selection criteria is not followed. The grounds for appeal are outlined in CIS Policy 90.